November 11, 2014

Solutions to Problem 1 of Homework 8 (3(+5)) points

Name: Keeyon Ebrahimi Due: Wednesday, November 12

For each of the following suggested greedy algorithms for the ACTIVITY-SELECTION problem, give a simple example of the input where the proposed greedy algorithm fails to compute the correct optimal solution.

(a) (3 points) Select the activity a_i with the shortest duration $d_i = f_i - s_i$. Commit to scheduling a_i . Let S'_i consist of all activities a_j which do not overlap with a_i : namely, either $f_j \leq s_i$ or $f_i \leq s_j$. Recursively solve ACTIVITY-SELECTION on S'_i , scheduling the resulting activities together with a_i .

Solution:

This greedy approach will fail if the shortest activity disqualifies 2 other disjoint activities by overlapping both. Here is an example of this type of problem.

i	1	2	3	4	5
si	1	9	10	18	20
fi	10	12	20	22	34

In this example, the first block that will be picked is a_2 , for it has the shortest duration of 3. The selection of a_2 disqualifies a_1 and a_3 , for they have intersecting start and end times. The next shortest time will then be a_4 with a total time of 4. This will then disqualify a_5 , which then in total gives this greedy algorithm a total of 2 activities.

We can see though that is is not the optimal, for the optimal is actually $a_1 \cup a_3 \cup a_5$ This has a total of 3 activities, while the previously suggested greedy algorithm only gives 2 activities.

(b)* (Extra Credit; 5 points) For each activity a_i , let n_i denote the number of activities which do not overlap with a_i (e.g., n_i is the cardinality of the set S'_i defined above for specific a_i). Select the activity a_i with the largest number n_i of non-overlapping activities. Commit to scheduling a_i . Recursively solve ACTIVITY-SELECTION on the n_i activities in S'_i , scheduling the resulting activities together with a_i .

(**Hint**: Unlike part (a), you might need a lot of activities for this counter-example. The smallest I know uses n = 11 activities. So don't be discouraged if small examples are all bad.)

Solution:

Solutions to Problem 2 of Homework 8 (11 Points)

Name: Keeyon Ebrahimi Due: Wednesday, November 12

Consider the problem of storing n books on shelves in a library. The order of the books is fixed by the cataloging system and so cannot be rearranged. The i-th book b_i , where $1 \le i \le n$ has a thickness t_i and height h_i stored in arrays $t[1 \dots n]$ and $h[1 \dots n]$. The length of each bookshelf at this library is L. We want to minimize the sum of heights of the shelves needed to arrange these books.

(a) (5 points) Suppose all the books have the same height h (i.e., $h = h_i$ for all i) and the shelves are each of height h, so any book fits on any shelf. The greedy algorithm would fill the first shelf with as many books as we can until we get the smallest i such that b_i does not fit, and then repeat with subsequent shelves. Using either the Greedy Always Stays Ahead or Local Swap method, show that the greedy algorithm always finds the shelf placement with the smallest total height of shelves, and analyze its time complexity.

Solution:

With a constant height for all shelves, we have this equation for the Total Shelve Height.

S = Number of Shelves

h = constant

TotalHeight = h * S

Because h is constant, we need to minimize S to get the minimal TotalHeight

Proof by GASA

 $b_m = \text{total number of books on shelf}$

 $b_i(g) = i$ books on shelf in greedy approach

 $b_i(z) = i$ books on shelf in optimal approach

 $S_i = \text{Amount of shelves needed with } i \text{ books.}$

First we will be showing that the approach of if it fits it sits puts the maximum books on a shelf.

1. $b_1(g) \ge b_1(z)$

We know this by definition. If the first book fits, it sits. Which has at least as many as the optimal, because there is no greater for 1.

2. $b_m(g) \ge b_m(z)$

If this happens with one, this happens with m as well.

- 3. $b_{m+1}(z) \ge b_m(g) \ge b_m(z)$
- 4. $b_{m+1}(g) \ge b_{m+1}(z)$

This shows that we can fit the most books on the shelf by going with the approach, if it fits it sits.

