

Summary

X Education gets a lot of leads, its lead conversion rate is very poor at around 30%. The company requires us to build a model wherein we need to assign a lead score to each of the leads such that the customers with a higher lead score have higher conversion chance. CEO's target for lead conversion rate is around 80%.

Data Cleaning:

- Columns with >45% nulls were dropped. Value counts within categorical columns were checked to decide appropriate action: if imputation causes skew, then column was dropped, created new category (others), impute high frequency value, drop columns that don't add any value.
- Numerical categorical data were imputed with mode and columns with only one unique response from customer were dropped.
- Other activities like outliers' treatment, fixing invalid data, grouping low frequency values, mapping binary categorical values were carried out.

EDA:

- Data imbalance checked- only 38.02% leads converted.
- Performed univariate and bivariate analysis for categorical and numerical variables. 'Lead Origin', 'Current occupation', 'Lead Source', etc. provide valuable insight on effect on target variable.
- Time spend on website shows positive impact on lead conversion.

Data Preparation:

- Created dummy features for categorical variables
- Splitting Train & Test Sets: 70:30 ratio
- Feature Scaling using Standardization
- Dropped few columns, they were highly correlated with each other.

Model Building:

- Used RFE to reduce variables to 15. This will make dataframe more manageable.
- Manual Feature Reduction process was used to build models by dropping variables with $p - \text{value} > 0.05$.
- Total 4 models were built before reaching final Model 5 which was stable with ($p\text{-values} < 0.05$). No sign of multicollinearity with $VIF < 5$.
- res5 was selected as final model with 12 variables, we used it for making prediction on train and test set.

Model Evaluation:

- Confusion matrix was made and cut off point of 0.2 was selected based on accuracy, sensitivity and specificity plot. This cut off gave accuracy, specificity and precision all around 88%. Whereas precision recall view gave less performance metrics around 88%.
- As to solve business problem CEO asked to boost conversion rate to 80%, but metrics dropped when we took precision-recall view. So, we will choose sensitivity-specificity view for our optimal cut-off for final predictions
- Lead score was assigned to train data using 0.2 as cut off.

Making Predictions on Test Data:

- Making Predictions on Test: Scaling and predicting using final model.

- Evaluation metrics for train & test are very close to around 80%.
- Lead score was assigned.
- Top 3 features are:
 - Tags
 - Lead Source
 - Last Notable Activity

Recommendations:

- More budget/spend can be done on Welingak Website in terms of advertising, etc.
- Incentives/discounts for providing reference that convert to lead, encourage to provide more references.
- According to EDA Working professionals to be aggressively targeted as they have high conversion rate and will have better financial situation to pay higher fees too.