# Implementation Report

### **Implementation & Training**

Each of these models was trained for 5 epochs using Adam optimizer with learning rate  $5 \times 10^{-4}$ .

The batch size for the training was set to 10, to avoid causing memory issues on Kaggle. The maximum length was set to 256 as well, in the GPT-2 tokenizer.

The models were trained on entire dataset.

## **Results of Training the Models:**

#### 1. LoRA model

**Hyperparameters chosen:**  $\alpha = 16$  (scaling factor), r = 8 (rank of matrix)

		Before	After
		Training	Training
	Avg. Loss	12.21	3.93
Training	ROUGE-1	29.65	42.72
Set	ROUGE-2	11.69	19.37
	ROUGE-L	19.30	24.56
	Avg. Loss	12.46	4.31
Evaluation	ROUGE-1	30.12	45.73
Set	ROUGE-2	12.23	22.41
	ROUGE-L	20.91	27.76

## 2. Traditional Fine Tuning

		Before	After
		Training	Training
	Avg. Loss	12.21	4.68
Training	ROUGE-1	29.65	40.68
Set	ROUGE-2	11.69	18.14
	ROUGE-L	19.30	25.71
	Avg. Loss	12.46	5.34
Evaluation	ROUGE-1	30.12	42.33
Set	ROUGE-2	12.23	19.95
	ROUGE-L	20.91	27.25

### 3. Soft Prompt Tuning

**Hyperparameters chosen:** Prompt length = 10, random initialization

		Before	After
		Training	Training
	Avg. Loss	12.21	2.87
Training	ROUGE-1	29.65	12.77
Set	ROUGE-2	11.69	5.23
	ROUGE-L	19.30	8.35
	Avg. Loss	12.46	3.21
Evaluation Set	ROUGE-1	30.12	13.58
	ROUGE-2	12.23	6.21
	ROUGE-L	20.91	10.49

#### Resource Utilization for each of the models:

	No of parameters		Time taken for
			training
	Total	Trainable	(per epoch)
Traditional	124439808	38597376	26 mins 7 secs
Fine Tuning			
LoRA	124882176	442368	27 mins 32
			secs
Soft Prompt	124447488	7680	25 mins 56
			secs

#### **Analysis:**

- LoRA: LoRA demonstrated the best performance in terms of ROUGE scores, particularly on the evaluation set, suggesting superior generalization and adaptation to the task. It outperformed the Traditional Fine tuning by a good margin.
- Traditional Fine tuning: The traditional fine tuning is competitive and works. It had lower training time than LoRA but lower performance than LoRA as well.
- **Soft Prompt:** It performed significantly bad compared to LoRA and Traditional but if trained for more epochs, it could possibly attain a competitive level as them. It had extremely few parameters, but this compromised the adaptation very much.

**Best Performance: LoRA**