

Functional Data Structures

Exercise Sheet 9

Exercise 9.1 Indicate Unchanged by Option

Write an insert function for red-black trees that either inserts the element and returns a new tree, or returns None if the element was already in the tree

fun *ins'* :: "*a::linorder* \Rightarrow '*a rbt* \Rightarrow '*a rbt option*"

lemma "*invc t* \Longrightarrow *case ins' x t of None* \Rightarrow *ins x t = t* | *Some t'* \Rightarrow *ins x t = t'*"

Exercise 9.2 Joining 2-3-Trees

Write a join function for 2-3-trees: The function shall take two 2-3-trees *l* and *r* and an element *x*, and return a new 2-3-tree with the inorder-traversal *l x r*.

Write two functions, one for the height of *l* being greater, the other for the height of *r* being greater.

height r greater

fun *joinL* :: "*a tree23* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a tree23* \Rightarrow '*a up_i*"

lemma *bal_joinL*: " $\llbracket \text{bal } l; \text{bal } r; \text{height } l \leq \text{height } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

bal (tree_i (joinL l x r)) \wedge *height(joinL l x r)* = *height r*"

lemma *inorder_joinL*: " $\llbracket \text{bal } l; \text{bal } r; \text{height } l \leq \text{height } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inorder } (\text{tree}_i (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r)) = \text{inorder } l \ @x \ \# \ \text{inorder } r$ "

height l greater

fun *joinR* :: "*a tree23* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a tree23* \Rightarrow '*a up_i*"

lemma *bal_joinR*: " $\llbracket \text{bal } l; \text{bal } r; \text{height } l \geq \text{height } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

bal (tree_i (joinR l x r)) \wedge *height(joinR l x r)* = *height l*"

Note the generalization: We augmented the lemma with a statement about the height of the result.

lemma *inorder_joinR*: " $\llbracket \text{bal } l; \text{bal } r; \text{height } l \geq \text{height } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inorder } (\text{tree}_i (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r)) = \text{inorder } l \ @x \ \# \ \text{inorder } r$ "

Combine both functions

fun *join* :: "*a tree23* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a tree23* \Rightarrow '*a tree23*"

lemma “ $\llbracket \text{bal } l; \text{bal } r \rrbracket \implies \text{bal } (\text{join } l \ x \ r)$ ”

lemma “ $\llbracket \text{bal } l; \text{bal } r \rrbracket \implies \text{inorder } (\text{join } l \ x \ r) = \text{inorder } l \ @x \ \# \ \text{inorder } r$ ”

Homework 9.1 Balanced Tree to RBT

Submission until Friday, 15. 6. 2018, 11:59am.

A tree is balanced, if its minimum height and its height differ by at most 1.

fun *min_height* :: “(*'a, 'b*) *tree* \Rightarrow *nat*” **where**

“*min_height* *Leaf* = 0” |

“*min_height* (*Node* _ *l* _ *r*) = min (*min_height* *l*) (*min_height* *r*) + 1”

definition “*balanced* *t* \equiv *height* *t* – *min_height* *t* \leq 1”

The following function paints a balanced tree to form a valid red-black tree with the same structure. The task of this homework is to prove this!

fun *mk_rbt* :: “(*'a, unit*) *tree* \Rightarrow *'a* *rbt*” **where**

“*mk_rbt* *Leaf* = *Leaf*”

| “*mk_rbt* (*Node* _ *l* *a* *r*) = (let

l' = *mk_rbt* *l*;

r' = *mk_rbt* *r*

in

 if *min_height* *l* > *min_height* *r* then

B (*paint* *Red* *l'*) *a* *r'*

 else if *min_height* *l* < *min_height* *r* then

B *l'* *a* (*paint* *Red* *r'*)

 else

B *l'* *a* *r'*

)”

Warmup

Show that the left and right subtree of a balanced tree are, again, balanced

lemma *balanced_subt*: “*balanced* (*Node* *c* *l* *a* *r*) \implies *balanced* *l* \wedge *balanced* *r*”

Show the following alternative characterization of balanced:

lemma *balanced_alt*:

“*balanced* *t* \iff *height* *t* = *min_height* *t* \vee *height* *t* = *min_height* *t* + 1”

Hint: Auxiliary lemma relating *height* *t* and *min_height* *t*

The Easy Parts

Show that *mk_rbt* does not change the inorder-traversal

lemma *mk_rbt_inorder*: “*inorder (mk_rbt t) = inorder t*”

Show that the color of the root node is always black

lemma *mk_rbt_color*: “*color (mk_rbt t) = Black*”

Medium Complex Parts

Show that the black-height of the returned tree is the minimum height of the argument tree

lemma *mk_rbt_bheight*: “*balanced t \implies bheight (mk_rbt t) = min_height t*”

Hint: Use Isar to have better control when to unfold with *balanced_alt*, and when to use *balanced_subt* (e.g. to discharge the premises of the IH)

Show that the returned tree satisfies the height invariant.

lemma *mk_rbt_invh*: “*balanced t \implies invh (mk_rbt t)*”

The Hard Part (3 Bonus Points)

For **three bonus points**, show that the returned tree satisfies the color invariant.

Warning: This requires careful case splitting, via a clever combination of automation and manual proof (Isar, aux-lemmas), in order to deal with the multiple cases without a combinatorial explosion of the proofs.

lemma *mk_rbt_invc*: “*balanced t \implies invc (mk_rbt t)*”

Homework 9.2 Linear-Time Repainting

Submission until Friday, 15. 6. 2018, 11:59am.

Write a linear-time version of *mk_rbt*, and show that it behaves like *mk_rbt*.

Idea: Compute the min-height during the same recursion as you build the tree.

Note: No formal complexity proof required.

fun *mk_rbt'* :: “*('a, unit) tree \Rightarrow 'a rbt \times nat*” — Returns the RBT and the min-height of the argument

lemma *mk_rbt'_refine*: “*fst (mk_rbt' t) = mk_rbt t*”