report.md 10/03/2019

Question 1

Part 1

1

- · Third code run fastest
- Time difference between second and third code is not that significant

Code Number	Time	
1	16.097 s	
2	0.031 s	
3	0.030 s	

2

- Time reduces significantly in comparision to unchanged code
- However it is still very less as compared to code2 and code3

Code	Time	
1 changed	0.257 s	

Part 2

1

• schedule(guided) and schedue(dynamic) are taking least time

Scheduling Type	Time
Guided	36.002 s
Static	54.002 s
Dynamic	36.002 s

Question 2

• Time Taken by code is as follow

Number of Threads	Time
1 Thread	4.435 s
2 Threads	2.409 s

report.md 10/03/2019

Number of Threads	Time
4 Threads	1.228 s
6 Threads	0.843 s
24 Threads	0.435 s

- When using 6 threads over 1 thread the speedup is 5.2 times.
- When using 4 threads over 1 thread the speedup is 3.6 times.
- The reason for the time not reducing to (1/4)th is because of overhead. \triangleright



Question 3

• Time Taken by code is as follow

Number of Threads	Time
1 Thread	7.053 s
2 Threads	3.767 s
4 Threads	1.864 s
6 Threads	1.285 s

• Time Taken by code is as follow

Chunk Size	100	200
Guided Scheduling	1.592 s	1.504 s
Static Scheduling	2.327 s	2.312 s
Dynamic Scheduling	1.562 s	1.608 s

- The Speedup for 6 cores vs 1 core is 5.488
- ullet Using Scheduling as guided and chunk size 100 the time taken is minimum using 6 threads lacksquare



Question 4

Part 1

- Starting Balance 0.00
- After deposit balance 10000000.00
- After withdrawl balance 0.00

Part 2

report.md 10/03/2019

- Starting Balance 0.00
- After deposit balance 2892800.00
- After withdrawl balance 98550.00

Part 3

- Starting Balance 0.00
- After deposit balance 0.00
- After withdrawl balance 0.00
- · Same result both times

Part 4

- Starting Balance 0.00
- After deposit balance 10000000.00
- After withdrawl balance 0.00
- · Same result both times

Part 5

- Starting Balance 0.00
- After deposit balance 10000000.00
- After withdrawl balance 0.00

Observations:

- In part 4 the balance variable is made critical thus the result are accurate.
- In part 5 we are using reduction thats why results are acurate.