

# Addressing Treatment Switching Bias with G-methods: Exploring the Impact of Model Specification

Amani Al Tawil<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Sean McGrath<sup>3</sup>, Robin Ristl<sup>†4</sup>, and Ulrich Mansmann<sup>†1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology (IBE), Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München*

<sup>2</sup>*Pettenkofer School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health*

<sup>4</sup>*Center for Medical Data Science, Medical University of Vienna*

## Electronic Supplementary Material 2

### Hazard

---

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: altawil@ibe.med.uni-muenchen.de

<sup>†</sup>Equally contributed

## Hazard

The following will specify the concepts of hazard ratio (HR), discrete hazard ratio (dHR) and cumulative hazard ratio (cHR) as used in this paper.

The hazard at time  $t$  of an event time  $T$  given covariates  $x$  is defined by Equation (1).

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(T \in [t, t + \Delta] | T > t, x)}{\Delta} = \lambda_x(t) \quad (1)$$

In case of a Proportional Hazards (PH) model it holds  $\lambda_x(t) = \lambda_0(t) \cdot \text{HR}(x)$ . Here,  $\lambda_0(t)$  is the baseline hazards function and  $\text{HR}(x)$  is a specific factor changing the hazard to a group of subjects with specific covariates  $x$  (e.g., indicator of assignment to experimental versus control group). In the PH model, it is assumed that  $\lambda_0(t)$  holds for a well-defined baseline group (where all components of  $x$  are set to 0) and  $\text{HR}(x)$  quantifies the change in hazard between a group of subjects defined by  $x$  and the baseline group.

Several of the approaches we apply model the expression  $P(T \in [t, t + \Delta] | T > t, x)$  for small but fixed  $\Delta$  by a logistic regression as shown in Equation (2).

$$P(T \in [t, t + \Delta] | T > t, x) = \frac{e^{LP(t, x)}}{1 + e^{LP(t, x)}} \quad (2)$$

Here the linear predictor  $LP(t, x)$  depends on the time  $t$  and the covariates  $x$  (Equation (3)).

$$LP(t, x) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot t + a_2 \cdot t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \cdot x_i \quad (3)$$

Given the small time interval  $[t, t + \Delta]$  the probability that the event may happen is assumed to be quite small (Equation (4)),

$$P(T \in [t, t + \Delta] | T > t, x) \sim e^{LP(t, x)} = f(t) \cdot g(x) \quad (4)$$

The term  $g(x)$  is called discrete hazard ratio (dHR) which is the product of odds ratios defined by the vectors  $b$  and  $x$ . It approximates the HR in case the proportional hazards assumption holds.

The cumulative hazard ratio (cHR) between two groups (defined by  $x$  and  $y$ ) at time  $t$  is defined by Equation (5):

$$cHR_{t, x, y} = \frac{\log(P(T > t | x))}{\log(P(T > t | y))} \quad (5)$$

The expression is motivated by the structure of the PH model which implies (Equation (6))

$$P(T > t \mid x) = \exp \left( -HR(x) \int_0^t \lambda_0(s) ds \right) \quad (6)$$

In case of a PH model the  $cHR_{t,x,y} = HR(x - y)$  . It also holds that  $dHR_{t,x,y} = g(x - y)$  which approximates the HR in case the PH assumption is fulfilled.