

# Thin QR Decomposition via Gram-Schmidt

From Gram-Schmidt,

$$\mathbf{a}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i r_{ji} \mathbf{q}_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where

$$r_{ii} = \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i\|_2, \quad r_{ji} = \mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, i-1$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1$$

where

$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{a}_n]$  full column rank

$\mathbf{Q}_1 = [\mathbf{q}_1 \quad \mathbf{q}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{q}_n]$  semi-orthogonal

$\mathbf{R}_1$  is upper triangular with  $[\mathbf{R}_1]_{ij} = r_{ij}$  for  $i \leq j$

- $\mathbf{R}_1$  is nonsingular because  $\det(\mathbf{R}) = \prod_{i=1}^n r_{ii} \neq 0$

$\sim$   
 $r_{ii} \neq 0 \quad \forall i$

## General Gram-Schmidt Procedure

Extension to the case where  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}_n]$  may not have full column rank

**Observation** from Gram-Schmidt:

- If  $\mathbf{a}_j$  is linearly dependent of  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j-1}$ , then  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j = \mathbf{0}$
- The number of nonzero  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i$ 's is  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$

**Idea:** If  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j = \mathbf{0}$ , skip to  $j + 1$  without computing  $\mathbf{q}_j$

All the  $\mathbf{q}_i$ 's form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$

**Algorithm:** General Gram-Schmidt

**input:** a collection of possibly linearly dependent vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$

$k=0$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$

$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{a}_i - \sum_{j=1}^k (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_i) \mathbf{q}_j$

if  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

$\mathbf{q}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i / \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i\|_2$

end %  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k\}$

end

**output:**  $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k$  %  $k = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{0} ; \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \square = 0$$

## General Gram-Schmidt Procedure (cont'd)

**Example:** Let  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5] \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 5}$

Suppose  $\mathbf{a}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_2$  is linearly independent from  $\mathbf{a}_1$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_3$  is linearly dependent of  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_4$  is linearly independent from  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_5$  is linearly dependent of  $\mathbf{a}_2$  only

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1 = \mathbf{a}_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \quad k=1 \quad \mathbf{g}_1 = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1 / \|\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1\|_2$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 - (\mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2) \mathbf{g}_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \quad k=2$$

$$\mathbf{g}_2 = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_2 / \|\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_2\|_2$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_3 = \mathbf{a}_3 - (\mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3) \mathbf{g}_1 - (\mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{a}_3) \mathbf{g}_2 = \mathbf{0} \quad k=2$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2\}$$

$$= \text{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_4 = \mathbf{a}_4 - (\mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{a}_4) \mathbf{g}_1 - (\mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{a}_4) \mathbf{g}_2 \neq \mathbf{0} \quad k=3$$

$$= \text{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3\}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_3 = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_4 / \|\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_4\|_2$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_5 = \mathbf{a}_5 - (\mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{a}_5) \mathbf{g}_1 - (\mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{a}_5) \mathbf{g}_2 - (\mathbf{g}_3^T \mathbf{a}_5) \mathbf{g}_3 = \mathbf{0} \quad k=3$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_5\}$$

## General Gram-Schmidt Procedure (cont'd)

**Example:** Let  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5] \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 5}$

Suppose  $\mathbf{a}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_2$  is linearly independent from  $\mathbf{a}_1$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_3$  is linearly dependent of  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_4$  is linearly independent from  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3$ ;  $\mathbf{a}_5$  is linearly dependent of  $\mathbf{a}_2$  only

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1\|_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}_1, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \underbrace{(\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2)}_{0 \text{ if } \mathbf{a}_1 \perp \mathbf{a}_2} \mathbf{q}_1 + \underbrace{\|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2\|_2}_{\neq 0} \mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\mathbf{a}_3 = (\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3) \mathbf{q}_1 + (\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_3) \mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\mathbf{a}_4 = (\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_4) \mathbf{q}_1 + (\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_4) \mathbf{q}_2 + \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_4\|_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}_3$$

$$\mathbf{a}_5 = (\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_5) \mathbf{q}_1 + (\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_5) \mathbf{q}_2 + \underbrace{(\mathbf{q}_3^T \mathbf{a}_5)}_{=0} \mathbf{q}_3$$

$$\mathbf{a}_5 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{a}_2\} \subset \text{span}\{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2\}, \quad \mathbf{a}_5 \perp \mathbf{q}_3$$

