Concurrency Control Techniques

Concurrency control is the procedure in DBMS for managing smultaneous operations without conflicting with eachother. Different Concurrency control protocols/techniques offer different benefits between the amount of concurrency they allow and the overhead they impose.

1) Two-Phase Locking Technique:

describes the status of the item with respect to possible operations that can be applied to it. Grenerally, there is one lock for each data item in the database.

to read, or permission to write a data item. Two phase locking usthout creating the possibility of deadlock. The 3 activities taking place on the Itwo phase update algorithm are:

- Flock acquisition

-> Modification of data

-> Release lock

Two phase locking prevents deadlock from occurring in distributed systems by releasing all the resources of has acquired, if it is not possible to acquire all the resources required without waiting for another process to finish using a lock. A transaction in the Two Phase hocking Protocol can assume one of the two phases:

Growing Phase -> In this phase a transaction can only acquire locks but cannot release any lock. The point when a transaction acquires all the locks it needs is called the lock Point.

Shrinking Phase -> In this phase a transaction can only release locks but cannot acquire any.

The Lovo main modes on which a data often may be locked are; exclusive (x) mode > Data often can be both read as well as written.

15) Shared (S) mode > Data often can only be read.

| [| | S | X |
|---|---|-------|-------|
| 1 | 5 | True | False |
| | X | False | False |

Fig. Lock-compatibility Matrix

Types of locks and System lock tables:

Abinary Locks A binary lock can have two states or values: locked and unlocked (or 1 and 0, for simplicity). A distinct lock is associated with each database item X. If the value of the lock on X is 1, item X cannot be accessed by a database operation that requests the item. If the value of the lock on X is 0, the item can be accessed when requested, and the lock value is changed to 1. We refer to the current state of the lock associated with item X as lock (X). Two operations, lock item (X) and unlock item (X) are used with binary locking.

It Shared/Exclusive (or Read/Write) locks -> In this lock there are three locking operations: read_lock(X), write_lock(X) and unlock(X).

A lock associated with an stem X, LOCK(X), now has three possible states: read-locked, write-locked, or unlocked. A read-locked stem is also called share-locked because other transactions are allowed to read the stem, whereas a write-locked stem is called exclusive-locked because a single transaction exclusively holds the lock on the stem.

D. Conversion (Upgrading Downgrading) of Locks

A transaction that already holds a lock on stem X 18 allowed under certain conditions to convert the lock from one locked state to another. For example, it is possible for a transaction T to issue a read lock (X) and then later to upgrade the lock by issuing a write lock (X) operation. Similarly it is also possible for a transaction T to issue a write lock (X) and then later to downgrade the lock by issuing a read lock (X) operation. When upgrading and downgrading of locks is used, the lock table must include transaction identifiers in the record structure for each lock to store the information on which transactions hold locks on the item.

A transaction is said to follow the two-phase locking:

A transaction is said to follow the two-phase locking protocol of all locking operations (read-tock, write-tock) precede the first unlock operation in the transaction. Such a transaction can be divided into two phases: an expanding or growing phase, during which new locks on stems can be acquired but none can be released; and a shrinking phase during which existing docks can be released but no new locks can be acquired. If lock conversion is allowed, then upgrading of locks must be done during the expanding phase and downgrading of locks must be done in the shrinking phase.

B. Basic, Conservative, Strict and Rigorous Two-Phase Locking: OR Categories of two-phase locking (2-PL):

1 Basic 2-PL -> Two-phase locking that we studied before 48 the basic 2-PL.

Strict. 2-PL > This requires in addition to basic 2-PL that all Exclusive (X) locks held by the transaction be released until after the transaction commits. Following strict 2-PL ensures that our schedule is: Recoverable and Cascadeless. Hence, it gives us freedom from Cascading About which was in Basic 2-PL, but still deadlocks are possible.

All Exclusive (X) and Shared (S) locks held by the transaction be released until after the transaction commits. The difference between Strict 2-PL and Rigorous 2-Ph is that, Rigorous is more restrictive, it requires both Exclusive and Shared locks to be held until after transaction commits.

Six Conservative 2-PL > This requires to lock all the items it access before the transaction begins execution by predeclaring its read-set and write-set. If any of the predeclared items needed cannot be locked, the transaction does not lock any of the items, instead it waits until all the items are available for locking.

