CS100 Lecture 6

Pointers and Arrays II

Contents

Pointers and Arrays

- Pointer arithmetic
- Array-to-pointer conversion
- Pass an array to a function
- Pass a nested array to a function
- Do we need an array?

Pointers and Arrays

Recap: Pointers

A pointer *points to* an object. The value of a pointer is the address of the object that it points to.

To declare a pointer: PointeeType *ptr;

• What is the type of ptr?

Recap: Pointers

A pointer *points to* an object. The value of a pointer is the address of the object that it points to.

To declare a pointer: PointeeType *ptr;

• What is the type of ptr ? PointeeType *

How can we make ptr point to var?

Recap: Pointers

A pointer *points to* an object. The value of a pointer is the address of the object that it points to.

To declare a pointer: PointeeType *ptr;

What is the type of ptr ? PointeeType *

How can we make ptr point to var?

- First, var should be of type PointeeType.
- PointeeType *ptr = &var; (initialize)
- ptr = &var; (assign)

Recap: Arrays

An array is a sequence of N objects of type ElemType stored contiguously.

To declare an array: ElemType arr[N];

• What is the type of arr?

Recap: Arrays

An array is a sequence of N objects of type ElemType stored contiguously.

To declare an array: ElemType arr[N];

• What is the type of arr? ElemType [N]

To access the i -th element: arr[i]

• What is the valid range of i?

Recap: Arrays

An array is a sequence of N objects of type ElemType stored contiguously.

To declare an array: ElemType arr[N];

• What is the type of arr? ElemType [N]


To access the i -th element: arr[i]

ullet What is the valid range of i ? [0,N)

Pointer arithmetic

Let p be a pointer of type T * and let i be an integer.


• p + i returns the address equal to the value of (char *)p + i * sizeof(T). In other words, pointer arithmetic uses the unit of the pointed-to type.



Pointer arithmetic

Let p be a pointer of type T * and let i be an integer.

- p + i returns the address equal to the value of (char *)p + i * sizeof(T). In other words, pointer arithmetic uses the unit of the pointed-to type.
- If we let p = &a[0] (where a is an array of type T [N]), then
 - o p + i is equivalent to &a[i], and
 - o *(p + i) is equivalent to a[i].




Arithmetic operations i + p, p += i, p - i, p -= i, ++p, p++, --p, p-- are defined in the same way.

Array-to-pointer conversion

If we let p = &a[0] (where a is an array of type T [N]), then


- p + i is equivalent to &a[i], and
- *(p + i) is equivalent to a[i].



Considering the close relationship between arrays and pointers, an array can be **implicitly converted** to a pointer to the first element.

- p = &a[0] can be written as p = a directly.
- *a is equivalent to a[0].


Array-to-pointer conversion



We can use pointers to traverse an array:

```
int a[10];
bool find(int value) {
  for (int *p = a; p < a + 10; ++p)
    if (*p == value)
      return true;
  return false;
}</pre>
```

Subtraction of pointers



Let a be an array of length N. If p1 = a + i and p2 = a + j (where i and j are nonnegative integers), the expression p1 - p2

- has the value equal to i j, and
- has the type ptrdiff_t , which is a **signed** integer type declared in <stddef.h> .
 - The size of ptrdiff_t is implementation-defined. For example, it might be 64-bit on a 64-bit machine, and 32-bit on a 32-bit machine.
- Here i , j \in [0,N] (closed interval), i.e. p1 or p2 may point to the "past-the-end" position of a .

Pointer arithmetic

Pointer arithmetic can only happen within the range of an array and its "past-the-end" position (indexed [0, N]). For other cases, **the behavior is undefined**.

Examples of undefined behaviors:

- p1 p2, where p1 and p2 point to the positions of two different arrays.
- p + 2 * N, where p points to some element in an array of length N.
- p 1, where p points to the first element a[0] of some array a.

Note that the evaluation of the innocent-looking expression p-1, without dereferencing it, is still undefined behavior and may fail on some platforms.

The only way ¹ of passing an array to a function is to pass the address of its first element.

The following declarations are equivalent:

```
void fun(int *a);
void fun(int a[]);
void fun(int a[10]);
void fun(int a[2]);
```

In all these declarations, the type of the parameter a is int *.

• How do you verify that?

```
void fun(int a[100]);
```

The type of the parameter a is int * . How do you verify that?

```
void fun(int a[100]) {
  printf("%d\n", (int)sizeof(a));
}
```

Output: (On 64-bit Ubuntu 22.04, GCC 13)

```
8
```

• If the type of a is int[100] as declared, the output should be 400 (assuming int is 32-bit).

Even if you declare the parameter as an array (either T a[N] or T a[]), its type is still a pointer T*: You are allowed to pass anything of type T* to it.

