HW 2

1) a) WE HAVE $Av = \lambda v$ AND $Av' = \lambda' v'$ WITH $\lambda' \neq \lambda$; V 15 ORTHOGONAL TO v' IF $\langle v; v' \rangle = 0$

 $\langle x, x' \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \lambda x, x' \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle A x, x' \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle x, x' \rangle$

BUT A 15 SYMMETRIC $A^{t} = A - D \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda} \langle v, v' \rangle = \langle v, v' \rangle$

 $-D \langle v, v' \rangle = 0$ IF $\lambda \neq \lambda'$

- 1b) GIVEN A SUBSPACE VOFR LET UP... VIN BE
 AN ORTHONORMAL BASIS FOR V; NOW BY DEFINITION
 SPAN {V1 Vn} = V BUT SINCE S SPAN V EVERY V;

 CAN BE WRITTEN AS Z, X; S; WHERE S; ES AND AS; = X

 FOR THIS REASON A V; = X V; i.e THEY ARE EIGENVECTOR

 OF A WITH EIGENVALUE X.
- 1C) THE SPACE OF DIMENSION of CAN BE WRITTEN AS

 AN ORTHGONAL SUM OF THE SUB SPACES SPANNED BY THE

 DIFFERENT EIGENVALUE BECAUSE THEY ARE ORTHOGONAL AND

 HAVE DIMENSION EQUAL TO THE MOLTEPLICITY OF THE EIGENVALUE

SO EVERY $v \in \mathbb{R}^d = V \lambda_1 \oplus V \lambda_2 \oplus \dots$; IN $V \lambda_2 \oplus \dots$ SPACE

A 15 DIAGONAL AND SO $A = U \wedge U^T = \mathcal{Z}_i \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$

50 A CAN BE WRITTEN AS A LINEAR

2 (e) EVERY VECTOR IN V CAN BE EXPRESS IN THE BASE
$$P_4$$
. Pn SO $y = \underbrace{\langle (P_i \cdot v) P_i \rangle}_{i}$

 $\|x - y^{-1}\|^{2} = \|x^{2}\| + \| \underbrace{z}(P; v) \cdot P; \|^{2} - 2 \|\underline{z}(P; v) (P; v)\|$

 $\neg v = 1 (x \cdot P_i) \cdot P_i$

ANOTHER WAY TO SHOW THAT IS THE FOLLOWING:

NOTE THAT GIVEN

PV(x)= 2 (x · Pi) Pi WE HAVE X-PV(x) & V SINCE

$$P_{V(x)} = \underbrace{Z}_{(x \cdot P_i)} P_i \quad \text{WE} \quad \text{Have} \quad x - P_{V(x)} \in \underbrace{V^{\perp}}_{\text{since}} \text{Since}$$

$$\angle \times - P_{W(x)}, P_i > = 0; \quad \text{NoW} \quad ||x - y||^2 = \underbrace{||x - P_{V(x)}||^2}_{\in V^{\perp}} + \underbrace{||P_{V(x)} - y||^2}_{\in V}$$

Where We HAVE APPLIED THE PYTHAGONEAN THEOREM;

THISIS ||X-YII2 > ||X-PV(X)|| For every X AND IT 15 equal when $y = P_v(x) = Z_i(x \cdot P_i) P_i$

2b)
$$\| \times_{i} - (P_{i} \cdot \times) P_{i} \|^{2} = (\times_{i} - (P_{i} \cdot \times) P_{i}) (\times_{i} - (P_{i} \cdot \times) P_{i})$$

= $\times_{i} \cdot \times_{i} - (P_{i} \cdot \times) P_{i} \cdot \times_{i} - (P_{i} \cdot \times) (P_{i} \times_{i}) + (P_{i} \cdot \times)^{2} P_{i} \cdot P_{i}$
= $\times_{i} \cdot \times_{i} - 2 (P_{i} \cdot \times)^{2} + (P_{i} \cdot \times)^{2} \cdot 1$

$$= \times i \cdot \times i - (p; \cdot \times)^2 /$$

THE FIRST TERM DOES NOT DEPEND ON P SO TO
MINIMIZE II X: - (P. X) P: II WE WANT TO MAXIMIZE IX (P. X)

$$\frac{1}{m} \stackrel{?}{\sim} (1 \times i \cdot P)^{t} (\times i \cdot P)^{t} = \frac{1}{m} \stackrel{?}{\sim} P^{t} \times i \times i P = P^{t} \stackrel{?}{\sim} P$$

SO THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO THE RAYLEIGH QUOTIENT PROBLEM; SINCE WE WANT TO MAXIMIZE WE TAKE THE LEADING EIGEN VECTORS

3) THE GRAM MATRIX IS A MXM MATRIX DEFINED AS

GIJ = X1 XJ WHERE XI E Rd;

