Mathematical specification of programming languages using monads and modules over them

Ambroise Lafont[1]

[1]University of New South Wales
Sydney, Australia

October 27, 2020

That is the question

What is a programming language, mathematically?

- In the literature, no well-established consensus.

Differential λ-calculus [Ehrhard-Regnier 2003]

$\sim$10 pages (section 2 $\longrightarrow$ beginning of section 3) describing the programming language and proving some properties.

Contributions presented in this talk

- a notion of programming language, transition monads (FSCD 2020, with Tom and Andre Hirschowitz), and
- a discipline for automatically generating them.

Features of this approach

- monads and modules to take care of substitution.
- works with simple types (in this talk: untyped case)

Related work

- *Reduction monads* of (POPL'20 with B. Ahrens, A. Hirschowitz, M. Maggesi)
  - × cbv λ-calculus out of reach
  - – **transition monads** = a generalisation of *reduction monads*
- *Mathematical Operational Semantics* (Turi-Plotkin '97)
  - – Deeply developed
  - × Higher-order languages (such as λ-calculus) only starting to be investigated (Peressoti '17)
- Rewriting with variable binding (categorical approach)
  - – e.g. Hamana 2003, T. Hirschowitz 2013, Ahrens 2016
  - × only congruent transitions $\Rightarrow$ weak reduction out of reach

Examples of transition monads

- $\overline{\lambda}\mu$-calculus
- π-calculus
- differential λ-calculus
- cbv λ-calculus (big/small-step)
- computational λ-calculus[1]
- GSOS specs

[1] "Effectful Applicative Bisimilarity: Monads, Relators, and Howe's Method", Lago-Gavazzo-Levy LICS 2017

Perspectives and interests

Abstracting well-known methods, e.g. Howe's method

- "A cellular Howe's theorem", LICS'20 with T. Hirschowitz and P. Borthelle, in a different setting.
- "Effectful Applicative Bisimilarity: Monads, Relators, and Howe's Method", Lago-Gavazzo-Levy LICS 2017, for particular cases of transition monads (computational λ-calculus).
- can we generalize both approaches?

Compilations (as morphisms of transition monads)

- explore different variants (different correctness criteria).
- try "academic" examples, e.g, Plotkin's CPS translations of λ-calculus.
- "effective" Coq formalization (theory already formalized using UniMath for the syntax)

Outline

Outline

What is a programming language?

2 components:

- **Syntax**: formal language for writing programs;
- **Operational semantics**: how do programs *execute*.



$$(2+2)\times 3 \xrightarrow{\text{1 execution step}} 4\times 3 \xrightarrow{\text{1 execution step}} 12$$

What is a programming language?

A graph whose vertices are programs.

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
(2+2)\times 3 \arrow[d] & & 3\times 2+2\times 2 \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & x+(5+4) \arrow[d] \\
4\times 3 \arrow[dr] & 6+2\times 2 \arrow[r] & 6+4 \arrow[d] & 3\times 2+4 \arrow[l] & x+9 \\
& 12 & 10 & &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Variables = placeholders for expressions

- Substitution: $(x+(5+4))[x:=12] = 12+(5+4)$
- Reductions are stable under substitution

$$\frac{{\color{red}x}+(5+4)\longrightarrow {\color{red}x}+9}{{\color{red}12}+(5+4)\longrightarrow {\color{red}12}+9}.$$

$\leadsto$ Transition monads!

Ingredients

- Programming languages (PLs) as graphs
  - (**Syntax**) vertices = terms
  - (**Semantics**) arrows = reductions between terms
- Simultaneous substitution: variables $\mapsto$ terms
  - monads and modules over them

Example

λ-calculus with β-reduction:

- **Syntax:** $S, T \quad ::= x \mid S\ T \mid \lambda x.S$
- Modulo α-**equivalence**, e.g.

$$\lambda x.x = \lambda y.y$$

- **Reductions:** $(\lambda x.t)\ u \xrightarrow{\beta} t[x := u] \qquad + \quad$ congruences

Outline

Simultaneous substitution

Syntax comes with substitution

terms as syntactic derivation trees (free variables as distinguished leaves).