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5] = [\mathbf{q}_1 \ \mathbf{q}_2 \ \mathbf{q}_3]$$

$\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1\ _2$	$\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2$	$\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3$	$\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_4$	$\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_5$
0	$\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2\ _2$	$\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_3$	$\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_4$	$\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_5$
0	0	0	$\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_4\ _2$	0

## General Gram-Schmidt Procedure (cont'd)

Using General Gram-Schmidt,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = k \leq n$  can be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1$$

where

$\mathbf{Q}_1 = [\mathbf{q}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{q}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$  is semi-orthogonal

$\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$  is in an upper staircase form, where each staircase corresponds to a column of  $\mathbf{A}$  that is independent from previous columns

$\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$  is upper triangular<sup>1</sup>

### Applications:

- Obtain an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$
- Check whether  $\mathbf{b} \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$  by applying general Gram-Schmidt to  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{b}\}$
- The staircase pattern of  $\mathbf{R}_1$  indicates the dependence of each column of  $\mathbf{A}$  on previous columns

---

<sup>1</sup>From now on, we say a rectangular matrix is upper triangular if its  $(i, j)$ -entry is zero for all  $i > j$

# QR Decomposition

## Theorem

Any matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  admits a decomposition

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R} \quad (\text{QR Decomposition})$$

where  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is an upper triangular matrix.

In addition, when  $m = n$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  has full rank,  $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R})$  is unique if we restrict  $r_{ii} > 0$  for all  $i$ .

# Finding QR Decomposition via General Gram-Schmidt

1. Find any matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  s.t. the matrix  $[\mathbf{A} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{A}}]$  has full **row** rank rank = m
  - We may simply let  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{I}_m$

2. Applying General Gram-Schmidt gives

$$[\mathbf{A} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{A}}] = \mathbf{Q}\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \quad \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \text{ orthogonal}$$

3. Write  $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \quad \mathbf{Q}_2]$  where
  - $\mathbf{Q}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$  with  $k = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$  provides an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$
  - $\mathbf{Q}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-k)}$  provides an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}})$

4. Note that

$$\mathbf{A} = \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_1}_{m \times k} \underbrace{\mathbf{R}_1}_{k \times n} = \underbrace{[\mathbf{Q}_1 \quad \mathbf{Q}_2]}_{\mathbf{Q}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{0}_{(m-k) \times n} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{R}}$$

# Discussions

Thin QR Decomposition for general  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ :

$$\mathbf{A} = \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{0}_{(n-k) \times n} \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1}$$

where

$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is semi-orthogonal

$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is upper triangular

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1(:, 1:k) = \mathbf{Q}_1$$

When  $\mathbf{A}$  has full column rank, then  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1 = \mathbf{R}_1$

$\mathbf{A}$  has full column rank if and only if  $[\mathbf{R}_1]_{ii} \neq 0$  for all  $i$



## Discussions (cont'd)

Since  $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \quad \mathbf{Q}_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is orthogonal,

- $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$  are orthogonal
- $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^m = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q})$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$  are orthogonal complements of each other, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1)^\perp = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1), \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})^\perp = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$$

- The columns of  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$
- The columns of  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})^\perp = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}^T)$

## LS via QR

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = k$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_1}_{m \times k} & \mathbf{Q}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{R}_1}_{k \times n} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(m-k) \times n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{z} \|_2^2 \\ &= (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{z})^T (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{z}) \\ &= \mathbf{z}^T \underbrace{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^T}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$$

Using the QR decomposition,

$$\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_2^2 = \| \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y} \|_2^2 \quad \text{because orthogonal } \mathbf{Q} \text{ preserves norm}$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \right\|_2^2$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{Q}_1}_{\mathbf{I}} & \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{Q}_2}_0 \\ \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{Q}_1}_0 & \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{Q}_2}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \| \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y} \|_2^2 + \| \mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{y} \|_2^2$$

$\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2$   
semi-orthogonal

$\mathbf{I}$   
for orthogonal  
 $\mathbf{Q}$

## LS via QR (cont'd)

$$\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}_1^T\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{Q}_2^T\mathbf{y}\|_2^2$$

