

SI251 Convex Optimization

Homework 3

Instructor: Professor Ye Shi

Due on 11 Dec 23:59 UTC+8

Note:

- Please provide enough calculation process to get full marks.
- Please submit your homework to Gradescope with entry code: **J7DK2D**.
- Please check carefully whether the question number on the gradescope corresponds to each question.

Exercise 1. (Proximal Operator)

Question 1 (5 pts)

Let $f(X) = \lambda \|X\|_*$, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ is a matrix, $\|X\|_*$ denotes the nuclear norm, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the regularization parameter. Please derive proximal operator of f , i.e., $\text{prox}_f(\mathbf{X})$.

Solution:

$$\text{prox}_{\lambda \|\cdot\|_*}(X) = \arg \min_Z \{ \lambda \|Z\|_* + \frac{1}{2} \|Z - X\|_F^2 \}$$

We can perform SVD decomposition on matrices Z and X respectively

$$Z = U_Z \Sigma_Z V_Z^T, \quad X = U \Sigma V$$

The nuclear norm $\|Z\|_*$ is the sum of the singular values of Z :

$$\|Z\|_* = \sum_i \sigma_i(Z)$$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = (\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})) = \left(\sum_i [\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}]_{ii} \right) = \left(\sum_i \left(\sum_j A_{ij}^T A_{ji} \right) \right) = \left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2 \right)$$

We can prove that the square of the Frobenius norm is equal to the sum of the squares of its elements, so we can get

$$\|Z - X\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} (Z_{ij} - X_{ij})^2$$

Since U, V are orthogonal matrix and we have $\|UAV\|_F = \|A\|_F$. Meanwhile, the Frobenius norm is monotonic to singular values, so we can transform the problem into an optimization problem for singular values. we can get:

$$\|Z - X\|_F^2 = \|U_Z \Sigma_Z V_Z^T - U \Sigma V\|_F^2 \Rightarrow \|\Sigma_Z - \Sigma\|_F^2 = \sum_i (\sigma_i(Z) - \sigma_i)^2$$

So the objective function becomes:

$$\min_{\sigma_i(Z)} \left\{ \lambda \sum_i \sigma_i(Z) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\sigma_i(Z) - \sigma_i)^2 \right\}$$

This objective function is independent for each $\sigma_i(Z)$. The objective function becomes:

$$\min_{\sigma_i(Z)} \left\{ \lambda \sigma_i(Z) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_i(Z) - \sigma_i)^2 \right\}$$

Take the derivative of $\sigma_i(Z)$ and set the derivative to 0

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma_i(Z)} (\lambda \sigma_i(Z) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_i(Z) - \sigma_i)^2) = \lambda + (\sigma_i(Z) - \sigma_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_i(Z) = \sigma_i - \lambda$$

Since the eigenvalues obtained by SVD decomposition of the matrix are all positive numbers, there is an implicit condition $\sigma_i(Z) \geq 0, \sigma_i \geq 0$ here. So we get:

$$\sigma_i(Z) = \max(\sigma_i - \lambda, 0)$$

So we can get:

$$\text{prox}_{\lambda \|\cdot\|_*}(X) = U \text{diag}(\max(\sigma_i - \lambda, 0)) V^T, \text{ where } X = U \Sigma V^T$$

Question 2 (5 pts)

If $f(x) = g(ax + b)$ with $a \neq 0$, please prove that

$$\text{prox}_f(x) = \frac{1}{a}(\text{prox}_{a^2g}(ax + b) - b) \quad (1)$$

Solution:

$$\text{prox}_f(x) = \arg \min_z \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_2^2 + g(ax + b) \right\} \quad (2)$$

By change-of-variables, Assume $u = az + b$, $\arg \min_z = \frac{\arg \min_u - b}{a}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prox}_f(x) &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\arg \min_u \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{u - b}{a} - x \right\|_2^2 + g(u) \right\} - b \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\arg \min_u \left\{ \frac{1}{2a^2} \|u - (ax + b)\|_2^2 + g(u) \right\} - b \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\arg \min_u \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|u - (ax + b)\|_2^2 + a^2 g(u) \right\} - b \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} (\text{prox}_{a^2g}(ax + b) - b) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Exercise 2. (Conjugate Function)(5 pts)

Given arbitrary function $f(x)$, there's an obvious convexity for its conjugate function,

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \text{dom } f} (y^T x - f(x)),$$

where $f^*(y)$ represents the combination of series of point by point upper bounds. Please provide a detailed proof to the convexity of conjugate functions.

(Hint: I suggest that you follow the instructions below to complete this proof in order to gain a full understanding of the geometric meaning of conjugate functions and dual problems.

1. Transform a closed convex function to its epigraph.
2. Transform the epigraph to a hyperplane of which normal vector is $(y, -1)$.
3. Try to clip subsets of the hyperplanes, then associate each hyperplane with some crossing points)

Solution:

Given a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, its support function is as follows,

$$h_A(x) \equiv \sup\{x \cdot a : a \in A\},$$

where describes a set of its support hyperplanes. For every vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we project the set A onto x in order to fetch the largest value of the projection norm. Thus we get hyperplanes with each x representing the plane's normal vector,

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y = h_A(x)\}.$$

If A is convex, there is a bijection between A and h_A .

