Numerical Optimization, Fall 2024 Homework 5

Due 23:59 (CST), Nov. 24, 2024

1. Prove that by applying the quasi-Newton curvature condition $s_k^T y_k > 0$ in the univariate case, the quasi-Newton method is equivalent to the secant method. [10pts]

Solution:

From the update of Quasi-Newton method

$$x_{k+2} = x_{k+1} - H_{k+1}^{-1} f'(x_{k+1}).$$

Then, due to the secant equation $H_{k+1}s_k = y_k$, then

$$H_{k+1}^{-1} = \frac{s_k}{y_k} = \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{f'(x_{k+1}) - f'(x_k)}.$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k+2} = x_{k+1} - \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{f'(x_{k+1}) - f'(x_k)} f'(x_{k+1}).$$
 (Secant Method)

2. Consider an unconstrained optimization problem: $\min_x f(x)$, where $f(x) \in \mathcal{C}^2$ and f(x) is L-smooth on a bounded level set $\{x|f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$. Prove the convergence of backtracking Amijo line search. [10pts]

Solution:

According to Taylor's Theorem, there exists $t \in (0,1)$ such that

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) = f(x_k) + \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 d_k^T \nabla_k^2 f(x_k + t\alpha_k d_k)^T d_k,$$

since f(x) is L-smooth,

$$||\nabla^2 f(x)|| \le L,$$

Thus,

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \le f(x_k) + \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \frac{L}{2} \alpha_k^2 ||d_k||_2^2,$$

The Armijo condition is given by:

$$f(x_k + \alpha d_k) \le f(x_k) + c_1 \alpha \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k.$$

Backtracking Amijo line search reduces α_k geometrically $(\alpha_k = \beta^k \alpha_0, \beta \in (0,1))$ until $f(x_k) + c_1 \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k \leq f(x_k) + \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \frac{L}{2} \alpha^2 ||d_k||_2^2$, i.e., $\alpha_k \leq \frac{2(c_1-1)\nabla f(x_k)^T d_k}{L||d_k||^2}$ to satisfy the Armijo condition. Now we have

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge -c_1 \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k$$

$$\ge -c_1 \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k \frac{2(c_1 - 1) \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k}{L||d_k||^2}$$

$$\ge \frac{2c_1(1 - c_1)}{L} ||\nabla f(x_k)||^2,$$

thus,

$$||\nabla f(x_k)||^2 \le \frac{L}{2c_1(1-c_1)}(f(x_k)-f(x_{k+1})).$$

Summing from k = 0 to K - 1,

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \frac{L}{2c_1(1-c_1)K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} (f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}))$$

$$= \frac{L}{2c_1(1-c_1)K} (f(x_0) - f(x_K))$$

$$\le \frac{L}{2c_1(1-c_1)K} (f(x_0) - f(x^*))$$

$$\xrightarrow{K \to \infty} 0.$$

3. For minimizing a quadratic function f(x), f(x) is strictly convex. Given a current point x_k and a descent direction p_k , compute the exact line search steplength, i.e., $\min_{\alpha} f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$. [20pts]

Solution:

Given a quadratic function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^TAx + b^Tx + c$, where $A \succ 0$.

$$\phi(\alpha) = f(x_k + \alpha p_k) = \frac{1}{2} (x_k + \alpha p_k)^T A(x_k + \alpha p_k) + b^T (x_k + \alpha p_k) + c.$$

Let
$$\phi'(\alpha) = \alpha p_k^T A p_k + (x_k^T A p_k + b^T p_k) = 0$$
, we can get $\alpha = -\frac{x_k^T A p_k + b^T p_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$.

