## Addressing Treatment Switching Bias with G-methods: Exploring the Impact of Model Specification

Amani Al Tawil\*1,2, Sean McGrath<sup>3</sup>, Robin Ristl<sup>4</sup>, and Ulrich Mansmann<sup>†1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology (IBE), Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

<sup>2</sup>Pettenkofer School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health

<sup>4</sup>Center for Medical Data Science, Medical University of Vienna

## **Electronic Supplementary Material 6**

Number (%) of bootstrap replicate failures

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: altawil@ibe.med.uni-muenchen.de

<sup>†</sup>Equally contributed

**Table S9:** Number (%) of bootstrap replicate failures

		Number (%) of bootstrap replicate failures		
Approach		CoxPH	Pooled Logistic Regression	Kaplan-Meier
Intention to Treat	Unadjusted for baseline covariates	12 (1.2)	12 (1.2)	12 (1.2)
	Adjusted for strata at randomization*	0	0	NA
	Adjusted for baseline covariates*	0	0	NA
	Marginal effect adjusted for baseline covariates	NE	0	NA
Per Protocol	Excluding switchers	128 (12.8)	128 (12.8)	128 (12.8)
	Censoring at switching	157 (15.7)	157 (15.7)	157 (15.7)
	Inverse probability of censoring weights*	14 (1.4)	14 (1.4)	14 (1.4)
	Parametric g-formula**	NE	2 (0.4)	NE

Abbreviations: CoxPH, cox proportional hazard; CI, confidence interval; NE, not estimated; NA, not applicable

<sup>\*</sup>Strata at randomization: presence or absence of baseline brain metastases and completion of at least one full cycle of chemotherapy for locally advanced or metastatic disease (yes or no)

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline covariates: age, ECOG score, measurable intracranial CNS disease, race, sex, smoking history, strata at randomization, initial diagnosis stage, lung involvement at study entry and prior radiation therapy

<sup>\*</sup>Inverse probability of censoring weight: Estimates for the IPCW approach were estimated using a weighted pooled logistic regression model using the product of the two weights for LTFU/AC (specifications 4 in Table 4) and switching (specifications 4 in Table 5).