Now we know

totalBooks = BooksOnShelves + BooksRemaining

Because total Books is constant, the greater BooksOnShelves is, the smaller BooksRemaining will be. We have proven that our greedy approach gives the maximum BooksOnShelves, so we know need to prove that a smaller BooksRemaining leads to smaller TotalShelves

Lets set S_i to be the total number of shelves it takes to store i books. By laws of nature we know that $S_1 \leq S_2 \leq ... \leq S_n$

We know that we have given the least remaining books with our greedy algorithm, which in turn has the least amount of shelves as shown directly above this, and we also know that the less Shelves we have the less our Total height will be, so with all this we know that our greedy algorithm gives the optimal number of shelves.

Running Time: All we have to do here is at every book, see if it will fit or not and then either place on existing shelf or next shelf. We only have to iterate through each book once in order to do this for all books, so because we only iterate through all books once, we know that we have a running time of

O(n)

(b) (6 points) Now assume that the books are not of the same height, and hence the height of any shelf is set to be the height of the largest book placed on that shelf. Show that the greedy algorithm in part (a) doesn't work for this problem. Give an alternative dynamic programming algorithm to solve this problem. What is the running time of your algorithm?

Solution:

Here is an example of when this will not work.

Lets say he have two heights, B for tall and b for short.

Let say our greedy algorithm first whatever we can and we get 2 shelves shaped like this.

The tallest in both shelves is B, so the total height would be 2B.

There is a better solution though. What if we placed the B at the end of shelf on on the next shelf. We would get this solution.

```
Shelf 1 \quad b \quad b \quad b Shelf 2 \quad B \quad B
```

Which gives us a total Height of b + B, which is less than B + B, so the greedy approach is not optimal.

Here is the algorithm to figure out the best solution. We set up a Memoization Array TH with TH[0] = 0 and TH[1..n] = infinity.

If the next book will not fit on the current shelf, we put it on the next Shelf.

If the book does fit, then we must look at the books height vs the Current Shelf Height. If book height, h[i] is less than Current Shelf Height, then we just place the book on the shelf.

If the book height does fit, but it's height is greater than the current Row Height. We have to make a decision.

We pick Min(Placing book on current shelf, Placing book on next shelf), which is where the recursion and memoization come in to help.

This will have a running time of $O(n^2)$, which is significantly better than the 2^n running time we would usually have if we didn't use memoization. It is n^2 because for each problem n, we have to look at all previous books and check if it is better for them to go on the current row or to start a new row off.

November 11, 2014

Solutions to Problem 3 of Homework 8 (12 Points)

Name: Keeyon Ebrahimi Due: Wednesday, November 12

You want to travel on a straight line from from city A to city B which is N miles away from A. For concreteness, imagine a line with A being at 0 and B being at N. Each day you can travel at most d miles (where 0 < d < N), after which you need to stay at an expensive hotel. There are n such hotels between 0 and N, located at points $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n = N$ (the last hotel is in B). Luckily, you know that $|a_{i+1} - a_i| \le d$ for any i (with $a_0 = 0$), so that you can at least travel to the next hotel in one day. You goal is to complete your travel in the smallest number of days (so that you do not pay a fortune for the hotels).

Consider the following greedy algorithm: "Each day, starting at the current hotel a_i , travel to the furthest hotel a_j s.t. $|a_j - a_i| \leq d$, until eventually $a_n = N$ is reached". I.e., if several hotels are within reach in one day from your current position, go to the one closest to your destination.

(a) (6 points) Formally argue that this algorithm is correct using the "Greedy Stays Ahead" method.

(**Hint**: Think how to define $F_i(Z)$. For this problem, the name of the method is really appropriate.)

Solution: Proof by GASA

 $h_i(g) = i$ Hotel number Visited on Day i by Greedy Solution $h_i(z) = i$ Hotel number visited on Day i by optimal solution

1. $a_1(g) \ge a_1(z)$

We know this because of definition. We go to the furthest one each day in the greedy approach, so we go the the furthest, so greedy \geq optimal for day 1.

 $2. \quad a_m(g) \ge a_m(z)$

Same logic as step 1. If we know it is true for day 1, we know it is also true for day m

3. $a_{m+1}(z) \ge a_m(g) \ge a_m(z)$

The optimal hotel number we visit on day m+1 has to be \geq the hotel number we visit on day m with both the greedy and optimal approach.

