

## Reinforcement Learning - Assignment 2

Q1

Ex 3.4

S	a	s'	r	$P(s', r   s, a)$
high	search	high	$r_{\text{search}}$	$\alpha$
high	search	low	$r_{\text{search}}$	$1 - \alpha$
<del>to</del> low	search	high	-3	$1 - \beta$
low	search	low	$r_{\text{search}}$	$\beta$
high	wait	high	$r_{\text{wait}}$	1
<del>high</del> low	wait	low	$r_{\text{wait}}$	1
low	recharge	high	0	1

The table contains rows for all cases where  $P(s', r | s, a) > 0$

This table is based on Eg 3.3 in the book  
we have assumed that ~~the~~ :

$$P[\text{high } s_{t+1} = \text{high} | s_t = \text{high}, A_t = \text{search}] = \alpha$$

$$P[s_{t+1} = \text{low} | s_t = \text{low}, A_t = \text{search}] = \beta$$

Average reward for search =  $r_{\text{search}}$

Average reward for wait =  $r_{\text{wait}}$

Cost or Reward on completely running out of battery = -3

Reward for recharge = 0

Reminders



Scanned with  
CamScanner

Q2

For this question refer to the file **GridWorld.ipynb**. The file contains solutions to this problem in 2 approaches :

1. By solving simultaneous linear equations
2. Using policy evaluation

Two approaches have been used as an experiment to compare solutions obtained using iterative means as compared to the exact solution.

Note: The values achieved using policy evaluation have been rounded off to 1 decimal place

Optimal State Value Function :

3.3	8.8	4.4	5.3	1.5
1.5	3.0	2.3	1.9	0.5
0.1	0.7	0.7	0.4	-0.4
-1.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.6	-1.2
-1.9	-1.3	-1.2	-1.4	-2.0

Q3

Ex 3.15

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$$

If we add a constant 'c' to each reward then new return  $G'_t$  is,

$$\begin{aligned} G'_t &= R_{t+1} + c + \gamma(R_{t+2} + c) + \dots \\ &= c + \gamma c + \gamma^2 c + \dots + R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow G'_t = \frac{c}{1-\gamma} + G_t$$

Now,

$$V_{\pi}(s) = E \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} R_t \mid s_t = s \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} V'_{\pi}(s) &= E \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} (R_t + c) \mid s_t = s \right] \\ &= E \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} R_t \mid s_t = s \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ E \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} c \mid s_t = s \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow V'_{\pi}(s) = V_{\pi}(s) + \frac{c}{1-\gamma}$$

Reminders

$$\Rightarrow V_c = \frac{c}{1-\gamma} \equiv \text{constant}$$

Thus the sign of the reward does not matter, only the interval between them



Scanned with  
CamScanner

S M T W T F S S M T W T F S S M T W T F S S M T

Ex 3.16

Adding a constant 'c' to all rewards would have an effect unlike in continuous tasks.

$$V_{\pi}^c(s) = E [ R_{t+1} + c + \gamma(R_{t+2} + c) + \dots + \gamma^{K-t-1}(R_K + c) ]$$

$$= E [ R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{K-t-1} R_K ]$$

$$+ E [ c + \gamma c + \dots + \gamma^{K-t-1} c ]$$

$$V_{\pi}^c(s) = V_{\pi}(s) + c \frac{\gamma^{K-t} - 1}{\gamma - 1}$$

Here 'K' is a random number thus

$\frac{c(\gamma^{K-t} - 1)}{\gamma - 1}$  is not a constant

as episodes can end after

random finite time.

CS Scanned with CamScanner

Q4

For this question refer to the file **GridWorld.ipynb**.

Optimal State Value Function

[[22. 24.4 22. 19.4 17.5]  
[19.8 22. 19.8 17.8 16. ]  
[17.8 19.8 17.8 16. 14.4]  
[16. 17.8 16. 14.4 13. ]  
[14.4 16. 14.4 13. 11.7]]

Optimal Policy

```
['' → '' → ↓ ← ↑ '' ← '' → ↓ ← ↑ '' ← '' ]
['' → ↑ '' ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← '' ← '' ← '' ]
['' → ↑ '' ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ]
['' → ↑ '' ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ]
['' → ↑ '' ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ← ↑ '' ]
```

Q5

Q5

$$V_{\star}(s) = \max_a q_{\star}(s, a)$$

$$V_{\star}(s) = \max_{a \in A(s)} q_{\star}(s, a)$$

CS Scanned with CamScanner

## Q6

For this question refer to the file **DP\_GridWorld.ipynb**.

For **policy iteration** we can see that in the first two iteration the value function has increased for each state with respect to its value in the previous state. In the next iteration it converges to the optimal policy wherein the the value function remains the same as the previous state. Thus policy iteration is demonstrated to improve value function at each iteration.

In the below diagram we also see how the policy is changing on each iteration.

To correct the bug mentioned in Ex 4.4, we could use two possible fixes. This is observing the fact that multiple optimal policies would arise from multiple valid actions in a state have the same action-value function value  $\rightarrow Q(s,a1) = Q(s,a2)$ .

Thus the two possible fixes are:

1. In case of multiple optimal actions pick either the first or the last action, by maintaining the same action ordering across states
2. We could have a stochastic policy where for a given state the any of the optimal actions is equiprobable to occur while the non optimal actions occur with a zero probability.

```
[[ 0. -14. -20. -22.]
 [-14. -18. -20. -20.]
 [-20. -20. -18. -14.]
 [-22. -20. -14.  0.]]

[[ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ']]

[[ 0. -1. -2. -3.]
 [-1. -2. -3. -2.]
 [-2. -3. -2. -1.]
 [-3. -2. -1.  0.]]

[[ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ']]

[[ 0. -1. -2. -3.]
 [-1. -2. -3. -2.]
 [-2. -3. -2. -1.]
 [-3. -2. -1.  0.]]

[[ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ']]

Displaying Optimal Policy and Value Function

[[ 0. -1. -2. -3.]
 [-1. -2. -3. -2.]
 [-2. -3. -2. -1.]
 [-3. -2. -1.  0.]]

[[ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
  ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ']]
```

```
[[ 0. -1. -1. -1.]
 [-1. -1. -1. -1.]
 [-1. -1. -1. -1.]
 [-1. -1. -1.  0.]]
```

```
[[ 0. -1. -2. -2.]
 [-1. -2. -2. -2.]
 [-2. -2. -2. -1.]
 [-2. -2. -1.  0.]]
```

```
[[ 0. -1. -2. -3.]
 [-1. -2. -3. -2.]
 [-2. -3. -2. -1.]
 [-3. -2. -1.  0.]]
```

```
[[ 0. -1. -2. -3.]
 [-1. -2. -3. -2.]
 [-2. -3. -2. -1.]
 [-3. -2. -1.  0.]]
```

```
[[ 0. -1. -2. -3.]
 [-1. -2. -3. -2.]
 [-2. -3. -2. -1.]
 [-3. -2. -1.  0.]]
```

[illegible]

Refer to the file **Jacks\_Car\_Rental.ipynb** for the solution.