DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS **Homework 9**

Aman Choudhary MTech Coursework, CSA 2020 Sr No: 17920

January 4, 2021

1 Problem 1

1.1 Max Clique

- Given a graph G = (V, E), a **clique** is a subset of vertices, $V' \subseteq V$ such that the sub-graph G' = (V', E) induced by V' is complete.
- The objective of this problem is to decide whether there exists a clique in G, such that $|V'| \ge k$, where k is a positive integer.
- The above problem is *NP-Complete* because it belongs to *NP* and $SAT \leq_p Max$ Clique.

1.2 3-Coloring

- **Graph Coloring** is the procedure of assigning a color to every vertex of a graph G = (V, E), such that no two adjacent vertices get same color.
- **3-Coloring** is a decision problem, where we want to determine whether a given graph *G*, can be colored using **at** most **3 colors**.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and $3 SAT \leq_p 3 Coloring$.

1.3 Max Cut

- Given a graph G = (V, E), a cut C = (A, B) partitions the vertices of the graph into two disjoint subsets A and B.
 The cut is defined by a cut-set, which is a set of edges {(u, v) ∈ E | u ∈ A, v ∈ B}. The number of edges in the cut-set is also known as the cardinality of the cut.
- The aim of Max Cut problem is to decide whether there is a cut of size at least k in G, for an integer k > 0.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and NAE3SAT (Not-all-equal -satisfiability) $\leq_p Max\ Cut$.

1.4 Set Cover

- The input consists of a finite set S, and a collection C of subsets of S. A sub-collection C' ⊆ C is termed as set cover of S, if the union of sets in C' equals S. In other words, every element of S, belongs to at least one member of the sub-collection C'.
- The problem at hand is to decide whether there exists a set cover C' for S such that $|C'| \le k$, for some positive integer k.
- The above problem is *NP-Complete* because it belongs to *NP* and $Vertex\ Cover\ \leq_p Set\ Cover.$

1.5 Subset Sum

- We are given n integers $A = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ as input along with a **target sum** W (an integer).
- The **Subset Sum** problem is a decision problem where the objective is to answer whether any subset $B \subseteq A$ exists, such that $\sum_{w_i \in B} w_i = W$.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and $SAT \leq_p Subset\ Sum$.

1.6 Max 3-D Matching

- Let us consider three finite and mutually disjoint sets: X,Y,Z. Now, we define $T \subseteq \{X \times Y \times Z\}$. Basically, every element of T is a triple (x,y,z) such that $x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z$.
- A subset $M \subseteq T$ is known as **3-D Matching** if for any two distinct elements $u = \{u_X, u_Y, u_Z\} \in M$ and $v = \{v_X, v_Y, v_Z\} \in M$, the following condition is satisfied: $u_X \neq v_X$, $u_Y \neq v_Y$, $u_Z \neq v_Z$.
- Given a positive integer k, the objective of this problem is to decide whether there exists $M \subseteq T$ such that $|M| \ge k$.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and $3 SAT \leq_p Max \ 3 D \ Matching$.

1.7 Min Hitting Set

- The input consists of a finite set S, and a collection C of subsets of S. A hitting set for C is defined to be a subset
 H ⊆ S, such that H contains at least one element from each subset in C.
- Given a positive integer k, our goal is to determine whether there exists a hitting set $H \subseteq S$, such that $|H| \le k$.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and $Vertex\ Cover\ \leq_p Min\ Hitting\ Set.$

1.8 Hamiltonian Cycle

- A path *P* in a graph *G*, is termed as a **Hamiltonian Path**, if it visits every vertex exactly once. A **Hamiltonian cycle**, also known as Hamiltonian circuit, is a Hamiltonian Path such that the last vertex of *P* is connected to the first vertex of *P* via an edge.
- The Hamiltonian cycle problem seeks to answer whether there exists a Hamiltonian cycle in G or not.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and Vertex Cover \leq_p Hamiltonian Cycle.

1.9 Integer Linear Programming

- It is a special case of the general **linear programming problem**, where some or all of the decision variables are restricted to be integers.
- It has various variants:
 - (i) Pure ILP: Here, every variable is restricted to be an integer.
 - (ii) Mixed ILP: While some variables are restricted to be integers, the others are permitted to take any value.
 - (iii) Binary ILP: All variables are restricted to be only 0 or 1.
- The above problem is NP-Complete because it belongs to NP and $SAT \leq_p Integer\ Linear\ Programming$.

2 Problem 2

A problem X is said to be **NP Complete** if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) X belongs to the class NP, i.e. $X \in NP$
- (ii) A problem Y known to be NP-Complete, can be reduced to X in polynomial time, i.e. $Y \leq_p X$.

Let X denote our **Path Cover** problem. We are given an undirected graph G and an integer k, along with a set of m shortest paths $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_m\}$. The objective is to determine whether there exists at most k paths in \mathcal{P} such that their union covers all the edges in G.

Part 1: $X \in NP$

Candidate Solution: For a graph G = (V, E), we are given a set of paths \mathcal{P}' .

Claim 1: Any candidate solution for *X* has length of polynomial order in the input size.

 \mathcal{P}' is a set of at most k paths. Again, a path can have no more than |E| edges. Hence, the total space required to represent a candidate solution is O(kE). Here, k is bounded by m which itself can never exceed the maximum number of shortest paths possible in G. Thus, $m = O(\binom{V}{2}) = O(V^2)$. As a result, the total space complexity of candidate solution $O(V^2E)$, which is of polynomial order. Hence, Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2: Any candidate solution for X can be verified in polynomial time.

To verify a given possible solution, we need to iterate over all the paths in \mathcal{P}' , and while going over each path, mark the edges which get covered in the process. In the worst case, we might need to check each of the k paths in \mathcal{P}' . Again, each one of these paths can have at most |E| edges. Therefore, the time to iterate over all the paths, is $O(kE) = O(V^2E)$. So, we can verify any solution in polynomial time. Hence, Claim 2 is also true.

From Clam 1 and Claim 2, we conclude that $X \in NP$.