

DeWight Dopslauf, C.P.M., CPPO Harris County Purchasing Agent

November 02, 2020

Harris County, Texas			YES.	NO	ABSTAIN	
			Judge Lina Hidalgo	₽'		
			Comm. Rodney Ellis	₽		
RE: Job No. 2	200047		Comm. Adrian Garcia	₽		
			Comm. Steve Radack	A		
Members of Commissioners Court:			Comm: R. Jack Cagle	₽		D ;
	the award on the basis of be zing the County Judge to execu				ached	
Description:	Identity Management Consulting Services for Harris County Universal Services - Technology					
Proposal(s)	•					
Received:	Two (2) on March 16, 2020 (see attached)					
Vendor(s):	Novacoast, Inc.					
Term:	November 10, 2020 - November 09, 2021 with four (4) one-year renewal options					
Amount:	See confidential attachment					
Evaluated By:	Evaluation Committee					
Purchase order(s) will be issued upon Commissi	ioners Court approval.				
			QC /2	CANNEM		
	Sincerely,					ע)
		Dehan le	/ DEC	072	020	
	·	DeWight Dopslauf Purchasing Agent				
JG	Presented to Commissioners Court					

Attachment(s)

cc: Vendor(s) w/o attachment(s)

November 10, 2020

Approve: E/G

CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY COMMISSIONERS COURT

Request for Proposal for Identity Management Consulting Services for Harris County Universal Services - Technology

Two (2) proposals were received as follows:

Vendor

1. Novacoast, Inc.

Total Price

\$261,360* \$987,800

* Best and Final Offer

Evaluation Information

The Evaluation Committee consisted of representatives from Universal Services – Technology and a representative from the Office of the Harris County Purchasing Agent. Upon careful evaluation of the proposals, a vendor presentation and best and final offer, the Evaluation Committee selected Novacoast, Inc. on the basis of best proposal meeting requirements and needs of Harris County.

Novacoast, Inc. received the highest overall evaluation score based on their detailed methodology and previous experience with projects of similar size and scope.

All About IT Inc. was eliminated as their proposal did not specifically address the project requirements, which indicated a limited understanding of the scope of the RFP. Their proposal and presentation lacked enough detail to indicate how deliverables and vision for the project would be executed.

Amount

\$261,360

This project was developed and issued as a Request for Proposal and, as such, it is requested that the evaluation and cost information remain confidential until Commissioners Court approves the award and an Agreement is executed. At that time, all responses may become available for public review under the "Public Information Act".

^{2.} All About IT Inc.