

Solution:

(1)

The beginning inventory in the LIFO was valued at \$149 million less than the FIFO valuation.

The ending inventory in the LIFO was valued at \$203 million less than the FIFO valuation.

Thus, the change in inventory (beginning - ending) in the LIFO is valued at \$54 million more than the FIFO valuation.

Thus, the cost of goods sold is valued at \$54 million more than the FIFO valuation, meaning that the income reported by LIFO would be \$54 million lower than the income reported by FIFO.

Thus, under FIFO, there would have been \$54 million higher income. So, the pre-tax income would have been

$$\text{Pre-Tax Income} = \$792 + \$54 = \$846 \text{ million}$$

(2)

Since the income tax rate is 34%, the income tax under LIFO would be:

$$\text{Income Tax (LIFO)} = 0.34 \times \$792 = \$269.28 \text{ million}$$

Under FIFO, it would be:

$$\text{Income Tax (FIFO)} = 0.34 \times \$846 = \$287.64 \text{ million}$$

(3)

Yes, it is a good decision to use LIFO as it saved substantial amount of taxes (to be precise, by \$18.36 million).