Array of element type T with any length is allowed to be passed to it.

```
void print(int a[10]) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
      printf("%d\n", *(a + i));
}
int main(void) {
   int x[20] = {0}, y[10] = {0}, z[5] = {0}, w = 42;
   print(x); // OK
   print(y); // OK
   print(z); // Allowed by the compiler, but undefined behavior!
   print(&w); // Still allowed by the compiler, also undefined behavior!
}</pre>
```

Even if you declare the parameter as an array (either T a[N] or T a[]), its type is still a pointer T*: You are allowed to pass anything of type T* to it.

Array of element type T with any length is allowed to be passed to it.

The length n of the array is often passed explicitly as another argument, so that the function can know how long the array is.

```
void print(int *a, int n) {
  for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    printf("%d\n", *(a + i));
}</pre>
```

Subscript on pointers

```
void print(int *a, int n) {
  for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    printf("%d\n", a[i]); // Look at this!
}</pre>
```

Subscript on pointers is also allowed! a[i] is equivalent to *(a + i).

Return an array?

There is no way of returning an array from the function.

Returning the address of its first element is ok, but be careful:

This is OK:

This returns an **invalid address**! (Why?)

```
int a[10];
int *foo(void) {
  int a[10] = {0};
  return a;
}
```

Return an array?

These two functions have made the same mistake: returning the address of a local variable.

```
int *foo(void) {
  int a[10] = {0};
  return a;
}
int main(void) {
  int *a = foo();
  a[0] = 42; // undefined behavior
}

int *fun(void) {
  int x = 42;
  return &x;
}

int main(void) {
  int main(void) {
    // undefined behavior
    printf("%d\n", *fun());
}
```

- When the function returns, all the parameters and local objects are destroyed.
 - o a and x no longer exist.
- The objects on the returned addresses are "dead" when the function returns!

Exercise

Write a function that accepts an array of int s, and copies the odd numbers to another array in reverse order.

```
int main(void) {
  int a[5] = {1, 2, 3, 5, 6}, b[5];
  copy_odd_reversed(/* ... */); // your function
  // Now `a` is unchanged, and the values in `b` are {5, 3, 1}.
}
```

Design the usage of your function (parameters and return values) on your own.

Exercise

Write a function that accepts an array of int s, and copies the odd numbers to another array in reverse order.

```
void copy_odd_reversed(int *from, int n, int *to) {
  for (int i = n - 1, j = 0; i >= 0; --i)
    if (from[i] % 2 == 1)
        to[j++] = from[i]; // What does this mean?
}
int main(void) {
  int a[5] = {1, 2, 3, 5, 6}, b[5];
  copy_odd_reversed(a, 5, b);
  // Now `a` is unchanged, and the values in `b` are {5, 3, 1}.
}
```

Since arrays cannot be returned, we often create the result array on our own, and pass it to the function.

When passing an array to a function, we make use of the array-to-pointer conversion:

• Type [N] will be implicitly converted to Type *.

What about nested arrays?

When passing an array to a function, we make use of the array-to-pointer conversion:

• Type [N] will be implicitly converted to Type *.

A "2d-array" is an "array of array":

- Type [N][M] is an array of N elements, where each element is of type Type [M].
- What is the conversion result of Type [N][M]?

When passing an array to a function, we make use of the array-to-pointer conversion:

• Type [N] will be implicitly converted to Type *.

A "2d-array" is an "array of array":

- Type [N][M] is an array of N elements, where each element is of type Type [M].
- Type [N][M] should be implicitly converted to a "pointer to Type[M] ".

What is a "pointer to Type[M] "?

Pointer to array

A pointer to an array of N int s: An array of N pointers (pointing to int):

```
int (*parr)[N];
int *arrp[N];
```

Too confusing! How can I remember them?

Pointer to array

A pointer to an array of N int s: An array of N pointers (pointing to int):

```
int (*parr)[N];
int *arrp[N];
```

Too confusing! How can I remember them?

- int (*parr)[N] has a pair of parentheses around * and parr, so
 - parr is a pointer (*), and
 - o points to something of type int[N].
- Then the other one is different:
 - o arrp is an array, and
 - o stores N pointers, with pointee type int.

The following declarations are equivalent: The parameter is of type int (*)[N], which is a pointer to int[N].

```
void fun(int (*a)[N]);
void fun(int a[][N]);
void fun(int a[2][N]);
void fun(int a[10][N]);
```

We can pass an array of type int[K][N] to fun, where K is arbitrary.

- The size for the second dimension must be N.
 - τ T[10] and τ [20] are different types, so the pointer types τ (*)[10] and τ (*)[20] are not compatible.

```
void print(int (*a)[5], int n) {
  for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    for (int j = 0; j < 5; ++j)
      printf("%d ", a[i][j]);
    printf("\n");
int main(void) {
  int a[2][5] = \{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}\};
  int b[3][5] = \{0\};
  print(a, 2); // OK
 print(b, 3); // OK
```

In each of the following declarations, what is the type of a ? Does it accept an argument of type int[N][M] ?