Simultaneous substitution made formal

Free variables indexing

$$L(X) = \{\text{terms taking free variables in } X\}$$

Example: λ-calculus

$L(\{x, y\}) = \{$



$\}$

Simultaneous substitution (bind)

$$\forall f : X \to L(Y), \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{rl} L(X) & \to L(Y) \\ t & \mapsto t[x \mapsto f(x)] \quad (\text{or } t[f]) \end{array}}$$

Simultaneous substitution

$$\forall f : X \to L(Y),$$
$$X = \{x, y\} \qquad Y = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{rl} L(X) & \to L(Y) \\ t & \mapsto t[f] \end{array}}$$



Monads model simultaneous substitution

λ-calculus as a monad $(L, \_[\_], \eta)$

1. Simultaneous substitution $(L, \_[\_])$
2. Variables are terms

$$\eta_X : X \to L(X)$$

$$x \mapsto$$



3. Substitution laws:

$$\underline{x}[f] = f(x) \qquad t[x \mapsto \underline{x}] = t$$

$+$ associativity:

$$t[f][g] = t\,[x \mapsto f(x)[g]]$$

Outline

1. Transition monads
   - Substitution
   - Graphs
   - Definition
2. Generating transition monads
   - Three-level specification
   - Examples
3. Generating compilations by initiality
4. Conclusion

PLs as graphs

Example: λ-calculus with β-reduction



- **(Syntax)** vertices = terms e.g. $\Omega = (\lambda x.x\,x)\,(\lambda x.x\,x)$
- **(Semantics)** arrows = reductions

Graphs

Definition

Graph $=$ a quadruple (A, V, σ, τ) where

$$A = \{\text{arrows}\}$$
$$V = \{\text{vertices}\}$$

$$A \overset{source}{\underset{target}{\rightrightarrows}} V$$

$$\sigma : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \to & V \\ t \xrightarrow{r} u & \mapsto & t \end{array} \qquad \tau : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \to & V \\ t \xrightarrow{r} u & \mapsto & u \end{array}$$

$$\sigma(r) \xrightarrow{r} \tau(r)$$

Substitution for semantics

Syntax supports substitution. This is also true of semantics.

Our notion of PL:

- **Syntax**: a monad $(L, \_[\_], \eta)$
- **Semantics**:
  - graphs $R(X) \underset{target_X}{\overset{source_X}{\rightrightarrows}} L(X)$ for each X

    $R(X) =$ total set of reductions between terms taking free variables in X

  - substitution of reduction: variables $\mapsto$ L-**terms**.

$$\frac{t \xrightarrow{r} u}{t[f] \xrightarrow{r[f]} u[f]} \qquad f : X \to L(Y)$$

$\Rightarrow$ R is a L-module, and *source*, *target* are module morphisms (see next slide)

Substitution for semantics made formal

R as a **module** over L

R supports L-monadic substitution:

$$\forall f : X \to \boldsymbol{L}(Y), \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{rl} R(X) & \to R(Y) \\ r & \mapsto r[x \mapsto f(x)] \quad (\text{or } r[f]) \end{array}}$$

$+$ substitution laws

Other examples of L-modules: L, $L \times L$, 1, ...

source and *target* as L-**module morphisms**

if $source(r) \xrightarrow{r} target(r)$ then $source(r[f]) \xrightarrow{r[f]} target(r[f])$.

We want $source(r)[f] \xrightarrow{r[f]} target(r)[f]$, *i.e.*,

$\boxed{source(r)[f] = source(r[f])}$ $\boxed{target(r)[f] = target(r[f])}$

Commutation with substitution $\Leftrightarrow$ Module morphisms $\sigma, \tau : R \to L$.

