MARKING CRITERIA	HIGH DISTINCTION (HD) 85 - 100 MARKS	DISTINCTION (DN) 75-84 MARKS	CREDIT (CR) 65-74 MARKS	PASS (PS) 50-64 MARKS	FAIL (FL) <50 MARKS
T1 Decision Tree (10 marks)	Accurately and clearly represents all features of the Decision Tree (i.e., chance and decision nodes) along with their correct values. Excellent delineation of the decision tree	Accurately and clearly represents most features of the Decision Tree (i.e., chance and decision nodes) along with their correct values	Accurately represents all features of Decision Tree with some unclear features and some incorrect values		Inaccurately represents most features of Decision Tree with several unclear features
T1 Decisions (5 marks)	Explanation of key decisions involved in the problem along with their supporting facts and values	Discussion of key decisions involved in the problem	Summary of key decisions involved in the problem	Outline of key decisions involved in the problem	Insufficient outline of key decisions involved in the problem
T2 Spreadsheet and Solutions (10 marks)	The following are all clearly and accurately identified with no errors: • weightage • benefits • Efficient Frontier All calculated weights/priorities are correct, with no errors All the calculations are traceable and correct.	Most of the following are clearly and accurately identified with few minor errors: • weightage • benefits • Efficient Frontier Most calculated weights/priorities are correct, with few minor errors	Most of the following are accurately identified with few significant errors: • weightage • benefits • Efficient Frontier Most calculated weights/priorities are correct, few significant errors	Most of the following is accurately identified: • weightage • benefits • Efficient Frontier Most calculated weights/priorities are correct, with several significant errors	None of the following is accurately identified: • weightage • benefits • Efficient Frontier Few calculated weights/priorities are correct
T2 Analysis (5 marks)	At least three attributes that have an observable influence on preferred decision are explained with proper reasoning and values.	influence on preferred	At least three attributes that have an observable influence on preferred decision are summarised with proper reasoning and values.	At least three attributes that have an influence on preferred decision are listed but with wrong or shallow discussion.	Less than three attributes are listed, most have unclear or insignificant influence on preferred decision.

MARKING CRITERIA	HIGH DISTINCTION (HD) 85 - 100 MARKS	DISTINCTION (DN) 75-84 MARKS	CREDIT (CR) 65-74 MARKS	PASS (PS) 50-64 MARKS	FAIL (FL) <50 MARKS
	Efficient frontiers are drawn accurately with excellent delineations. Supporting discussions are validated with correct values and facts.	Efficient frontiers are drawn accurately	Efficient frontiers are drawn accurately.		
T3 Abstract/Executive summary (5 marks)	 Accurately and succinctly summarises contents of the report. Gives the reader an excellent understanding of the report purpose and content. Provides a hint of managerial implication at the bottom end of the abstract 	 Accurately and succinctly summarises contents of the report. Gives the reader a clear understanding of the report purpose and content. 	 Provides an inaccurate or incomplete picture of the report. Gives the reader a partial understanding of the report purpose and content. 	 Provides an inaccurate or incomplete picture of the report. Gives the reader limited or no understanding of the report purpose and content. 	 Provides an inaccurate, erroneous or incomplete picture of the report. Gives the reader no understanding of the report purpose and content.
T3 Introduction/ Background to the problem (12 marks)	The introduction/background meets the following criteria to an outstanding degree: • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources used to gather information	The introduction/background meets the following criteria to an excellent degree: • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources used to gather information		The introduction/background meets the following criteria to an inadequate degree: • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources	The introduction/background does not meet most of the following criteria: • Explains purpose of report • Describes scope and limitations of report • Describes methods/sources

MARKING CRITERIA	HIGH DISTINCTION (HD) 85 - 100 MARKS	DISTINCTION (DN) 75-84 MARKS	CREDIT (CR) 65-74 MARKS	PASS (PS) 50-64 MARKS	FAIL (FL) <50 MARKS
	 Provides necessary background information to situate readers Offers a preview of the findings. Provides a good number of academic references from recent years while documenting the research matters. 	 Provides necessary background information to situate readers Offers a preview of the findings. 	 Provides necessary background information to situate readers Offers a preview of the findings. 	used to gather information Provides necessary background information to situate readers Offers a preview of the findings.	used to gather information Provides necessary background information to situate readers Offers a preview of the findings.
	Addresses a wide range of articles/papers.				
	Succinctly presents the findings from the review.	Addresses a broad range of articles/papers.	Addresses a limited range of articles/papers.	Addresses a few articles/papers.	Has only picked a couple of articles/papers.
T3 Problem Identification	linoicales mai me	Succinctly presents the findings from the review.	Is able to present the findings from the review.	Is able to present the findings from the review.	Is unable to present the findings from the review sensibly.
(15 marks)	versed with the topic.	Indicates that the	Indicates that the	Indicates that the	Indicates that the
	Extensive illustration on how this problem is related to the quantitative decision analytics and why.	presenter is well versed with the topic.	presenter is well aware of the topic.	presenter is aware of the topic.	presenter is not aware of the topic.
T3 Solution Approach	appropriate methodology	Can propose the appropriate methodology to address the problem.	Can propose the appropriate methodology to address the problem.	Can propose the appropriate methodology to address the problem.	Is unable to suggest an appropriate methodology to address
(10 marks)	appropriate and other methodologies are not	Can explain why it is appropriate and other methodologies are not suitable.	Can explain the methodology.	Can suggest the possible results.	the problem. Is unable to suggest the possible results and outcomes.

MARKING CRITERIA	HIGH DISTINCTION (HD) 85 - 100 MARKS	DISTINCTION (DN) 75-84 MARKS	CREDIT (CR) 65-74 MARKS	PASS (PS) 50-64 MARKS	FAIL (FL) <50 MARKS
	methodology mathematically or in words.	Can explain the methodology in words. Can suggest the possible results and outcomes Can interpret the results.	Can suggest the possible results and outcomes.		
T3 Interpretation of the results (20 marks)	Different performance measures are used to validate the proposed model. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to justify the robustness of the models. Excellent comparison with the current practice and/or alternative methodologies. Perfect focus on the achievements.	Good documentation of the results. Different performance measures are used to validate the proposed model. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to justify the robustness of the model. Good comparison with the current practice and/or alternative methodologies. Good focus on the achievements.	Good documentation of the results. Different performance measures are used to validate the proposed model. Good focus on the achievements.	Good documentation of the results. Good focus on the achievements.	Incomplete documentation of the results.

MARKING CRITERIA	HIGH DISTINCTION (HD) 85 - 100 MARKS	DISTINCTION (DN) 75-84 MARKS	CREDIT (CR) 65-74 MARKS	PASS (PS) 50-64 MARKS	FAIL (FL) <50 MARKS
T3 Conclusion (5 mark)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.	Conclusion is not supported by the data or not evident at all.
References (3 marks)	The report follows a referencing style that complies with the Harvard style, and the in-text citations are made purposefully.	The report follows a referencing style that mostly complies with the Harvard style, though the in-text citations are not made purposefully.	The report follows a referencing style that mostly complies with the Harvard style, though the in-text citations are not made purposefully.	The report follows a referencing style that does not comply with the Harvard style, or only includes either the in-text citations or the reference list.	The report includes limited or no citations and/or a reference list.
	Reference list is completely accurate with no errors.	Reference list is incomplete and contains some minor errors.	Reference list is incomplete and contains some minor errors.	Reference list is incomplete and contains several errors.	