CS100 Lecture 19

Operator Overloading

Contents

- Basics
- Example: Rational
 - Arithmetic and relational operators
 - Increment and decrement operators (++ , --)
 - IO operators (<< , >>)
- Example: Dynarray
 - Subscript operator ([])
- Example: WindowPtr
 - Dereference (indirection) operator (*)
 - Member access through pointer (->)
- User-defined type conversions

Operator overloading: Provide the behaviors of operators on objects of class types.

Operators with the same name, when applied to objects of different class types, may have different behaviors:

```
std::string a = "hello", b = "world";
a + b; // Concatenate two strings

Student a("Alice", 2020321321), b("Bob", 2020123123);
a + b; // Group two students
```

Operator overloading: Provide the behaviors of operators on objects of class types.

We have already seen some:

- The copy assignment operator and the move assignment operator are two special overloads for the assignment operator = .
- The <iostream> library provides overloaded >> and << to perform input and output.
- The <string> library provides the addition operator + for concatenation of strings, and < , <= , > , >= , == , != for comparison in lexicographical order.
- Standard library containers and std::string have [].
- Smart pointers have * and ->.

An operator @ is overloaded by defining an operator function of name operator@:

• as a member function, in which the leftmost operand is bound to this:

• as a non-member function:

Some operators cannot be overloaded:

```
obj.mem , :: , ?:
```

Some operators can be overloaded, but are strongly not recommended:

```
cond1 && cond2 , cond1 || cond2
```

Reason: Since x && y would become operator&&(x, y), there is no way to overload && (or ||) that preserves the short-circuit evaluation property.

 Modifying the behaviors of operators on built-in types is not allowed. At least one operand should be a class type.

```
int operator+(int, int); // Error.
MyInt operator-(int, int); // Still error.
```

Inventing new operators is not allowed.

```
double operator**(double x, double exp); // Error.
```

 Overloading does not modify the associativity, precedence and the operands' evaluation order.

```
std::cout << a + b; // Equivalent to `std::cout << (a + b)`.</pre>
```

Example: Rational

A class for rational numbers

A rational number can be expressed as $rac{a}{b}$, where $a,b\in Z$ and b
eq 0.

```
class Rational {
 unsigned m_denom; // denominator
 void simplify() { // Private, because this is our implementation detail.
   int gcd = std::gcd(m_num, m_denom); // std::gcd in <numeric> (since C++17)
   m num /= gcd; m denom /= gcd;
public:
 Rational(int x = 0): m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {} // Also a default constructor.
 Rational(int num, unsigned denom) : m num{num}, m denom{denom} { simplify(); }
 double to double() const {
   return static cast<double>(m num) / m denom;
```

We want to have arithmetic operators supported for Rational.

A good way: define operator+= and the unary operator-, and then define other operators in terms of them.

```
class Rational {
 friend Rational operator-(const Rational &); // Unary `operator-` as in `-x`.
public:
  Rational & operator += (const Rational & rhs) { // `*this` is `lhs`
    m_num = m_num * static_cast<int>(rhs.m_denom) // Be careful with `unsigned`!
            + static_cast<int>(m_denom) * rhs.m_num;
    m_denom = m_denom * rhs.m_denom;
    simplify();
    return *this; // `x += y` should return a reference to `x`.
Rational operator-(const Rational &x) {
  return Rational(-x.m_num, x.m_denom);
```

Define the arithmetic operators in terms of the compound assignment operators.

```
class Rational {
public:
  Rational & operator -= (const Rational & rhs) {
    // Makes use of `operator+=` and the unary `operator-`.
    return *this += -rhs;
};
Rational operator+(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return Rational(lhs) += rhs; // Makes use of `operator+=`.
Rational operator-(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return Rational(lhs) -= rhs; // Makes use of `operator-=`.
```

Avoid repetition

The arithmetic operators for Rational are simple yet requires carefulness.

- Integers with different signed-ness need careful treatment.
- Remember to simplify().

