SAINTGITS College of Engineery

(Note: This report must be in-textual form supported by the findings listed for identified in evaluation guidelines)

Evaluation Report for NBA Accreditation of MCA Programme



GUIDELINES AND OPERATING PRACTICES FOR ACCREDITATION VISIT AND EVALUATION

Evaluation Report

Name of the programme: Master of computer Applications.

Name and address of the institution: SAINTGITS College of Engg Kottayam

Name of the affiliating university: MG University Kottayam / APJ Abdulkalam

Dates of the accreditation visit: 28 h to 30 h April 2017

Name, designation, and affiliation of programme evaluator 1 Prof Robert John DSonga primarly

Name, designation, and affiliation of programme evaluator 2:

Name, designation, and affiliation of team chairperson:

Profusion Raunder Kuman Single

Panjas University, Sector-14,

Chancelor, K.L. Oriv.

Signatures

Adonye 30/4/17

(Programme Evaluator 1) (Programme Evaluator 2)

(Robert John (Ravinder Kumar Stronga) (Ravinder Kumar Smgla) (Team Chairperson)



Criterion -1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives

Item no.	Item description	Max. points	Points awarded	Remarks
1.1	Mission and Vision	5	04	
1.2	Programme Educational Objectives	10	07	
1.3 .	Attainment of Programme Educational Objectives	25	18	
1.4	Assessment of attainment of Programme Educational Objectives	30	22	
1.5	Indicate how results of assessment of achievement of the PEOs have been used for redefining the PEOs	5	03	
	Total	75	54	

1.1 Musion and Vision of the Department / Institute are clearly stated and displayed prominently.

1.2 PEOS are in place but the involvement of Stakeholders in designing the documents needs improvement.

1.3 Curricular Components that contribute to the attainment of PEOS is well described. The Committees and their functions are well documented.

1.4 Achievement of PEOS is approximately 80%.

Signature

1.5 PEOS are Still to be reviewed.

MASonga 30/4/17 Juf 30/4/1)



Criterion - 2: Programme Outcomes

Item no.	Item description	Max.	Points awarded	Remarks
2.1	Definition and Validation of Course Outcomes and Programme Outcomes	15	11	
2.2	Attainment of Programme Outcomes	30	23	
2.3	Assessment of attainment of Programme Outcomes	100	70.	
2.4	Indicate how results of assessment of achievement of the POs have been used for redefining the POs	5	03	
	Total	150	107	

2.1 COs and POs are listed on the website / class rooms / Computer Labs. Pos are not fully aligned with Graduate Attributes.

2.2 Lectures, Tutorials, Practicals, Project Work are used to deliver the Course Contents. The Project Work Carried out reflects the application of concepts learnt in theory.

2.3 Approximately 65% of the POs have been attained using well defined algorithms

attained using well defined algorithms

Signature

Applony 30/4/17 Ruf 2014/17

Criterion - 3: Programme Curriculum

Item no.	Item description	Max.	Points awarded	Remarks
3.1	Curriculum	20	15	Dannes notes
3.2	Curriculum components and relevance to programme outcomes	10	08	
3.3	Professional core courses and their relevance to Programme Outcomes	40	30	
3.4	Industry interaction/internship	10	06	
3.5	Indicate the content beyond syllabus imparted to attain the COs/POs	15	10	
3.6	Course Syllabi	5	04	
	Total	100	73	

- Findings:
 3.1 Curriculum is well-structured and pre-requirates are well-defined. However, not all aspects of ACM/IEEE guidelines are being followed.

 The curriculum combinents and their relevance
- 3.2 Grouping of cursiculum components and their relevance to POB is well-defined.
- 3.3 Professional Core Courses are appropriate to the POS.
- 3.4 No Course delivery by the Fordustry exprests. Only about 60% of the Students Carry out their Project Work in Signature
- Industry.