Outline

Transition monads (first attempt)

Summary: graphs + substitution.

Definition

A **transition monad** $R \underset{\tau}{\overset{\sigma}{\rightrightarrows}} T$ consists of

- T = monad (= module over itself)
- R = module over T
- $\sigma, \tau : R \to T$ are T-module morphisms.

Example

λ-calculus with β-reduction.

What about big-step cbv λ-calculus?

- Terms reduce to values, not terms!
- Reductions are stable under substitution with values, not with terms!

Transition monads

cbv λ-calculus (big-step)	*Values* (monad)	$\begin{array}{c} & \mathit{Transitions} & \\ \swarrow^{\mathit{source}} & & \searrow^{\mathit{target}} \\ \mathit{Terms} & & \mathit{Values}\end{array}$
transition monads	a monad T	T-module morphisms $M_1 \xleftarrow{\mathit{source}} \mathit{Trans} \xrightarrow{\mathit{target}} M_2$ (bipartite graph)
reduction monads[1]	a monad T	$T \xleftarrow{\mathit{source}} \mathit{Trans} \xrightarrow{\mathit{target}} T$

- Untyped case: base category $= \mathit{Set}$
- Simply-typed case: base category $= \mathit{Set}^{\mathit{Types}}$

[1]POPL'20 with B.Ahrens, A. Hirschowitz, M. Maggesi.

Morphisms of transition monads

Simple case $M_i = T$

PLs	$\Leftrightarrow$	Transition monads
Compilations	$\Leftrightarrow$	Morphisms of transition monads

Morphism $(T \leftarrow \mathit{Trans} \rightarrow T) \longrightarrow (T' \leftarrow \mathit{Trans}' \rightarrow T') =$

(Syntax) A *monad morphism*[1] $T \xrightarrow{c} T'$

(Semantics) *Forward simulation*[2]: if $t_1 \xrightarrow{r} t_2$, *then* $c(t_1) \xrightarrow{[\![r]\!]} c(t_2)$

Examples (detailed later)

- λ-calculus + fixpoint op. $\longrightarrow$ λ-calculus
- λ-calculus + explicit substitution $t[x/u]$ $\longrightarrow$ λ-calculus

[1] mapping preserving substitution and variables

[2] backward simulations are often considered as a correctness criteria

Outline

Outline

Constructing *transition monads*

programming language = transition monad.

Can we construct them from *simple specifications*?

Overview

- *simple specification* = **signature** for transition monads
- existence (unique up to iso) of a transition monad matching a spec

Specification through **initial semantics**

- Notion of signature (e.g, for the syntax, a list of operation symbols with associated arities)
- To each signature is associated
  - a notion of model

Example

transition monad + additional structure, i.e., interpretation of operations and reductions

  - a notion of morphism of models

Example

compilation preserving additionnal structure

  - a proof that the category of models has an initial object
- object specified by the signature $\overset{def}{=}$ initial model
- Initiality $\Rightarrow$ recursion principle.

Three-level specification

$$\text{Transition monad} = (T\ ,\ M_1 \xleftarrow{\text{source}} \mathit{Trans} \xrightarrow{\text{target}} M_2)$$

Three spec steps:

Step	Component	Nature	Specification
1	T	monad	Operations + Equations
2	M_1, M_2	T-modules	Operations + Equations
3	*Trans*, *source*, *target*	"transition structure"	Transition rules as $\dfrac{t_1 \to u_1 \ldots t_n \to u_n}{t \to u}$

$\Rightarrow$ signature for transition monads = signature for each component

Outline

1. Transition monads
   - Substitution
   - Graphs
   - Definition
2. Generating transition monads
   - Three-level specification
   - Examples
3. Generating compilations by initiality
4. Conclusion

Examples

$$\text{Transition monad} = (\,T\,,\; M_1 \xleftarrow{\textit{source}} \textit{Trans} \xrightarrow{\textit{target}} M_2)$$

