

$p \rightarrow q \rightarrow$   $p$  implies  $q$   
 $\checkmark$  if  $p$  then  $q$   
 $\rightarrow$   $q$  (whenever)  $p$

## Conditional Statements ✓

We will discuss several other important ways in which propositions can be combined.

### DEFINITION 5

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition "if  $p$ , then  $q$ ." The conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is false when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false, and true otherwise. In the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ ,  $p$  is called the hypothesis (or antecedent or premise) and  $q$  is called the conclusion (or consequence).

"If I am elected, then I will lower taxes."

$p$ : I am elected.

$q$ : I will lower taxes

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T

$p \rightarrow q$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

$p \rightarrow q \neq q \rightarrow p$  Commutative property does not hold.

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

✓  $p$ : you marry me.

✓  $q$ : I will bring a car for you.

### EXAMPLE 8 What is the value of the variable $x$ after the statement

if  $2 + 2 = 4$  then  $x := x + 1$

if  $x = 0$  before this statement is encountered? (The symbol  $:=$  stands for assignment. The statement  $x := x + 1$  means the assignment of the value of  $x + 1$  to  $x$ .)

**Solution:** Because  $2 + 2 = 4$  is true, the assignment statement  $x := x + 1$  is executed. Hence,  $x$  has the value  $0 + 1 = 1$  after this statement is encountered.

**CONVERSE, CONTRAPOSITIVE, AND INVERSE** We can form some new conditional statements starting with a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ . In particular, there are three related conditional statements that occur so often that they have special names. The proposition  $q \rightarrow p$  is called the **converse** of  $p \rightarrow q$ . The **contrapositive** of  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ . The proposition  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  is called the **inverse** of  $p \rightarrow q$ . We will see that of these three conditional statements formed from  $p \rightarrow q$ , only the contrapositive always has the same truth value as  $p \rightarrow q$ .

We first show that the contrapositive,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ , of a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  always has the same truth value as  $p \rightarrow q$ . To see this, note that the contrapositive is false only when  $\neg p$  is false and  $\neg q$  is true, that is, only when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false. We now show that neither the converse,  $q \rightarrow p$ , nor the inverse,  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ , has the same truth value as  $p \rightarrow q$  for all possible truth values of  $p$  and  $q$ . Note that when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false, the original conditional statement is false, but the converse and the inverse are both true.

$$\underline{p \rightarrow q}$$

Converse

$$\underline{q \rightarrow p}$$

Inverse

$$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$$

Contrapositive

$$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

"q whenever (p)"

**EXAMPLE 9** What are the contrapositive, the converse, and the inverse of the conditional statement

"The home team wins whenever it is raining?"

$p$ : It is raining

$q$ : The home team wins

✓  $\boxed{p \rightarrow q}$ : If It is raining, then the home team wins

$$q \rightarrow p \equiv \neg p \rightarrow \neg q$$

Converse:  $q \rightarrow p$ : If the home team wins, then It is raining

Inverse:  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ : If It is not raining, then the home team doesn't win.

Inverse  $\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$ : If It is not raining, then the home team doesn't win.

Contrapositive  $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$ : If the home team does not win then it is not raining

$p$	$q$	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$	$\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$
T	T	F	F	(T)✓	T	T✓	(T)
T	F	F	T	(F)✓	T	T✓	(F)
F	T	T	F	(T)	F	F	(T)
F	F	T	T	(T)	T	T	(T)✓

$p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim q \rightarrow \sim p$

**BICONDITIONALS** We now introduce another way to combine propositions that expresses that two propositions have the same truth value.

#### DEFINITION 6

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The *biconditional statement*  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is the proposition “ $p$  if and only if  $q$ .” The biconditional statement  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true when  $p$  and  $q$  have the same truth values, and is false otherwise. Biconditional statements are also called *bi-implications*.

**TABLE 6** The Truth Table for the Biconditional  $p \leftrightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

**EXAMPLE 10** Let  $p$  be the statement “You can take the flight,” and let  $q$  be the statement “You buy a ticket.” Then  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is the statement

“You can take the flight if and only if you buy a ticket.”

### DEFINITION 1

A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it, is called a *tautology*. A compound proposition that is always false is called a *contradiction*. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a *contingency*.

**EXAMPLE 1** We can construct examples of tautologies and contradictions using just one propositional variable. Consider the truth tables of  $p \vee \neg p$  and  $p \wedge \neg p$ , shown in Table 1. Because  $p \vee \neg p$  is always true, it is a tautology. Because  $p \wedge \neg p$  is always false, it is a contradiction. ◀

**EXAMPLE 11** Construct the truth table of the compound proposition

$$(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q).$$

**TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws.**

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

**EXAMPLE 3** Show that  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \vee q$  are logically equivalent.