 3.5. Add-on Courses Such as PHP, Andrord, Advanced Java
 etc are being offered beyond the MCA syllabus, to
 atlain POs and Cos.
- 3.6 Détailed Syllabers for each Course is documented. 34

Al Song 30/4/17 July 30/4/17



Criterion - 4: Students' Performance in the Programme

Item no.	Item description	Max. points	Points awarded	Remarks
4.1	Success rate	30	26	
4.2	Academic performance	30	21	
4.3	Placement and higher studies	20	12_	
4.4	Professional activities	20	14	
	Total	100	73	

Findings:

4.4

4.1 Out of 168 students in the years 2013-14-15, 148 students successfully completed the MCA programme. The success rate is 88%.

4.2 Average API is 7.17.

4.3 No documents are available of Students opting Signature for higher Studies. Over 2012-15, only about 70 students out of 168 were placed through the Campus reconstrments.

The depostment has three societies: FOSS, CSI 4 ISTE through which minimum two programmes one being conducted every year. The depostment is also publishing 17 TECH WORLD", "ISMART NEWS" and "SMART Feeds" as three magazines.

R/Asonga 38/4/17 July 30/4/17

35



Criterion 5: Faculty Contributions

Item no.	Item description	Max.	Points awarded	Remarks
5.1	Student-teacher ratio	20	17	A SALUE SALUE
5.2	Faculty cadre ratio	20	12,	
5.3	Faculty qualifications	30	20	
5.4	Faculty competencies correlation to Programme Specific Criteria	15	10	
5.5	Faculty as participants/resource persons in faculty development/training activites	15	10	
5.6	Faculty retention	15	10	
5.7	Faculty research publications	20	09	
5.8	Faculty intellectual property rights	10	00	
5.9	Faculty R&D and-consultancy work	20	10	
5.10	Faculty interaction with outside world	10	05	
	Total	175	94	

7- 1	Findings:
5.1	STR is 17.82:1 for three years on the average.
5.2	FCR is 9.60 for three years on the average
5.3	There was no faculty with Ph.D. during 2013-14 & 2014-15.
	During 2015-16, Mere are 3 faculty members with
5.4	The faculty is competent enough to meet MCA programme
,	criteria through their research publications, posticipation in
	FOP / Noskshoh / Seminars.
5.5	On the average, Il faculty members posticipated/acted
	as Resource Persons in FDPs.
5.6.	Average RPI is 56. Faculty Retention is Satisfactory.
5.7	Average RPI is 56. Faculty Retention is 8 atisfactory. Total number of Research Publications during 2013-15 is 17; but not in high impact Journals.
	but not in high impact Journals.
5.8	No IPR by the faculty 36
5.9	Only two funded sojects each of Ks 12,000
	KSCSTE.
5.10	Faculty is in touch with IIT, Mumbar and Cochin
	KSCSTE. Faculty is in touch with IIF, Mumbas and Cochin University for joint publications afficient Suffragulin



Criterion 6: Facilities and Technical Support

ltem no.	Item description			
6.1	Classrooms	Max points	Points awarded	Remarks
6.2	Faculty rooms	30	26	
6.3	Laboratories including computing facility	20	11,	
6.4	Technical manpower support	35	30	
	Total	15	10	
igs:		100	80	

- 6.1 There are 6 class-rooms and 3 Tutorial room with adequate furniture. Each class-room is fitted with teaching - aids.
- 6.2 Faculty is housed in two big rooms with each faculty being accommodated in a cubical having a table, a Chair, a computer with Forternet facility. Also, a separate Counselling space is available as a common facility in the same room. Signature

Three well- equipped Computers Labs with 120 Computers with legal software for computy, are in place.

Technical mompower such as 2 Lab Technicions and 2 Network Administrators is available.