**Conclusion:**  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{LS}}$  is a least-squares solution to  $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2$  if and only if it is a least-squares solution to  $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  where  $\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_1^T\mathbf{y}$

Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  has full column rank, i.e.,  $k = n$

Then,  $\mathbf{R}_1$  is nonsingular and the unique least-squares solution is

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{LS}} = \mathbf{R}_1^{-1}\mathbf{b}_1$$

We may solve the triangular system  $\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_1$  by backward substitution

In this case, the optimal residual  $\|\mathbf{Ax}_{\text{LS}} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$  is

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_2^T\mathbf{y}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{Q}_2^T\mathbf{y}\|_2$$

Note that  $\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{Q}_2^T\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1)^\perp = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})^\perp$

$\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{Q}_2^T\mathbf{y}$  is the component of  $\mathbf{y}$  orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$

# Numerical Error Issue of Gram-Schmidt

Gram-Schmidt is numerically unstable due to propagation of numerical errors

**Example:** Given

$\mathbf{a}_1 = [1 \ \epsilon \ 0 \ 0]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_2 = [1 \ 0 \ \epsilon \ 0]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \epsilon]^T$  with tiny  $\epsilon$  so that the approximation  $1 + \epsilon^2 \approx 1$  can be made

Applying Gram-Schmidt with the above approximation yields

- $\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{\|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2} = [1 \ \epsilon \ 0 \ 0]^T$   $\|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2 = \sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} \approx 1$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{q}_1 = [0 \ -\epsilon \ \epsilon \ 0]^T$   $\text{no round-off error}$   
 $\mathbf{q}_2 = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2\|_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [0 \ -1 \ 1 \ 0]^T$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3 = \mathbf{a}_3 - \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3 \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_3 \mathbf{q}_2 = [0 \ -\epsilon \ 0 \ \epsilon]^T$   $\text{no error}$   
 $\mathbf{q}_3 = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3\|_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [0 \ -1 \ 0 \ 1]^T$

**Orthogonality is lost!**

$$\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{q}_2 \approx 0$$

$$\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{q}_3 = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Modified Gram-Schmidt

The  $i$ th iteration

Instead of computing  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{a}_i - (\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_i)\mathbf{q}_1 - (\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_i)\mathbf{q}_2 - \cdots - (\mathbf{q}_{i-1}^T \mathbf{a}_i)\mathbf{q}_{i-1}$  in Gram-Schmidt (full column rank case), compute

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}_i - (\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_i)\mathbf{q}_1$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(2)} = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(1)} - (\mathbf{q}_2^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(1)})\mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(j)} = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(j-1)} - (\mathbf{q}_j^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(j-1)})\mathbf{q}_j$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(i-1)} = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(i-2)} - (\mathbf{q}_{i-1}^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i^{(i-2)})\mathbf{q}_{i-1}$$

Complexity:  $O(mn^2)$

## Modified Gram-Schmidt (cont'd)

**Example** (revisit): Given

$\mathbf{a}_1 = [1 \ \epsilon \ 0 \ 0]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_2 = [1 \ 0 \ \epsilon \ 0]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \epsilon]^T$  with tiny  $\epsilon$   
so that the approximation  $1 + \epsilon^2 \approx 1$  can be made

Applying modified Gram-Schmidt with the above approximation yields

- $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1 = [1 \ \epsilon \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $\mathbf{q}_1 = [1 \ \epsilon \ 0 \ 0]^T$  *bound-off error*
- $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{q}_1 = [0 \ -\epsilon \ \epsilon \ 0]^T$   
 $\mathbf{q}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [0 \ -1 \ 1 \ 0]^T$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}_3 - \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3 \mathbf{q}_1 = [0 \ -\epsilon \ 0 \ \epsilon]^T$   
 $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3 = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3^{(2)} = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3^{(1)} - \mathbf{q}_2^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_3^{(1)} \mathbf{q}_2 = [0 \ -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \ -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \ \epsilon]^T$   
 $\mathbf{q}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [0 \ -1 \ -1 \ 2]^T$

**Orthogonality is preserved approximately**

We may also compute QR using reflection and rotation approaches