Fortunately, we only need to pay attention to these things in operator+= . Everything will be right if operator+= is right.

Avoid repetition

The code would be very error-prone if you implement every function from scratch!

```
class Rational {
public:
  Rational & operator += (const Rational & rhs) {
    m num = m num * static cast<int>(rhs.m denom)
           + static cast<int>(m denom) * rhs.m num;
    m denom = m denom * rhs.m denom;
    simplify();
    return *this;
  Rational & operator -= (const Rational & rhs) {
    m num = m num * static cast<int>(rhs.m denom)
           - static cast<int>(m denom) * rhs.m num;
    m denom = m denom * rhs.m denom;
    simplify();
    return *this;
  friend Rational operator+(const Rational &,
                             const Rational &);
  friend Rational operator-(const Rational &,
                             const Rational &);
};
```

```
Rational operator+(const Rational &lhs,
                   const Rational &rhs) {
  return Rational(
    lhs.m num * static cast<int>(rhs.m denom)
        + static_cast<int>(lhs.m_denom) * rhs.lhs,
    lhs.m denom * rhs.m denom
Rational operator-(const Rational &lhs,
                   const Rational &rhs) {
  return Rational(
    lhs.m num * static cast<int>(rhs.m denom)
        - static_cast<int>(lhs.m_denom) * rhs.lhs,
    lhs.m denom * rhs.m denom
```

What if we define operator+ and operator- as member functions?

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational(int x = 0) : m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {}
   Rational operator+(const Rational &rhs) const {
        // ...
   }
};
```

What if we define operator+ and operator- as member functions?

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational(int x = 0) : m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {}
   Rational operator+(const Rational &rhs) const {
        // ...
   }
};
```

```
Rational r = some_value();
auto s = r + 0; // OK, `r.operator+(0)` => `r.operator+(Rational(0))`
auto t = 0 + r; // Error! `0.operator+(r)` ???
```

To allow implicit conversions on both sides, the operator should be defined as **non-member functions**.

```
Rational r = some_value();
auto s = r + 0; // OK, `operator+(r, 0)` => `operator+(r, Rational(0))`
auto t = 0 + r; // OK, `operator+(0, r)`=> `operator+(Rational(0), r)`
```

[Best practice] The "symmetric" operators, whose operands are often exchangeable, often should be defined as non-member functions.

Rational: relational operators

Define < and == , and define others in terms of them.

A possible way: Use public to_double() and compare the floating-point values.

```
bool operator<(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return lhs.to_double() < rhs.to_double();
}</pre>
```

- operator< is a non-member function, and doesn't need to be friend.
- However, this is subject to floating-point errors.

Rational: relational operators

Another way (possibly better):

If there are public member functions to obtain the numerator and the denominator, these functions don't need to be friend.

Rational: relational operators

Define others in terms of < and == :

```
bool operator>(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return rhs < lhs;</pre>
bool operator<=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {</pre>
  return !(lhs > rhs);
bool operator>=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return !(lhs < rhs);</pre>
bool operator!=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return !(lhs == rhs);
```

Avoid abuse of operator overloading

```
struct Point2d { double x, y; };
bool operator<(const Point2d &lhs, const Point2d &rhs) {
  return lhs.x < rhs.x; // Is this the unique, best behavior?
}
// Much better design: Use a named function.
bool less_in_x(const Point2d &lhs, const Point2d &rhs) {
  return lhs.x < rhs.x;
}</pre>
```

[Best practice] Operators should be used for operations that are likely to be unambiguous to users.

• If an operator has plausibly more than one interpretation, use named functions instead. Function names can convey more information.

```
std::string has operator+ for concatenation. Why doesn't std::vector have one?
```

Rational: increment and decrement operators

++ and -- are often defined as **members**, because they modify the object.

To differentiate between the prefix version ++x and the postfix version x++: The postfix version has a parameter of type int.