4. $a_{m+1}(g) \ge a_{m+1}(z)$

This step shows us finally that for the entire solution, greedy does best.

(b) (6 points) Formally argue that this algorithm is correct using the "Local Swap" method. More concretely, given some hypothetical optimal solution Z of size k and the solution Z^* output by greedy, define some solution Z_1 with the following two properties: (1) Z_1 is no worse than Z; (2) Z_1 agrees with greedy in the first day travel plan. After Z_1 is defined, define Z_2 s.t.: (1) Z_2 is no worse than Z_1 ; (2) Z_2 agrees with greedy in the first two days travel plan. And so on until you eventually reach greedy.

Solution:

- 1. Z_1 picks the furthest we can go on day 1. This can't be worse than the optimal, because it cannot be less then the optimal.
- 2. Z_1 agrees with optimal because there is no further you can go for option 1 than the greedy so Z_1 agrees with greedy.
- 3. Z_2 First two days selected greedy wont be worse than the optimal because it is the furthest possible for 2 days, so it cannot be less than optimal.
- 4. Z_2 agrees with Optimal Z because for the only 2 days that are different, we are picking the furthest we can go, which is the definition of optimal
- 5. Z_k is the optimal. We keep on moving one by one and see that with each replaced item, the greedy is the optimal. For every element greedy replaced works as good as optimal, making it the optimal

November 11, 2014

Solutions to Problem 4 of Homework 8 (10 points)

Name: Keeyon Ebrahimi Due: Wednesday, November 12

L	<i>0</i>	
F	ecall, Fibonacci numbers are defined by $f_0 = f_1 = 1$ and $f_i = f_{i-1} + f_{i-2}$ for $i \ge 2$.	
(a)	(2 points) What is the optimal Huffman code for the following set of frequencies whithe first 8 Fibonacci numbers.	:h a
	Solution: ************************************	***
(b)	(4 points) Let $S_1 = 2 = f_0 + f_1$ and $S_i = S_{i-1} + f_i = \dots = f_i + f_{i-1} + \dots + f_1 + f_0$ (for be the sum of the first i Fibonacci numbers. Prove that $S_i = f_{i+2} - 1$ for any $i \ge 1$.	<i>i</i> >
	Solution: ************************************	***
(c)	(4 points) Generalize your solution to part (a) to find the shape of the optimal Huffman for the first n Fibonacci numbers. Formally argue that your tree structure is correct, by part (b).	
	Solution: ************************************	***

November 11, 2014

Solutions to Problem 5 of Homework 8 (14 Points)

Name: Keeyon Ebrahimi Due: Wednesday, November 12

Little Johnny is extremely fond of watching television. His parents are off for work for the period [S, F), and he wants to make full use of this time by watching as much television as possible: in fact, he wants to watch TV non-stop the entire period [S; F). He has a list of his favorite n TV shows (on different channels), where the i-th show runs for the time period $[s_i, f_i)$, so that the union of $[s_i, f_i)$ fully covers the entire time period [S, F) when his parents are away.

(a) (10 points) Little Johnny doesn't mind to switch to the show already running, but is very lazy to switch the TV channels, and so he wants to find the smallest set of TV shows that he can watch, and still stay occupied for the entire period [S,F). Design an efficient $O(n\log n)$ greedy algorithm to help Little Johny. Do not forget to carefully argue the correctness of your algorithm, using either the "Greedy Always Stays Ahead" or the "Local Swap" argument.

Solution:	*******	INSERT	PROBLI	EM 5a SO	LUTION	HERE	*****	* *

(b) (4 points). Assume now that Little Johnny will only watch shows from the beginning till end (except show starting before S or ending after F), but now he fetches another TV from the adjacent room, so that he can potentially watch up to two shows at a time. Can you find a strategy that will give the smallest set of TV shows that he can watch on the two TVs, so that at any time throughout the interval [S, F) he watches at least one (and at most two) shows. (**Hint**: Try to examine your algorithm in part (a).)

Solution:	******	INSERT PE	ROBLEM 5	b SOLUTION	HERE	******