

Correlated-calls analysis: transfer functions

October 6, 2014

Given an IFDS problem P , our goal is to define the IDE problem $P^\mathbb{E}$ that considers correlated calls for P . Let N^* be P 's control-flow supergraph, D the set of data-flow facts, and T the set of all types. To encode $P^\mathbb{E}$, we define the edge functions

$$\text{EdgeFn}^\mathbb{E} = \{\text{callStartEdges}^\mathbb{E}, \text{callReturnEdges}^\mathbb{E}, \text{endReturnEdges}^\mathbb{E}, \\ \text{otherSuccEdges}^\mathbb{E}, \text{otherSuccEdgesPhi}^\mathbb{E}\}.$$

Each function $f^\mathbb{E} \in \text{EdgeFn}^\mathbb{E}$ that defines $P^\mathbb{E}$ has a corresponding function $f \in \text{EdgeFn}$ that defines P . For example, the corresponding function for $\text{callStartEdges}^\mathbb{E}$ is callStartEdges . Note that each f returns a set of data-flow facts $d \subset D$, whereas each $f^\mathbb{E}$ returns a set of pairs $p \subset D \times F$ of data-flow facts and micro functions.

Let $n_1, n_2 \in N^*$, $d_1 \in D \cup \Lambda$. The set $R^\mathbb{E}$ denotes the correlated-call receivers. For a given function $f^\mathbb{E} \in \text{EdgeFn}^\mathbb{E}$, let

- $m(n)$ be the enclosing method of a node n ;
- D_2 be the set of data-flow facts that is returned by $f(n_1, d_1, n_2)^1$;
- $R(n)$ be the set of correlated-call receivers declared in $m(n)$;
- $r(n)$ be the receiver \mathbf{r} , if the node n corresponds to a call site $\mathbf{r.m}()$;
- $\tau(n)$ be the static types corresponding to the receiver \mathbf{r} , if n is a call site $\mathbf{r.m}()$.

Recall that a micro functions in a correlated-calls analysis is a map from receivers $R^\mathbb{E}$ to update functions of type $T \rightarrow T$. Update functions are represented with intersection and union sets I and U . For example, the identity micro function

$$\text{id}^\mathbb{E} = \langle \perp_T, \top_T \rangle$$

has the intersection set $\perp_T = T$ and union set $\top_T = \emptyset$. Therefore, the identity function should be interpreted as $\lambda\tau. \tau \cap \perp_T \cup \top_T$. The top micro function

$$\top^\mathbb{E} = \langle \top_T, \top_T \rangle,$$

and the bottom micro function

$$\perp^\mathbb{E} = \langle \perp_T, \perp_T \rangle.$$

In the following, the function id should be interpreted as follows: for the sets $S \subseteq R^\mathbb{E}$ and $F \subset T \rightarrow T$,

$$\text{id}[\{(s, f) \mid s \in S, f \in F\}] = D_2 \times \{(s, f) \mid s \in S, f \in F\} \cup \{(r, \text{id}^\mathbb{E}) \mid r \in R^\mathbb{E} \setminus S\}.$$

In other words, id maps all receivers of S to the corresponding functions in F , and all other receivers to identity micro functions. We will denote $\text{id}[\emptyset]$ as id .

¹Or by $f(n_1, d_1)$, if f is otherSuccEdges or otherSuccEdgesPhi .

Using the above information, we can now define the correlated-calls-analysis edge functions:

$$\text{callStartEdges}(n_1, d_1, n_2) = \begin{cases} \text{id} [\{(r(n_1), \langle \tau(n_1), \top_T \rangle)\} \cup (R(n_2) \times \{\perp^\mathbb{E}\})] & \text{if } m(n_2) \text{ is not static and } r(n_1) \in R^\mathbb{E} \\ \text{id} [R(n_2) \times \{\perp^\mathbb{E}\}] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{callReturnEdges}(n_1, d_1, n_2) = \begin{cases} \text{id} [\{(r(n_1), \top^\mathbb{E})\}] & \text{if } m(n_2) \text{ is not static and } r(n_1) \in R^\mathbb{E} \\ \text{id} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{endReturnEdges}(n_1, d_1, n_2) = \text{id} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{otherSuccEdges}(n_1, d_1) = \begin{cases} \text{id} [R(n_1) \times \perp^\mathbb{E}] & \text{if } n_1 \text{ is a return instruction} \\ \text{id} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{otherSuccEdgesPhi}(n_1, d_1) = \text{id} \quad (5)$$

The definitions of the edge functions can be interpreted as follows.

- (1) On a call-start edge, we set all variables in the target method to the set of all types $\perp^\mathbb{E}$. If the call is not static (i.e. it has a receiver), we map the receiver to its static-type set.
- (2) Set the receivers to the empty set (TODO: this is wrong).
- (3) We do not change anything on end-return edges. We need to set the local variables to $\perp^\mathbb{E}$, but we want to do this on the actual **return** nodes. Because of the way the IR works in WALA, we access the return nodes through **otherSuccEdges**.
- (4) If we encounter a **return** node, we set all local variables of the exiting method to the set of all types. Otherwise, we do not change anything.
- (5) We do not have to do anything special for phi nodes.