NOW romk (A.B) & min (rk(A) rh(B)); IN THIS CASE

 \mathcal{R} OMN(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{R} K(\times : \times :) $\leq \mathcal{R}$ OMN(\overline{X}), \times : 15 A 1×M. COMPOSED OF ELEMENTS OF \mathbb{R}^d FOR THIS REASON \mathcal{R} K(\times) \leq d SINCE ONLY d OF THEM CAN BE LINEARLY IN DIPENDENT; SO \mathcal{R} N (\mathcal{G}) $\leq \mathcal{R}$ K(\times) \leq d

3b) GIVEN KER^{mxm} I CAN TAKE ITS EIGEN DECOMPOSITION SINCE IT IS SIMMETHIC AND POSITIVE SEMI-BEFINITE $K = Q \wedge Q^T$ wher $Q \in R^{m \times d}$ Because TLK(K) = dDEFINE $Xi = [Q \wedge^{Y_2}]i$; NOW IT IS FASY TO SEE THAT THE GRAM MATRIX OF Xi. Xm $G = XiXs = [Q \wedge^{Y_2}]s$ [Q $A^{Y_2}]s$ 15 exactly K

4) Let's write
$$\Psi(y)$$
 As ξ_{i_3} Mij $(y_i \cdot y_j)$ with $M_{i_3} = \delta_{i_3} - W_{i_3} - W_{j_3} + \xi_{i_3}$ Whi $W_{i_3} = (1 - w)^{t} (1 - w)$

WHERE I IS THE I DENTITY MATRIX;

SO WE Need TO MINIMIZE A(Y) = YTMY WITH THE CONSTRAINT

WITH
$$\frac{2Z}{2M} = 0$$
 $2MY - 2Mm'V = 0$ $-D \left(MY = \frac{M}{M}Y\right)$

THIS MEANS THAT Y MUST BE AN EIGENVECTON OF

BECAUSE WE ARE TRYINT TO MINIMIZE YEMY
WE NEED TO TAKE THE EIGENFUNCTION CORRESPONDING
TO THE SMALLEST EIGEN VALUES.

THE MEAN CONSTRAINT IS NOT NEEDED; IN DEED IF WE INCLUDE A = 111 THE CONSTRAINT IS AY=0

THIS IS A CONSERVENCE OF TRANSLATIONAL INVARIANCE

$$f^{T}Lf = \sum_{i \sim j}^{J} W_{ij} \left(f(N_i) - f(N_j)\right)^2$$
 But BECAUSE IT IS A

BIPAMITION WIS tO IF NIES AND NIES SO GIVEN THE

$$= \underbrace{3}_{\text{Nies}} \text{Wis} \left(\frac{151}{5} + \frac{151}{151} + 2\sqrt{\frac{8151}{|5|151}} \right) = \underbrace{3}_{\text{Nies}} \left(\frac{151}{5} + \frac{151}{151} + \frac{5}{5} + \frac{5}{5} \right) \text{Wis}}_{=2}$$

=
$$\frac{1}{5}$$
 W: $\frac{1}{5}$ $\left(\frac{1}{|5|} + \frac{1}{|5|}\right)$ $\left(5 + \overline{5}\right) = \left(5 + \overline{5}\right) \phi(5; \overline{5}) = m \phi(5; \overline{5})$

SINCE |S| + |S| = m TOTAL NUMBER OF VERTICES

$$5b) \quad \overline{+} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{:} \\ \vdots \\ f_{m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(v_{:}) \\ \vdots \\ f(v_{m}) \end{pmatrix} \quad 50 \quad f \cdot f = \begin{cases} m \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ f(v_{m}) \end{cases}$$

$$= \underbrace{5}_{v_i \in S} \sqrt{\frac{151}{151}} + \underbrace{5}_{v_i \in S} \left(-\sqrt{\frac{151}{151}} \right) = 151 \sqrt{\frac{151}{5}} - |5| \sqrt{\frac{151}{151}} = 0$$

$$||f|| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f_{i}|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f_{i}|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f_{i}|^{2} = |f_{i}|^{2} =$$

BECAUSE V= SUS 15 4 BIPARTITION OF V={v_1. v_m}

THIS IS A RELAXATION OF THE RATIO CUT PROBLEM; IT TURNS A
DISCRETE NP PROBLEM INTO A PROBLEM OF SPECTRAL
CLUSTERING ON A GRAPH SOLVABLE AS AN EIGEN VALUE PROBLEM

5 CONTINUED) ...

LAPLACIAN EIGENMAPS ALSO TURNS OUT TO RELY ON A
GENEMUZED EIGENVALUE PROBLEM INVOLVING THE GOMPH
LAPLACIAN; IN THAT CASE THE STRAIN, THE DISTANCE
BETWEEN POINTS WAS TO BE MINIMIZED INSTEAD OF THE
RATIO-CUT COST FUNCTION; BOTH THE 2 PROBLEMS RELY
ON THE SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE GRAPH, NOT
SURPRISINGLY.