

# Stack Overflow and Gamification - Something from Nothing?

Andrew Marder

February 6, 2015

## What is Stack Overflow?

Deterding et al. (2011) define “*gamification* as the use of game design elements in non-game contexts.” [Stack Overflow](#) is a question and answer website designed for programmers that employs a few game elements:

1. A user earns reputation points when another user votes on her posts (5 points when a question is voted up, 10 points when an answer is voted up, 15 points when an answer is accepted, and 2 points when an edit is approved).
2. As a user earns reputation points she unlocks privileges on the site. For instance, a user must have at least 15 reputation points to vote up a question or answer. A list of all privileges is available [here](#).
3. Users are awarded badges for special achievements. One receives the “Informed” badge by reading the entire [tour page](#). A list of badges is available [here](#).

Grant and Betts (2013) present empirical evidence that three of the badges awarded for various editing accomplishments are effective in encouraging users to make more edits in the two months preceding receipt of the badge compared to the two months after receiving the badge. This paper builds on their findings by:

1. Looking at the impact of badges on all types of user activity (posting questions, posting answers, and editing posts).
2. Comparing the impact of different badges. Looking at three different badges, each aimed at promoting a different type of user activity.

## Data

There are almost 3.5 million registered users on Stack Overflow. Less than one percent of those users have been awarded the Strunk & White, Copy Editor, or Archaeologist badges.

## How do users behave when earning badges?

Grant and Betts (2013) find that users who receive a badge for editing make more edits in the two-month window before receiving the badge compared to the two-month window after receiving the badge. I extend their work by exploring, on average, how many questions, answers, and edits a user posts around the time of receiving a badge. Let  $y_{it}$  be the number of edits user  $i$  makes on day  $t$ . Following the approach of Jacobson, LaLonde, and Sullivan (1993) define the dummy variable

$$D_{it}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if user } i \text{ earns the badge on day } t + k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

I regress the number of edits user  $i$  makes on day  $t$  on a user fixed effect  $\alpha_i$  and a set of dummy variables indicating whether the user received the badge of interest on day  $t + k$

$$\log(1 + y_{it}) = \alpha_i + \sum_{k=-29}^{30} D_{it}^k \delta_k + \epsilon_{it}.$$

The model parameters are estimated using an ordinary least squares regression, and standard errors are clustered at the user level.

Let  $t_i^*$  denote the day user  $i$  receives the badge. Figure 1 plots the expected number of actions taken on the  $k$ 'th day since receiving the badge

$$f(k) = E[\log(1 + y_{it}) \mid t = t_i^* + k].$$

Strunk & White Edited 80 posts Copy Editor Edited 500 posts (excluding own or deleted posts and tag edits) Archaeologist Edited 100 posts that were inactive for 6 months Curious Asked a well-received question on 5 separate days, and maintained a positive question record Inquisitive Asked a well-received question on 30 separate days, and maintained a positive question record

The 95% confidence interval is tight around the line, standard errors were calculated using the delta method (Williams 2012). Figure 1 confirms the findings of Grant and Betts (2013), editing increases gradually before receiving the badge with a large jump in activity in the day immediately before earning the badge. We also see that editing drops quickly immediately after receiving the badge and gradually declines over time. It's interesting to see how few questions were asked by the recipients of the editing badges in the two months around receiving the badge, and to see that the rate of answering questions tends to be constant throughout the two month window.

Figure 2 plots user activity around receiving badges for asking questions. User activity around question badges differs in interesting ways to badges for edits:

1. All types of actions tend to increase in the thirty days leading up to earning the badge. In Figure 1, only edits increased. In Figure 2, the number of questions, answers, and edits posted all increased.
2. User activity stops almost immediately after receiving the badge. This makes me think something might be wrong with the data. It's too stark.

## Conclusion

As a fan of Holland and Rubin's motto "no causation without manipulation", it is important to note that this paper does not identify the causal effect of badges (Holland 1986). To reliably estimate the causal impact of badges on user activity we need a source of exogenous variation (Miller 2013). This paper contributes to the literature by describing more clearly how users behave around receiving badges.

Antin and Churchill (2011)




Figure 1: User activity over time - badges for edits




Figure 2: User activity over time - badges for questions

## References

- Antin, Judd, and Elizabeth F Churchill. 2011. “Badges in Social Media: A Social Psychological Perspective.” In *CHI 2011 Gamification Workshop Proceedings (Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2011)*.
- Deterding, Sebastian, Dan Dixon, Rilla Khaled, and Lennart Nacke. 2011. “From Game Design Elements to Gamefulness: Defining ‘Gamification.’” In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments*, 9–15.
- Grant, Scott, and Buddy Betts. 2013. “Encouraging User Behaviour with Achievements: An Empirical Study.” In *2013 10th IEEE Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*, 65–68.
- Holland, Paul W. 1986. “Statistics and Causal Inference.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 81 (396): 945–60.
- Jacobson, Louis, Robert LaLonde, and Daniel Sullivan. 1993. “Earnings Losses of Displaced Workers.” *The American Economic Review*, 685–709.
- Miller, Ashley. 2013. “Principal Turnover and Student Achievement.” *Economics of Education Review* 36 (October): 60–72.
- Williams, Richard. 2012. “Using the Margins Command to Estimate and Interpret Adjusted Predictions and Marginal Effects.” *Stata Journal* 12 (2).