Upcoming examples

1.	cbn λ-calculus	full signature (sketched)
2.	cbn λ-calculus	signature for T
3.	cbn λ-calculus	left congruence rule for application
4.	cbn λ-calculus	congruence rule for abstraction (involves a binding variable)
5.	cbv λ-calculus	signature for M_i
6.	differential λ-calculus	signature for M_i
7.	differential λ-calculus	signature for T

Example 1/7: small-step cbn λ-calculus

Transition monad $= (T\ ,\ M_1 \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} M_2)$

Signature for cbn λ-calculus

Step	Component	Nature	Specification
1	T	monad	Operations $=$ app, abs
2	M_1, M_2	T-modules	$M_1 = M_2 = T$
3	*Trans*, *source*, *target*	"transition structure"	β-rule + congruences

Example 2/7: Specify the monad of λ-terms

(untyped) cbn λ-calculus: $(T, T \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} T)$

- Syntax "generated" by

application	$T \times T \to T$	
λ-abstraction $\lambda x.t$	$T' \to T$	T' = module of terms depending on an extra variable
(variables)	$Var \to T$	

Signature for T

2 operations (application/abstraction)

- Monads always have variables: no need to specify them
- "operation"= *module morphism*: compatible with substitution:

$$(t_1\, t_2)[y \mapsto u_y] = t_1[y \mapsto u_y]\, t_2[y \mapsto u_y]$$

References "Second-order equational logic" Fiore-Hur '10,
"Modular specification of monads" Ahrens et al. '19

Disgression on T'

- M'= **derivative** of a module M:

$$M'(X) = M(\overbrace{X \amalg \{x\}}^{X \text{ extended with a fresh variable } x})$$

used to model an operation binding a variable.

$$\mathrm{abs} : \quad L' \quad \to L \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} \mathrm{abs}_X : L(X \amalg \{x\}) & \to L(X) \\ t & \mapsto \lambda x.t \end{array} \right.$$

Example 3/7: Left congruence for application

cbn λ-calculus: $(T, T \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} T)$

Left congruence rule for application

$$\frac{t_1 \to t_2}{app(t_1, u) \to app(t_2, u)}$$

- Easy interpretation of transition rules:

Components of the rule	Interpreted as...
3 "metavariables": t_1, t_2, u	a "metavariable" T-module $V = T \times T \times T$
1 "premise": $t_1 \to t_2$	$V \to M_1 \times M_2$ $(t_1, t_2, u) \mapsto (t_1, t_2)$ (T-module morphism)
"conclusion": $app(t_1, u) \to app(t_2, u)$	$V \to M_1 \times M_2$ $(t_1, t_2, u) \mapsto (\, app(t_1, u),\ app(t_2, u)\,)$

Example 4/7: Binding variables in rules

cbn λ-calculus: $(T, T \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} T)$

Congruence rule for abstraction

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t_2}{\lambda x.t_1 \longrightarrow \lambda x.t_2}$$

- "metavariables" t_1 and t_2: terms that may depend on x.
- $T' = T$-module of terms depending on an additional variable

Components of the rule	Interpreted as...
2 "metavariables": t_1,t_2	a "metavariable" T-module $V = T' \times T'$
1 "premise": $t_1 \longrightarrow t_2$	$V \to T' \times T'$ $(t_1,t_2) \mapsto (t_1, t_2)$ (T-module morphism)
"conclusion": $\lambda x.t_1 \longrightarrow \lambda x.t_2$	$V \to T \times T$ $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto (\lambda x.t_1, \lambda x.t_2)$

Example 5/7: Specify M_i for cbv

$$\text{Transition monad} = (T, \quad M_1 \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} M_2)$$
$$\text{cbv } \lambda\text{-calculus} = (Vals, Tms \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} Vals)$$