= R/Dlonge 30/4/17 luf 30/4/17



Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process

1)	Item- no.	Item description	Max.	Points awarded	Remarks
-12 t-mar-	7.1	Academic Support Units	50	39	
	7.2	Teaching – Learning Process	75	59	
		Total	125	98	

7.1 Only about 80% of the sonchoned make is being filled-up. About 72% of the admitted being filled-up. About 72% of the admitted students are having first class in their Graduation. The students have the facility to participate in Co-cursicular activities and sports activities. Language Lab and Soft-Skill development facility are also available.

7-2 Tutorial classes are earmarked for each Signature Class and Mentoring system is in place. Feedback from the students is Collected and analysed. Fortegret and Add on Courses help analysed. Fortegret and Add on Courses help.

Students in self-learning.

10/28 Bourge 30/4/12 Just 2014/19



Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial Resources

Item no.	Item description	Max.	Points awarded	Remarks
8.1	Campus Infrastructure and Facility	10	08	
8.2	Organisation, Governance, and Transparency	10	07	
8.3	Budget Allocation, Utilisation, and Public Accounting	10	09.	
8.4	Programme Specific Budget Allocation, Utilisation	10	09	
8.5	Library	20	12	
8.6	Internet	5	04	
8.7	Safety Norms and Checks	5	04	
8.8	Counselling and Emergency Medical Care and First-aid	5	03	
	Total	75	56	

8.1	Good Forfastructure, well-maintained having Power backuf
	and clean drinking water supply.
8.2	and clean drinking water support. Governing Budy and Administrative Letup exist and Overfunctional as per norms. Transporency needs
	Ore functional as 1
8-3	Sufficient budget is allocated
8.4	proposly whiled. Budget allocation for MCA programme is sufficient. Budget allocation for MCA programme is sufficient. Library ambience including digital library needs to be improved in a big way. The thousand width is adequate.
8.5	Library ambience including digital library needs to
	improved "
8.6	internel officeration in place.
8.7	All Bafety mosms and Checks are " P
8.8	Colmselling of students is to be made more siers.

R/18ouxe 30/4/17

July 201411



GUIDELINES AND OPERATING PRACTICES FOR ACCREDITATION VISIT AND EVALUATION

Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement

Item	Item description	Max.	Points	Remarks
no.		points	awarded	remark.
9.1	Improvement in Success Index of Students	10	07	
9.2	Improvement in Academic Performance Index of Students	10	07	
9.3	Improvement in Student-Teacher Ratio	10	05	
9.4	Enhancement of Faculty Qualification Index	10	06	
9.5	Improvement in Faculty Research Publications, R&D Work and Consultancy Work	10	05	
9.6	Continuing Education	10	07	
9.7	New Facility Created	15	10	2 4
8.8	Overall Improvement since last accreditation, if any, otherwise, since the commencement of the programme	25	15	77
	Total	100	62	

9.1	Findings: Success Findex of students increased from 85% in 2013 to 90% in 2015.
	to 90 % in 2015.
9.2	AA - a Oli daile Yaraamad allinesh
9.3	STR decreased from 15:1 in 2013 to 20:1 in 2015.
9.4	One Professor and two Associate Professors Joined Signature
	of McA dobatment m 2010 -16.
9-5	Number of Publications on the average is 5 per year
	No KAD/ Consultancy in place.
9.6	Faculty is conducting and participating in short-term
	Courses.
9.7.	Research of Project Lab with 30 computers of
	Research 4 Project Lab with 30 Computers is created Each faculty is provided with a Laptop
9.8	These are notable improvements over the years.



Experts' Report on the Strengths, Weaknesses, and Deficiencies, if any.

