Marking criteria	High Distinction (HD) 85 - 100 marks	Distinction (DN) 75-84 marks	Credit (CR) 65-74 marks	Pass (PS) 50-64 marks	Fail (FL) <50 marks
T1 Mathematical Model (10 marks)	mathematical models that are clearly written, with appropriately	Demonstrates thorough understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are clearly written, with defined decision variables that are usually appropriate.  Constraints and objective function are mostly correct with few minor errors.	Demonstrates thorough understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are clearly written, with defined decision variables that are usually appropriate.  Constraints and objective function are mostly correct with few significant errors	presenting mathematical models that are inconsistently written, with defined decision variables that are occasionally appropriate  Constraints and	Demonstrates minimal understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are unclearly written, with defined decision variables that are rarely appropriate  Constraints and objective function are mostly incorrect
T1 Spreadsheet and Solution (5 marks)	clearly and accurately	Most of the following are clearly and accurately identified with few minor errors:  • constraints • objective functions • non-negativity constraints	Most of the following are accurately identified with few significant errors:  • constraints • objective functions • non-negativity constraints		

Marking criteria	High Distinction (HD) 85 - 100 marks	Distinction (DN) 75-84 marks	Credit (CR) 65-74 marks	Pass (PS) 50-64 marks	Fail (FL) <50 marks
	traceable and transferable.				
T2 Mathematical Model (10 marks)	Demonstrates comprehensive understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are clearly written, with appropriately defined decision variables.  Constraints and objective function are all correct.  Successfully accomplish all the requirements of a LP model as discussed in the course material.	relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are clearly written, with defined decision variables that are usually appropriate.  Constraints and	Demonstrates thorough understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are clearly written, with defined decision variables that are usually appropriate.  Constraints and objective function are	Demonstrates developing understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are inconsistently written, with defined decision variables that are occasionally appropriate  Constraints and objective function are mostly correct with several significant errors	Demonstrates minimal understanding of relevant theory by presenting mathematical models that are unclearly written, with defined decision variables that are rarely appropriate  Constraints and objective function are mostly incorrect
T2 Spreadsheet and Solution (5 marks)	The following are all clearly and accurately identified with no errors:  • constraints • objective functions • non-negativity constraints	are clearly and accurately identified	are accurately identified	Most of the following are accurately identified with several significant errors:  • constraints • objective functions • non-negativity constraints	

Marking criteria	High Distinction (HD) 85 - 100 marks	Distinction (DN) 75-84 marks	Credit (CR) 65-74 marks	Pass (PS) 50-64 marks	Fail (FL) <50 marks
	The spreadsheet solutions are verifiable, traceable and transferable.				
T3 Abstract/ Executive Summary (5 marks)	Accurately and succinctly summarises contents of the report.  Gives the reader an excellent understanding of the report purpose and content.  Provide a hint of managerial implication at the bottom end of the abstract.	Accurately and succinctly summarises contents of the report.  Gives the reader a clear understanding of the report purpose and content.	Provides clear picture of the report.  Gives the reader a partial understanding of the report purpose and content.	Provides inconsistent picture of the report.  Gives the reader limited understanding of the report purpose and	Provides an inaccurate, erroneous or incomplete picture of the report.  Gives the reader no understanding of the report purpose and content.
T3 Introduction/ Background to the problem (12 marks)	The introduction/background meets the following criteria to an outstanding degree:  • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources used to gather information • Provides necessary	The introduction/background meets the following criteria to an excellent degree:  • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources used to gather information • Provides necessary	The introduction/background meets the following criteria to a satisfactory degree:  • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources used to gather information • Provides necessary	<ul> <li>background meets the following criteria inconsistently:</li> <li>Explains purpose of report</li> <li>Describes scope</li> </ul>	The introduction/background does not meet most of the following criteria:  • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources used to gather information • Provides necessary

Marking criteria	High Distinction (HD) 85 - 100 marks	Distinction (DN) 75-84 marks	Credit (CR) 65-74 marks	Pass (PS) 50-64 marks	Fail (FL) <50 marks
	background information to situate readers  Offers a preview of the findings.	background information to situate readers  Offers a preview of the findings.	background information to situate readers  Offers a preview of the findings.	background information to situate readers  Offers a preview of the findings.	background information to situate readers  Offers a preview of the findings.
T3 Problem Definition and Model (15 marks)	Succinctly presents the findings from the review  Indicates that the	Addresses a broad range of articles/papers. Succinctly presents the findings from the review Indicates that the presenter is well versed with the topic.	Addresses a limited range of articles/papers.  Is able to present the findings from the review  Indicates that the presenter is well aware of the topic.	Addresses a few articles/papers.  Is able to present the findings from the review  Indicates that the presenter is aware of the topic.	Has only picked a couple of articles/papers.  Is unable to present the findings from the review sensibly.  Indicates that the presenter is not aware of the topic.
T3 Solution Approach (10 marks)	the problem.  Can explain why it is	the problem.	Can propose the appropriate methodology to address the problem.  Can explain the methodology.  Can suggest the possible results and outcomes.	the problem.	Is unable to suggest an appropriate methodology to address the problem.  Is unable to suggest the possible results and outcomes.

Marking criteria	High Distinction (HD) 85 - 100 marks	Distinction (DN) 75-84 marks	Credit (CR) 65-74 marks	Pass (PS) 50-64 marks	Fail (FL) <50 marks
	mathematically or in words.  Can suggest the possible results and outcomes.  Can interpret the results.  Do have a qualitative analysis against comparing methods or approaches	Can suggest the possible results and outcomes.  Can interpret the results.			
T3 Interpretation of the results (20 marks)	documentation of the results.  Different performance measures are used to validate the proposed model.  Sensitivity analysis was carried out to justify the robustness of the model.  Excellent comparison	the results.  Different performance measures are used to validate the proposed	the results.  Different performance measures are used to validate the proposed model  Good focus on the		Incomplete documentation of the results.

Marking criteria	High Distinction (HD) 85 - 100 marks	Distinction (DN) 75-84 marks	Credit (CR) 65-74 marks	Pass (PS) 50-64 marks	Fail (FL) <50 marks
	Detailed discussion about any possible limitations of the assumed problem.				
T3 Conclusion (5 marks)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.		Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.	Conclusion is not supported by the data or not evident at all.
T3 References (3 marks)	The report follows a referencing style that complies with the Harvard style, and the in-text citations are made purposefully. Reference list is completely accurate with no errors.	The report follows a referencing style that mostly complies with the Harvard style, and most in-text citations are made purposefully. Reference list is complete and contains few minor errors.	The report follows a referencing style that mostly complies with the Harvard style, though the in-text citations are not made purposefully.  Reference list is incomplete and contains some minor errors.	referencing style that	The report includes limited or no citations and/or a reference list.