Functional Data Structures

Exercise Sheet 13

Presentation of Mini-Projects

You are invited, on a voluntary basis, to give a short presentation of your mini-projects in the tutorial on July 13.

Depending on how many presentations we have, the time slots will be 5 to 10 minutes, plus 2 minutes for questions.

If you are interested, please write me a short email until Wednesday, July 11.

The following are old exam questions!

Exercise 13.1 Converting List for Balanced Insert

Recall the standard insertion function for unbalanced binary search trees.

```
fun insert :: "'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow 'a tree" where "insert x Leaf = Node Leaf x Leaf" |
"insert x (Node l a r) =
(case cmp x a of
LT \Rightarrow Node (insert x l) a r |
EQ \Rightarrow Node l a r |
GT \Rightarrow Node l a (insert x r))"
```

We define the function *from_list*, which inserts the elements of a list into an initially empty search tree:

```
definition from_list :: "'a::linorder list \Rightarrow 'a tree" where "from_list l = fold insert \ l \ Leaf"
```

Your task is to specify a function $preprocess:'a list \Rightarrow 'a list$, that preprocesses the list such that the resulting tree is balanced.

You may assume that the list is sorted, distinct, and has exactly $2^k - 1$ elements for some k. That is, your *preprocess* function must satisfy:

lemma

```
assumes "sorted l" and "distinct l" and "length l=2\hat{\ }k-1" shows "set (preprocess l) = set l" and "balanced (from_list (preprocess l))"
```

Note: No proofs required, only a specification of the *preprocess* function!

Exercise 13.2 Trees with Same Structure

Question 1

Specify the recursion equations of a function $same::'a tree \Rightarrow 'b tree \Rightarrow bool$ that returns true if and only if the two trees have the same structure (i.e., ignoring values).

Question 2

Show, by computation induction wrt. *same*, that insertion of arbitrary elements into two Braun heaps with the same structure yields heaps with the same structure again.

```
same ?t ?t' \Longrightarrow

same (Priority_Queue_Braun.insert ?x ?t)

(Priority_Queue_Braun.insert ?y ?t')
```

For your proof, it is enough to cover the (Node, Node) case. If you get analogous subcases, only elaborate one of them!

Hint: Here is the definition of *Priority_Queue_Braun.insert*:

```
fun insert :: "'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow 'a tree" where "insert a Leaf = Node Leaf a Leaf" |
"insert a (Node l \ x \ r) =
(if a < x then Node (insert x \ r) a l else Node (insert a \ r) x \ l)"
```

Homework 13 Amortized Complexity

Submission until Friday, 13.07.2018, 11:59am.

This is an old exam question, which we have converted to a homework to be done with Isabelle!

A "stack with multipop" is a list with the following two interface functions:

```
fun push :: "'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list" where "push x xs = x \# xs"

fun pop :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list" where "pop n xs = drop n xs"

You may assume definition t-push :: "'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat" where "t-push x xs = 1"

definition t-pop :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat" where
```

"t-pop n xs = min n (length xs)"

Use the potential method to show that the amortized complexity of push and pop is constant.

Provide complete proofs in Isabelle!

Original text here was: If you need any properties of the auxiliary functions length, drop and min, you should state them but you do not need to prove them.