Strengths: Good Infrastructure with well equipped class-scoms.
(2) Good Computer Labs with sufficient number of Computers how requisite Licensed Software for Computing and Software Developme (3) Qualified faculty with 3 members having Ph.D. Degree
(4) Mexitoxious students (having scored more than 60% at UG lovel)
(5) Pass-out rate is above 80% every year (6) Sufficient budget is allocated to the depostment every year.
(6) Sufficient budget is allocated to the department every flow.
(2) only 17 Publications in the last 3 years.
(3) There was no Professor in the deportment titl 2015-16
(4) Involvement of stabeholders in designing POS, COS and PEOS
(5) Till now, only 65% of the POB have been achieved whereas
Deficiencies, if any: Teaches value is 17:1 as against 15:1
(2) One Computer Lab (foss) requires air-conditioning.
(3) Quality of Jobs through Campus Placements is very low.
no Guzze delivery by the Industry Experts only 60% of
the Projects are carried out in the Industry
(4) Interaction with Industry Exports is minimal. There is no course delivery by the Industry Experts only 60% of the Projects are corried out in the Industry (5) No IPR and sponsered Consultancy Projects by faculty.
Additional remarks, if any:
(1) There should be more Industry interaction through Industry based projects:
(2) More Practical oxiented training should be brouded.
(3) More Practical oriented training should be provided (3) Library ambience (Central) including digital library
needs improvement-
(4) Student Coinselling may be made more effective.

MASon 20/4/17 lufa 14/11



Summary of Evaluation

No.	Criterion	Max.	Points awarded
1	Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives	75	54
2	Programme Outcomes	150	107
3	Programme Curriculum	100	73
4	Students' performance	100	72
5	Faculty Contributions	175	73
6	Facilities and technical support	100	80
7	Academic Support Units and Teaching- Learning Process	125	98
8	Governance, Institutional Support and Financial Resources	75	56
9	Continuous Improvement	100	62,
	Total	1000	697

Note: Programme seeking accreditation under TIER-II scoring a minimum of 750 points in aggregate out of 1000 points with minimum score of 60% in all the fields shall be eligible for accreditation for 5 years. Whereas, the programme with a score of minimum 600 points in aggregate shall be eligible for provisional accreditation for two years under Tier-II system.

Specific remarks for those criteria in which points awarded are less than the qualifying
Mesearch Publications are must in xelect 1 Town
(2) No Consultancy Projects available with the faculty. (3) No IPR (Patent by the faculty.
Tatent of the faculty
MASoura 30/6/12 Pulazolulia 6 DIA
(Programme Evaluator) (Programme Evaluator 2) (Team Chairperson)
(Ravinder Kermai
(Ravinder Kermar Singla)



Chairperson's Report

Name of the programme	Master A could to Atherense
Name and address of the institution	Master of computer Applications SAINTGITS college of Engg, Kottukulan
Dates of the accreditation visit	18, rahamuttom P.O. Kottayam-6865
	28 h to 30 h April 2017
Name, designation, and affiliation of	Dr. Robert John D'Songa Jornarly
programme evaluator 1	Professor @ NITK SWDath Lal-575000
Name, designation, and affiliation of	Do R.K. SINGLA, Professor, Dept of Compider Science Applications
programme evaluator 2	Pontab University, Sec-14, Chandigash-160019
(Requested to submit individual repo	rt for each programme)
	re for each programme)
Strengths:	
+ The lollege has develope	I a brand image in a short span of
tione.	ma chost apan of
2. The Trust/Society encours	els a carleria excellence
3 Infrastructure and envis	eges a caderric excellence.
	The to reducation
Weaknesses:	
1. F. 6. I needs improveme 2. Quality of florement 3. Students are to be motive	at 1007 ded to go for hejou studies and becoming numbers.
Deficiencies, if any:	humbers.
1. LPR and R&D miss	ling
2 No Consultancy activity	<u> </u>
art v	in extra cutricular, extensión en actricala
Tell about two years ago, teachurs. Then slowly a	the Dept had only MCA quelfiel Few foculty with M Tech / Ph D joined.
Some of the Members of H	M- 1 0 0 1
	and are Distriction (Team Chairperson)
	active intention
the College affairs.	& Del
	01.55.2017
	(Prof G 1 2 4 1-