. Dealing with Deadlock and Starvation: Deadlock and Starvation both are the conditions where the processes requesting for a resource has been delayed for a long. Although deadlock and starvation both are different from each other in many aspects. Deadlock is a condition where no process proceeds for execution and each waits for resources that have been acquired by the other processes. On the other hand, Starvation 45 a condition where process with higher protorities continuously uses the resources preventing How priority process to acquire the resources. Dealing with Deadlock: One way to prevent deadlock as to use a deadlock prevention protocol. A number of other deadlock prevention schemes have been proposed. Some of these techniques use the concept of transaction timestamp, which is a unique Identifier assigned to each dransaction. An alternative approach to deal with deadlock 48 deadlock detection, where the system checks of a state of deadlock actually exists. This solution as attractive of different transactions will rarely access the same stems at the same time. Timeouts 32 the another scheme to deal with deadlock. This method as practical because of its low overhead and simplicity. In this method, of a dransaction waits for a period longer than a system-defined Limeout period, the system assumes that the transaction may be deadlocked and aborts 9t - regardless of whether a deadlock Dealing with Starvation: One solution for starvation as to have a fair waiting scheme, such as using a first-come-first-served queue; where transactions are enabled to lock an Hem in order in which they originally requested to lock. Another scheme allows some transactions to have higher priority over others. The wait-die and wound-wait avoid starvation, because they

restart a transaction that have been aborted.

Deadlock Deadlock is a condition where it Starvation is a condition where no process proceeds for execution process with higher priorities and each wits for resources continiously uses the resources that have been acquired by preventing You priority process to other processes. acquire the resources! 13) It happens of two or more, transaction is waiting for 11) It happens of the waiting scheme for locked atems as in each other. unfair. fill Avoidance: 111) Avoidance: >Switch priorities so that -> Switch priorifies so that every thread has a chance -> Acquire locks at once before to Shave high priority. starting. -> Use FIFO order among -> Acquire locks with predefined competing request. Ty Deadlock 18 also known as gry Stagration 98 also known as circular waiting. lived dock. 1) Resources are blocked by by high priority processes. the processes.

A timestamp ordering:

A timestamp 18 a unique identifier created by the DBMS to identify a transaction. Timestamp Ordering is a schedule in which the transactions participate is then serializable, and the only equivalent serial schedule permitted has the transactions in order of their timestamp values. A timestamp can be implemented in 2 ways. One is to directly assign the other is to attach the value of a logical counter that keeps data item can be of 2 types:

Increment as new timestamps are required, the timestamp of a item can be of 2 types:

This means the latest time when the data item that been written into

@ Basic Timestamp Ordering:

Whenever some transaction T tries to 45500 a read_item(x) or a write 1tem(x) operation, then this algorithm compares the timestamp of T with RTS(X) and WTS(X) to ensure that the timestamp order of transaction execution is not violated. If this order 48 violated, then transaction T 18 aborted and resubmitted to the system as a new transaction with a new timestamp.

1. Check the following condition whenever a transaction T; issues a read_4tem(x) operation:

-> If W_TS(X) >TS(Ti) then the operation is rejected

> If W_TS(X) <= TS(Ti) then the operation is executed.

-> Timestamps of all the data Hems are updated.

2. Check the following condition whenever a transaction T; assues a write item(x) operation:

-> If TS(Ti) < R_TS(X) then the operation 48 rejected.

→ If TS(Ti) ∠W_TS(X) then the operation is rejected and To 18 rolled back otherwise the operation is executed.

TS (F) denotes the timestamp of transaction Ti. R_TS(X) denotes the Read timestamp of data-stem X. W_TS(X) denotes the Write timestamp of data-item X.

D. Strict Timestamp Ordering: Stimestamp ordering A variation of basic TO called strict TO ensure that the schedules are both strict (for easy recoverability) and (conflict) serious able.

1. Transaction T 985 us a write_Hem(x) operation:

>If TS(T)>R_TS(X), then delay Tuntil the transaction T' that wrote or read X has terminated (committed or aborted).

2. Transaction T issues a read_item(x) operation:

>If TS(T)>W_TS(X), then delay T until the transaction. T' that wrote or read x has terminated (committed or aborted).

