Solution:

Let's consider the scenario when Jit Eap decides to trade his old car.

Then, Jit Eap needs to pay \$20,000 (cash) & sales taxes.

Note that adding the \$12,000 car adds to the revenue of the sales since we are trading an asset and this means that the sales taxes incurred on the trade will be $$32,000 \times 0.07 = $2,240$.

Thus, Jit Eap needs to pay \$20,000 + \$2,240 = \$22,240 if he trades his old car.

Let's now consider the scenario when he decides to not trade his old car.

Then, Jit Eap needs to pay $$32,000 \times 0.85 = $27,200$ for the purchase. Moreover, taxes are levied on this discounted rate, meaning that sales taxes incurred will be $$27,200 \times 0.07 = $1,904$.

Thus, Jit Eap needs to pay \$27,200 + \$1,904 = \$29,104 if he does not trade his old car.

- ⇒ Legally, the \$12,000 is not exactly a cash price reduction, but rather a trade for an asset. According to accounting standards, this is NOT a discount.
- \Rightarrow Discount on trade-in will be \$4,800. This is the discount offered by the dealer.
- ⇒ Thus, if Mr. Eap wants to pay as little taxes as possible, then he should not trade his old car.