With the above prerequisite, now consider a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ and its epigraph:

$$\text{epi}(f) \equiv \{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : f(x) \leq \alpha\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

A function is convex if its epigraph is convex. The supporting function of the epigraph is as follows:

$$h_{\text{epi}(f)}(y, y^*) = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \geq f(\mathbf{x})} (y^T \cdot \mathbf{x} + y^* \alpha),$$

where the normal vector for each hyperplane is (y, y^*) .

We don't need too many normal directions in our proof, it's sufficient to only look at the normal vectors in the form of $(y, -1)$. Then we can get the subset of the above hyperplane set, which is:

$$h_{\text{epi}(f)}(y, -1) \equiv \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \geq f(\mathbf{x})} (y^T \cdot \mathbf{x} - \alpha).$$

From the above definition, we reformulate the inequality constraint $f(x) \leq \alpha$ to,

$$-\alpha \leq -f(x),$$

where we can make substitution between maximising $-\alpha$ and $-f(x)$, thus we derive that:

$$h_{\text{epi}(f)}(y, -1) \equiv \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} (y^T \cdot \mathbf{x} - f(\mathbf{x})),$$

which is clearly the definition of the conjugate function.

Finally, we can conclude that the conjugate function of f is the set of hyperplanes to the epigraph of f , and it is clearly convex.

Exercise 3. (ADMM)(5 pts)

Consider the following robust PCA problem, which try to decompose matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ into low rank matrix $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and sparse matrix $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$:

$$\min_{\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{S}} \quad \|\mathbf{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\mathbf{S}\|_1 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{M} \quad (5)$$

where $\|\mathbf{L}\|_* := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\mathbf{L})$ is the nuclear norm, and $\|\mathbf{S}\|_1 := \sum_{i,j} |S_{ij}|$ is the entrywise ℓ_1 norm. Please prove that when the dual variable is $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, the ADMM update of robust PCA problem is

$$\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} = \text{SVT}_{\rho^{-1}} \left(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{S}^{(t)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} \right) \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} = \text{ST}_{\lambda \rho^{-1}} \left(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} + \rho \left(\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} - \mathbf{M} \right) \quad (8)$$

where for any \mathbf{X} with SVD $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top$ ($\mathbf{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\{\sigma_i\})$), one has

$$\text{SVT}_\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{U} \text{diag}(\{(\sigma_i - \tau)_+\}) \mathbf{V}^\top \quad (9)$$

and

$$(\text{ST}_\tau(\mathbf{X}))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} X_{i,j} - \tau, & \text{if } X_{i,j} > \tau, \\ 0, & \text{if } |X_{i,j}| \leq \tau, \\ X_{i,j} + \tau, & \text{if } X_{i,j} < -\tau. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Hint: Please provide enough proof details, or you will lose points.

Solution: The primal variables \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{S} are augmented with a dual variable $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ to form the following augmented Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \|\mathbf{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\mathbf{S}\|_1 + \langle \mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{M} \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}\|_F^2,$$

The update for \mathbf{L} involves minimizing the augmented Lagrangian with respect to \mathbf{L} while keeping \mathbf{S} and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ fixed:

$$\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{L}} \|\mathbf{L}\|_* + \langle \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)}, \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}^{(t)} - \mathbf{M} \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}^{(t)} - \mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)}\|_F^2.$$

By differentiating and setting the derivative to zero, we can identify the update rule as a **soft singular value thresholding** (SVT) operation. The result is:

$$\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} = \text{SVT}_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{S}^{(t)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} \right),$$

where the soft singular value thresholding operator is defined as:

$$\text{SVT}_\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{U} \text{diag}(\{(\sigma_i - \tau)_+\}) \mathbf{V}^\top,$$

with $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top$ being the singular value decomposition (SVD) of \mathbf{X} , and $(\sigma_i - \tau)_+ = \max(\sigma_i - \tau, 0)$.

Step 2: Update for \mathbf{S}

Next, we update \mathbf{S} by minimizing the augmented Lagrangian with respect to \mathbf{S} :

$$\mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)}).$$

The term to minimize is:

$$\lambda \|\mathbf{S}\|_1 + \langle \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)}, \mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{M} \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)}\|_F^2.$$

The minimization with respect to \mathbf{S} leads to a **soft thresholding** operation:

$$\mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} = \text{ST}_{\frac{\lambda}{\rho}} \left(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} \right),$$

where the soft thresholding operator is defined elementwise as:

$$(\text{ST}_{\tau}(\mathbf{X}))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} X_{i,j} - \tau, & \text{if } X_{i,j} > \tau, \\ 0, & \text{if } |X_{i,j}| \leq \tau, \\ X_{i,j} + \tau, & \text{if } X_{i,j} < -\tau. \end{cases}$$

Step 3: Update for $\mathbf{\Lambda}$

Finally, we update the dual variable $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ by gradient ascent on the augmented Lagrangian:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} + \rho \left(\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} - \mathbf{M} \right).$$

This update follows directly from the structure of the augmented Lagrangian.

Conclusion

Thus, the ADMM updates for the robust PCA problem are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} &= \text{SVT}_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{S}^{(t)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} \right), \\ \mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} &= \text{ST}_{\frac{\lambda}{\rho}} \left(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} \right), \\ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t+1)} &= \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(t)} + \rho \left(\mathbf{L}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{S}^{(t+1)} - \mathbf{M} \right). \end{aligned}$$