• The compiler will translate ++x to x.operator++(), x++ to x.operator++(0).

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational & operator++() { m_num += static_cast<int>(m_denom); simplify(); return *this; }
   Rational operator++(int) { // This `int` parameter is not used.
    auto tmp = *this;
   ++*this; // Makes use of the prefix version.
   return tmp;
   }
};
```

Rational: increment and decrement operators

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational & operator++() { m_num += static_cast<int>(m_denom); simplify(); return *this; }
   Rational operator++(int) { // This `int` parameter is not used.
   auto tmp = *this;
   ++*this; // Make use of the prefix version.
   return tmp;
   }
};
```

The prefix version returns reference to the operand (*this), while the postfix version returns a copy of the operand (*this) before incrementation.

Same as the built-in behaviors.

Rational: IO operators

```
Implement std::cin >> r and std::cout << r .</pre>
```

Input operator:

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &, Rational &);
```

Output operator:

```
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &, const Rational &);</pre>
```

- std::cin is of type std::istream, and std::cout is of type std::ostream.
- The left-hand side operand should be returned, so that we can write

```
std::cin >> a >> b >> c; std::cout << a << b << c;
```

Rational: input operator

Suppose the input format is $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix}$ for the rational number $\frac{a}{b}$, where $\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} b \end{bmatrix}$ are integers.

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &is, Rational &r) {
  int x, y; is >> x >> y;
  if (!is) { // Pay attention to input failures!
    x = 0;
    y = 1;
  }
  if (y < 0) { y = -y; x = -x; }
  r = Rational(x, y);
  return is;
}</pre>
```

Rational: output operator

```
class Rational {
  friend std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &, const Rational &);
};
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &os, const Rational &r) {
  return os << r.m_num << '/' << r.m_denom;
}</pre>
```

If there are public member functions to obtain the numerator and the denominator, it don't have to be a friend.

```
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &os, const Rational &r) {
  return os << r.get_numerator() << '/' << r.get_denominator();
}</pre>
```

Example: Dynarray

Dynarray: subscript operator

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
public:
  int &operator[](std::size_t n) {
    return m storage[n];
  const int &operator[](std::size_t n) const {
    return m_storage[n];
};
```

The use of a[i] is interpreted as a.operator[](i).

Example: WindowPtr

WindowPtr: indirection (dereference) operator

Recall the WindowPtr class we defined in the previous lecture.

```
class WindowWithCounter {
public:
 Window theWindow;
 int refCount = 1;
class WindowPtr { // "shared pointer"
 WindowWithCounter *m_ptr;
public:
 Window &operator*() const {
    return m ptr->theWindow;
};
```

We want *sp to return reference to the owned object.

WindowPtr: member access through pointer

std::addressof(x) is almost always equivalent to &x, but the latter may not return the address of x if operator& for x has been overloaded!

User-defined type conversions

Type conversions can happen either **implicitly** or **explicitly**. A conversion is **explicit** if and only if the target type U is written explicitly in the conversion expression.

Explicit conversions can happen in one of the following forms:

expression	explanation	example
what_cast <u>(expr)</u>	named cast operators	<pre>static_cast<int>(3.14)</int></pre>
U(expr)	looks like a constructor call	std::string("xx"), int(3.14)
(U)expr	old C-style conversion	Not recommended. Don't use it.

Type conversion casts a type T to a different type U.

Type conversions can happen either **implicitly** or **explicitly**. A conversion is **explicit** if and only if the target type u is written explicitly in the conversion expression.

• Arithmetic conversions are often allowed to happen implicitly:

• The dangerous conversions for built-in types must be explicit:

```
const int *cip = something();
auto ip = const_cast<int *>(cip);  // int *
auto cp = reinterpret_cast<char *>(ip); // char *
```

Type conversion casts a type T to a different type U.

Type conversions can happen either **implicitly** or **explicitly**. A conversion is **explicit** if and only if the target type U is written explicitly in the conversion expression.

• This is also a type conversion:

```
std::string s = "hello"; // from `const char [6]` to `std::string`
```

• This is also a type conversion:

```
std::size_t n = 1000;
std::vector<int> v(n); // from `std::size_t` to `std::vector<int>`
```

How do these type conversions happen? Are they implicit or explicit?

We can define a type conversion for a class x in one of the following ways:

- 1. A **constructor** with exactly one parameter of type T: a conversion from T to X.
 - o Example: std::string has a constructor accepting a const char * .
 std::vector<Type> has a constructor accepting a std::size_t .
- 2. A **type conversion operator**: a conversion from x to some other type T.

```
class Rational {
public:
    // Conversion from `Rational` to `double`.
    operator double() const { return 1.0 * m_num / m_denom; }
};

Rational r(3, 4);
double dval = r; // 0.75
```

Type conversion operator

A type conversion operator is a member function of class x, which defines the type conversion from x to some other type τ .

```
class Rational {
public:
    // Conversion from `Rational` to `double`.
    operator double() const { return 1.0 * m_num / m_denom; }
};

Rational r(3, 4);
double dval = r; // 0.75
```

- The name of the function is operator T.
- The return type is T, which is not written before the name.
- A type conversion is usually a **read-only** operation, so it is usually const.

Explicit type conversion

Some implicit conversions through constructors should be allowed:

Some implicit conversions through constructors should never happen!

Explicit type conversion

To disallow the implicit use of a constructor for type conversion, write explicit:

```
class string {
public:
    string(const char *cstr); // Not marked `explicit`. Implicit use is allowed.
};

class vector {
public:
    explicit vector(std::size_t n); // Implicit use is not allowed.
};
```

Explicit type conversion

To disallow the implicit use of a type conversion operator, also write explicit:

Avoid abuse of type conversion operators

Type conversion operators can lead to unexpected results!

```
class Rational {
public:
    operator double() const { return 1.0 * m_num / m_denom; }
    operator const char *() const {
        return (std::to_string(m_num) + "/" + std::to_string(m_denom)).c_str();
    }
};

Rational r(3, 4);
std::cout << r << '\n'; // Ooops! Is it `0.75` or `3/4`?</pre>
```

In the code above, either mark the type conversion operators as explicit, or remove them and define named functions like to_double() and to_string() instead.

Contextual conversion to bool

A special rule for conversion to bool.

Suppose expr is an expression of a class type x, and suppose x has an explicit type conversion operator to bool. In the following contexts, that conversion is applicable even if it is not written as bool(expr) or static_cast<bool>(expr):

- if (expr), while (expr), for (...; expr; ...), do ... while (expr)
- as the operand of ! , && , ||
- as the first operand of ?:: expr ? something : something_else

Summary

Operator overloading

- As a member function: @a ⇔ a.operator@(), a @ b ⇔ a.operator@(b)
 - The postfix ++ and -- are special: They have a special int parameter to make them different from the prefix ones.
 - The operator -> is special: Although it looks like a binary operator in ptr->mem, it is unary.
- As a non-member function: @a ⇔ operator@(a), a @ b ⇔ operator@(a, b)
- Avoid repetition.
- Avoid abuse of operator overloading.

Summary

User-defined type conversions

- Implicit vs. explicit
- Define a type conversion for a class type: either through a constructor or through a type conversion operator.
- To disable the implicit use of user-defined type conversions: explicit
- Avoid abuse of type conversion operators.
- Conversion to bool has some special rules (contextual conversion).

Exercise

Define operator* (multiplication) and operator/ (division) as well as operator*= and operator/= for Rational.

Exercise

We often test whether a pointer is non-null like this:

```
if (ptr) {
   // ...
}
auto val = ptr ? ptr->some_value : 0;
```

Define a conversion from WindowPtr to bool, so that we can test whether a WindowPtr is non-null in the same way.

• Should this conversion be allowed to happen implicitly? If not, mark it explicit.