- 1. void fun(int a[N][M])
- 2. void fun(int (*a)[M])
- 3. void fun(int (*a)[N])
- 4. void fun(int **a)
- 5. void fun(int *a[])
- 6. void fun(int *a[N])
- 7. void fun(int a[100][M])
- 8. void fun(int a[N][100])

In each of the following declarations, what is the type of a ? Does it accept an argument of type int[N][M] ?

- 1. void fun(int a[N][M]): A pointer to int[M]. Yes.
- 2. void fun(int (*a)[M]): Same as 1.
- 3. void fun(int (*a)[N]): A pointer to int[N]. No.
- 4. void fun(int **a): A pointer to int * . No.
- 5. void fun(int *a[]): Same as 4.
- 6. void fun(int *a[N]): Same as 4.
- 7. void fun(int a[100][M]): Same as 1.
- 8. void fun(int a[N][100]): A pointer to int[100]. Yes iff M == 100.

Write a program that reads an integer n and prints the n-th Fibonacci number. Assume that the numbers are representable by int.

$$F_n = egin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \ 1, & n = 1, \ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Write a program that reads an integer n and prints the n-th Fibonacci number. Assume that the numbers are representable by int.

$$F_n = egin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \ 1, & n = 1, \ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

```
F_n = egin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \ 1, & n = 1, \ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, & n > 1. \end{cases} int calc_fib(int n) { int fib[100] = {0, 1}; for (int i = 2; i <= n; ++i)
                                                                           fib[i] = fib[i - 1] + fib[i - 2];
                                                                       return fib[n];
```

Is this array necessary?

Is this array necessary?

```
int calc_fib(int n) {
  int fib[100] = {0, 1};
  for (int i = 2; i <= n; ++i)
    fib[i] = fib[i - 1] + fib[i - 2];
  return fib[n];
}</pre>
```

We only need two (three) variables!

```
int calc_fib(int n) {
  int a = 0, b = 1;
  for (int i = 2; i <= n; ++i) {
    int new = a + b;
    a = b;
    b = new;
  }
  return b;
}</pre>
```

In the following cases, do we need an array?

- ullet Read n integers, then print the sum of them.
- ullet Read n integers, then print them in reverse order.
- ullet Read n integers, then print the maximum of them.
- ullet Read n integers, then print the second maximum of them.

In the following cases, do we need an array?

- ullet Read n integers, then print the sum of them. No
- ullet Read n integers, then print them in reverse order. Yes
- Read *n* integers, then print the maximum of them. **No**
- ullet Read n integers, then print the second maximum of them. No

Summary

Pointer arithmetic

- can only happen within the range of an array and its "past-the-end" position. Other cases are undefined behaviors.
- p + i returns the address (char *)p + i * sizeof(T), i.e. i * sizeof(T) bytes away from p.
- p1 p2 is equal to i j if p1 = a + i and p2 = a + j (where a is an array).

Array-to-pointer conversion

- An array a can be implicitly converted to &a[0].
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{T} \ [\mathsf{N}] \ \to \ \mathsf{T} \ * \ .$

Summary

Pass an array to a function

- You cannot declare an array parameter. It is always a pointer.
- We often use another parameter to indicate the length of the array.
- Instead of returning an array, we create the result array and pass it to the function.
- ullet T[N][M] o T (*)[M]

Avoid unnecessary arrays.

Exercise

We wrote a "transpose" program in last lecture's exercise, which accepts a matrix from input and prints its transpose.

Rewrite this functionality as a function. Suppose the size of the given matrix is 3×4 .

```
int main(void) {
 int a[3][4];
 for (int i = 0; i < 3; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < 4; ++j)
      scanf("%d", &a[i][j]);
  int b[4][3];
 transpose(a, b); // Your function
 for (int i = 0; i < 4; ++i) {
    for (int j = 0; j < 3; ++j)
      printf("%d ", b[i][j]);
    printf("\n");
```

41/43

Notes

¹ In fact, you can pass the address of an array:

```
void print_array_10(int (*pa)[10]) {
  for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
    printf("%d\n", (*pa)[i]);
}
int main(void) {
  int a[10], b[100], c = 42;
  print_array_10(&a); // OK
  print_array_10(&b); // Error
  print_array_10(&c); // Error
}</pre>
```

In the function print_array_10 above, the parameter type is int (*)[10], a pointer to an array of 10 int s. The pointee type must be int[10]. Passing the address of anything else to it would not work.

Notes

- ² In fact, the subscript operator is defined by the standard for pointers. In expressions like a[i] where a is an array, a undergoes the implicit array-to-pointer conversion. Such implicit conversion is so common that some people even treat arrays and pointers as the same thing, which is a common misunderstanding.
- ³ See strict aliasing for detailed rules.