

# Functional Data Structures

## Exercise Sheet 1

Before beginning to solve the exercises, open a new theory file named `ex01.thy` and write the the following three lines at the top of this file.

```
theory ex01
imports Main
begin
```

### Exercise 1.1 Calculating with natural numbers

Use the **value** command to turn Isabelle into a fancy calculator and evaluate the following natural number expressions:

$2 + (2::nat)$        $(2::nat) * (5 + 3)$        $(3::nat) * 4 - 2 * (7 + 1)$

Can you explain the last result?

### Exercise 1.2 Natural number laws

Formulate and prove the well-known laws of commutativity and associativity for addition of natural numbers.

### Exercise 1.3 Counting elements of a list

Define a function which counts the number of occurrences of a particular element in a list.

```
fun count :: "'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat"
```

Test your definition of *count* on some examples and prove that the results are indeed correct.

Prove the following inequality (and additional lemmas, if necessary) about the relation between *count* and *length*, the function returning the length of a list.

```
theorem "count xs x ≤ length xs"
```

### Exercise 1.4 Adding elements to the end of a list

Recall the definition of lists from the lecture. Define a function *snoc* that appends an element at the right end of a list. Do not use the existing append operator @ for lists.

**fun** *snoc* :: “’a list  $\Rightarrow$  ’a  $\Rightarrow$  ’a list”

Convince yourself on some test cases that your definition of *snoc* behaves as expected, for example run:

**value** “*snoc* [] *c*”

Also prove that your test cases are indeed correct, for instance show:

**lemma** “*snoc* [] *c* = [*c*]”

Next define a function *reverse* that reverses the order of elements in a list. (Do not use the existing function *rev* from the library.) Hint: Define the reverse of  $x \# xs$  using the *snoc* function.

**fun** *reverse* :: “’a list  $\Rightarrow$  ’a list”

Demonstrate that your definition is correct by running some test cases, and proving that those test cases are correct. For example:

**value** “*reverse* [*a*, *b*, *c*]”

**lemma** “*reverse* [*a*, *b*, *c*] = [*c*, *b*, *a*]”

Prove the following theorem. Hint: You need to find an additional lemma relating *reverse* and *snoc* to prove it.

**theorem** “*reverse* (*reverse* *xs*) = *xs*”

### Homework 1 Sum of Values in List

*Submission until Friday, Apr 20, 11:59am.*

Submit your solution via <https://vmnipkow3.in.tum.de>. Submit a theory file that runs in Isabelle-2017 **without errors**.

General hints:

- If you cannot prove a lemma, that you need for a subsequent proof, assume this lemma by using *sorry*.
- Define the functions as simple as possible. In particular, do not try to make them tail recursive by introducing extra accumulator parameters — this will complicate the proofs!
- All proofs should be straightforward, and take only a few lines.

Specify a function to sum up all elements in a list of integers. The empty list has sum 0. Note that *int* is the type of (mathematical) integer numbers.

**fun** *listsum* :: “int list  $\Rightarrow$  int” **where**

Test cases:

```
value "listsum [1,2,3] = 6"  
value "listsum [] = 0"  
value "listsum [1,-2,3] = 2"
```

Prove that filtering out zeroes does not affect the sum.

Note: Isabelle might print  $[x \leftarrow l . x \neq (0::'a)]$  instead of  $\text{filter } (\lambda x. x \neq 0) l$ , which is just a list comprehension syntax, that gets expanded during parsing, i.e., before Isabelle's core sees the term.

**lemma** *listsum\_filter\_z*: " $\text{listsum } (\text{filter } (\lambda x. x \neq 0) l) = \text{listsum } l$ "

Show that reversing a list does not affect the sum.

HINT: You'll need an auxiliary lemma relating *listsum* and append ( $xs @ ys$ ).

Note that we use the *rev* function from the HOL list library here, which is the same as *reverse* specified in the tutorial, but comes with more lemmas etc..

**lemma** *listsum\_rev*: " $\text{listsum } (\text{rev } xs) = \text{listsum } xs$ "

Specify and prove the following: When filtering out negative elements from a list, its sum does not decrease.

**lemma** "*phrase this lemma yourself*"

Write a function to flatten a list of lists, i.e., concatenate all lists in the given list

```
fun flatten :: "'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list" where  
  "flatten [] = []"  
| "flatten (l#ls) = l @ flatten ls"
```

Test cases:

```
value "flatten [[1,2,3],[2]] = [1,2,3,2::int]"  
value "flatten [[1,2,3],[],[2]] = [1,2,3,2::int]"
```

Show that the sum of the flattened list equals the sum of the sums of all element lists.

Hint: Auxiliary lemma!

**lemma** " $\text{listsum } (\text{flatten } xs) = \text{listsum } (\text{map listsum } xs)$ "