4. The Newton direction is $p_k = -\nabla^2 f(x_k)^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$. When is the Newton direction a descent direction? How do you modify the Newton direction as small as possible to generate a descent direction when the Newton direction is not a descent direction? [20pts]

Solution:

The Newton direction p_k is a descent direction if:

$$\nabla f(x_k)^T p_k < 0.$$

Substituting p_k :

$$\nabla f(x_k)^T (-\nabla^2 f(x_k)^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)) = -\nabla f(x_k)^T \nabla^2 f(x_k)^{-1} \nabla f(x_k).$$

This holds if $\nabla^2 f(x_k)$ is positive definite in the gradient direction. If $\nabla^2 f(x_k)$ is not positive definite in the gradient direction, modify $\nabla^2 f(x_k)$ by adding a multiple of the identity matrix λI such that $\nabla^2 f(x_k) + \lambda I$ is positive definite in the gradient direction.

5. Derive the simplified Conjugate Gradient (CG) iteration formula(see page 34 of lec11).[20pts]

Solution:

Applying $p_k = -r_k + \beta_k p_{k-1}$ and $r_k^T p_i = 0$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$, we can get $r_k^T p_k = r_k^T (-r_k + \beta_k p_{k-1}) = -r_k^T r_k + \beta_k r_k^T p_{k-1} = -r_k^T r_k$. Thus,

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{r_k^T p_k}{p_k^T A p_k} = \frac{r_k^T r_k}{p_k^T A p_k}.$$

From $r_k = Ax_k - b$ and $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$, we can get

$$r_{k+1} = Ax_{k+1} - b = A(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) - b = r_k + \alpha_k Ap_k.$$

Applying $p_k = -r_k + \beta_k p_{k-1}$ and $r_k^T p_i = 0$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$ once again, we can get

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T A p_k}{p_k^T A p_k} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T (r_{k+1} - r_k)}{p_k^T A p_k} \frac{p_k^T A p_k}{r_k^T r_k} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1} - r_{k+1}^T (\beta_k p_{k-1} - p_k)}{r_k^T r_k} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}}{r_k^T r_k}.$$

Thus, we derive the simplified Conjugate Gradient (CG) iteration formula from the preliminary Conjugate Gradient (CG) iteration formula.

6. Write a code to implement the Conjugate Gradient (CG) algorithm to solve the quadratic programming (QP) problems:

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x,$$

where A is a positive definite 100×100 matrix.

- 1) A with uniformly distributed eigenvalues.
- 2) A with 2-3 clusters of eigenvalues.

Output the residual at each iteration and count the number of matrix-vector multiplications. [20pts]

Solution:

- 1 import numpy as np
- 2
- # Conjugate Gradient algorithm to solve Ax = b

```
def conjugate_gradient(A, b, tol=1e-6, max_iter=1000)
5 n = len(b)
6 x = np.zeros(n)
  r = b - A @ x
  p = r
   residuals = []
   mat_{vec\_count} = 0
10
11
12 for k in range (max_iter):
13 Ap = A @ p
mat_vec_count += 1
alpha = np.dot(r, r) / np.dot(p, Ap)
16 x += alpha * p
r_new = r - alpha * Ap
   residuals.append(np.linalg.norm(r_new))
if np. linalg.norm(r_new) < tol:
beta = np.dot(r_new, r_new) / np.dot(r, r)
p = r_new + beta * p
r = r_new
24 return x, residuals, mat_vec_count
25
26 # Generate A with uniformly distributed eigenvalues
27 def generate_uniform_A(n):
Q = np.random.randn(n, n)
29 Q_{1} = np. linalg. qr(Q)
30 eigenvalues = np.random.uniform(0.1, 10, size=n)
31 A = Q @ np.diag(eigenvalues) @ Q.T
  return A
32
33
34 # Generate A with clustered eigenvalues
35 def generate_clustered_A(n):
Q = np.random.randn(n, n)
Q_{,-} = np. linalg. qr(Q)
  eigenvalues = np.concatenate([np.random.normal(1,
      0.1, size=n // 3),
   np.random.normal(5, 0.2, size=n // 3),
   np.random.normal(10, 0.3, size=n-2*(n // 3))
41 np.random.shuffle(eigenvalues)
42 A = Q @ np.diag(eigenvalues) @ Q.T # <math>A = Q * D * Q.T
  return A
43
44
45 # Main function to test the conjugate gradient method
46 def main():
47 \quad n = 10
```

```
b = np.random.randn(n)
49
   # Generate A with uniformly distributed eigenvalues
50
   A_uniform = generate_uniform_A(n)
51
52
   # Solve the quadratic problem using Conjugate
53
       Gradient
   x_uniform, residuals_uniform, mat_vec_count_uniform =
        conjugate_gradient (A_uniform, b)
55
   print("Conjugate Gradient with Uniform Eigenvalues:")
56
   print ("Residual at each iteration:",
       residuals_uniform)
   print ("Number of matrix-vector multiplications:",
       mat_vec_count_uniform)
59
   # Generate A with clustered eigenvalues
60
   A_{clustered} = generate_{clustered} A(n)
61
62
   # Solve the quadratic problem using Conjugate
       Gradient
   x_clustered, residuals_clustered,
64
       mat_vec_count_clustered = conjugate_gradient (
       A_clustered, b)
65
66
   print ("\nConjugate Gradient with Clustered
       Eigenvalues:")
   print ("Residual at each iteration:",
67
       residuals_clustered)
   print("Number of matrix-vector multiplications:",
68
       mat_vec_count_clustered)
69
  if = name_{-} = "-main_{-}":
71 main()
```

1) Conjugate Gradient with Uniform Eigenvalues:

Residual at each iteration:

```
[5.169894959300269,
                           3.7392404290561494,
                                                       3.0008180033976313,
3.3115065468953437,
                            2.740471796115691,
                                                       1.4108447074842965,
1.005011992456244,
                          0.6991816683838671,
                                                      0.34705354229234114,
0.2847502730561696,
                                                      0.23171901479967222,
                          0.22556164640232565,
0.20662880749497223,
                           0.16686984008187333,
                                                     0.15089594511689072,
0.10875554339542888,
                           0.09863161580317795,
                                                     0.07868770633862061,
0.05251366627512177,
                          0.03427582510580179,
                                                     0.026095163231704355,
0.017591302930239045,
                          0.014711331224339706,
                                                    0.010444712806052036,
```

0.007026244002107074,0.005050418974069619, 0.0033742066237358093, 0.0023635926848029586,0.001795338254547266,0.0012812722077705297,0.000865090994102751,0.0006015387945271139,0.0003261157886889722,0.0002536254991547981,0.0002246865753562579,0.00012198544482934101,6.484170838400927e-05, 3.695228005941589e-05, 2.155433496887668e-05, $1.5068116717848343e\text{-}05, \quad 1.5147285598976634e\text{-}05,$ 1.3548610319199826e-05,8.646217963873538e-06,3.942956851444215e-06,2.554499067635557e-06,2.104559326381829e-06,2.71614907540718e-06, 1.6625889374415396e-06, 6.846126490045413e-07]

Number of matrix-vector multiplications: 49

2) Conjugate Gradient with Clustered Eigenvalues:

Residual at each iteration:

[5.31926729790938,	4.055122899163675,	1.4498736530712544,
0.3369145433830931,	0.2777763669084279,	0.22532911586652246,
0.03192878728378892,	0.02773471200091442,	0.021173392880193476,
0.0034648443932144284,	0.0022876319373354367,	0.0018626350505418267,
0.0004078552205091622,	0.00016359311562878594,	0.0001325561254927638,
6.340304314447973e-05,	1.0728168993587029e-05,	$9.461720101462244 e\hbox{-}06,$
$8.43875642295306 \mathrm{e}\hbox{-}06,\ 9.478107270508043 \mathrm{e}\hbox{-}07]$		

Number of matrix-vector multiplications: 20