1. Thomas Write Rule:

A modification of a basic TO algorithm, known as Thomais write rule, does not enfore conflict serializability, but it rejects fewer write operations by modifying the checks for the write-item(x) operation as follows;

1. If R_TS(X)>TS(T) then about and roll-back T and reject the

2. If W_TS(X) > TS(T), then just ignore the write operation and continue execution. This is because the most recent writes counts in case of two consecutive writes.

3. If the conditions given on 1 and 2 above do not occur, then execute

write_glem.(x) of T and set W_TS(x) to TS(T).

3. Multiversion Concurrency Control:

These concurrency control keep copies of the old values of a data item when the item is updated. They are known as multiversion concurrency control because several versions (values) of an item are kept by the system. When a dransaction requests to read an Alem, the appropriate version 98 chosen to maintain the serializability of the corrently executing schedule. When a transaction writes an item, It writes a new version and the old version (s) of the item is retained. An obvious drawback of multiversion techniques 48 that more storage is needed to maintain multiple versions of the database items.

Multiversion technique based on timestamp ordering:

In this method, several versions X1,5X2,000, XK of each data item X are maintained. For each version, the value of version X; and the following two timestamps associated with version

of read_TS(Xi) -> The read timestamp of Xi is the largest of all the timestamps of transactions that have successfully read version X;. 41) write_TS(X;) -> The write timestamp of X; is the timestamp of the transaction that wrote the value of version X;.

if If transaction Tassues a write_item(x) operation, and version 4 of X has the highest write_TS(X;) of all versions of X that is also less than or equal to TS(T), and read_TS(X;)>TS(T), then about and roll back transaction T; otherwise, create a new version X; of X with read_TS(Xj)=write_TS(Xj)=TS(T).

If transaction T issues a read_item(x) operation, find the version i of X that has the highest write_TS(X1) of all versions of X that Is also dess than or equal to TS(T); then return the value of X; to transaction T, and set the value of read_TS(Xi) to the larger of TS(T) and the current read_TS(Xi).

OR Multi-version two-phase locking using cortify locks:

In this scheme, there are three locking modes for an item; read, write and certify. Hence the state of LOCK (X) for an Atem X can be one of read-locked, write-locked, certify-locked or unlocked. The idea behind multiversion 2PL 98 to allow other transactions T to read an item X while a single transaction T holds a write lock on X. This is accomplished by allowing two versions for each item X; one, the committed version, must always have been written by some committed transaction. The second local version x' can be created when a transaction T acquires a write lock on x.

Once T is ready to commit, it must obtain a certify lock on all items that it currently holds write locks. on before it can commit. The certify lock is not compatible, with read locks, so the transaction may have to delay it's Commit until all its write-locked items are released by any reading transactions in order to obtain the certify locks. Once the certify locks are acquired, the committed version x of the data litem 48 set to the value of version X, version X 18 discarded, and the certify locks are then released.

STORED OF SET SET STORE SAUTION OF

4. Validation (Optimistic) Techniques:

The optimistic approach as based on the assumption that the majority of the database operations do not conflict. The optimistic approach requires neither docking nor time stamping dechniques. Instead, a transaction is executed without restrictions until it is committed. Using an optimistic approach, each transaction moves through 2 or 3 phases, referred to as read, validation and write.

Read phase -> During read phase, the transaction reads the database, executes the needed computations and makes the updates to a private copy of the database values. All update operations of the transactions are recorded in a temporary update file, which is not accessed by the remaining transactions.

Validation phase -> During validation phase, the transaction 48 validated to ensure that the changes made will not affect the integrity and consistency of the database. If the validation test is positive, the transaction goes to write phase. If the validation test is negative, the transaction 48 restarted and the changes are discarded.

The phase -> During write phase, the changes are permanently applied to the database.

@ Snapshot Isolation Concurrency Control:

In database and transaction processing, snapshot isolation is a guarantee, that all reads made on a transaction will see a consistent snapshot of the database and the transaction itself with any updates made since that snapshot.

Snapshot isolation has been adopted by several major database management systems, such as soft, Oracle, Mongo DB, Postgree SQL etc. The main reason for it's adoption is that it allows better performance than serializability. In practice snapshot isolation is implemented within multiversion concurrency control (MVCC), where generational values of each data item (versions) are maintained.