Syntax of values and terms

$$\begin{aligned} Vals &: v, w ::= x | \lambda x.t \\ Tms &: t, u ::= \underbrace{x | \lambda x.t}_{} | t\ u \\ & \qquad ::= \quad v \quad | t\ u \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \text{terms} &= \text{binary trees } \textit{of} \text{ values} \\ Tms &= BinTree \circ Vals \end{aligned}$$

In fact, by definition of a transition monad,

- M_i is always of the shape $S_i \circ T$. Here,

$$T = Vals \qquad M_1 = BinTree \circ T \qquad M_2 = Id \circ T (= T)$$

- Signature for M_i = Signature for S_i

Signature for *BinTree*

variables (= labelled leaves) + 1 binary operation (building nodes)

Example 6/7: Specify M_i for DLC

Transition monad $= (T\ ,\ M_1 \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} M_2)$

Differential λ-calculus (DLC)

Syntax monad T of terms (a variant of λ-calculus)

Semantics a $\underbrace{\text{term } t}_{M_1 = Id \circ T\ (=T)}$ reduces to a $\underbrace{\text{multiterm } t_1 + \cdots + t_n}$

multiterms = formal sum of terms

$M_2 = FormalSum \circ T$

Signature for *FormalSum*

Operations	a constant 0, a binary operation $+$, variables
Equations	commutativity, associativity, unitality

Example 7/7: the monad of DLC

differential λ-calculus: $(T, M_1 \xleftarrow{source} Trans \xrightarrow{target} M_2)$

- Syntax of DLC = variant of λ-calculus

Application of DLC

$$app : (t, U) \mapsto t\,U$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{input of } app &= \text{a term } t \text{ and a multi-term } U = u_1 + \cdots + u_n \\ &= \text{a term and a formal sum } of \text{ terms} \\ \text{input } module \text{ of } app &= T \times (FormalSum \circ T) \end{aligned}$$

Signature for T

3 operations (no equation):

application $t\,U$	$T \times (FormalSum \circ T) \to T$
differential application $Dt \cdot u$	$T \times T \to T$
λ-abstraction	(as before)

Outline

Generating compilations by initiality

Initiality ≈ recursion principle

$$\underbrace{L_1}_{} \longrightarrow L_2$$

specified by a signature Σ_1:
$L_1 =$ **initial model** of Σ_1

Data generating a compilation: a Σ_1-model structure for L_2
$\Rightarrow$ By recursion/**initiality**, get a model morphism $L_1 \to L_2$



Examples

$$\underbrace{L_1}_{\text{specified by a signature } \Sigma_1:} \longrightarrow L_2$$

Recipe:

1. provide a Σ_1-model structure for L_2
2. as a model morphism, the induced compilation satisfies recursive equations.

Upcoming examples

- λ-calculus + formal fixpoint op. $\longrightarrow$ λ-calculus
  1. construct a fixpoint operator in λ-calculus
  2. formal fixpoint operator $\mapsto$ constructed fixpoint operator
- λ-calculus + explicit substitution[1] $t[x/u]$ $\longrightarrow$ λ-calculus
  1. consider λ-calculus with its unary substitution operation
  2. explicit substitution $\mapsto$ real substitution

[1] A Theory of Explicit Substitutions with Safe and Full Composition, [Kesner 2009]

Example 1/2: compiling λ-calculus + formal fixpoint op.

$$\underbrace{L_{\text{fix}}}_{\text{specified by "}\Sigma_L + \Sigma_{\text{fix}}\text{"}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{L}_{\text{specified by } \Sigma_L} \quad (\lambda\text{-calculus})$$

Signature Σ_{fix} specifying a fixpoint operator

- an operation $T' \xrightarrow{\text{fix}} T$
- reductions $\text{fix}(t) \to t[\underbrace{x}_{\text{the fresh variable}} := \text{fix}(t)]$

Model structure on L for Σ_{fix} ($\Rightarrow$ compilation $L_{\text{fix}} \to L$)

- choose a fixpoint combinator: a term Y s.t. $Y\ u \to_\beta^* u\ (Y\ u)$
- define $\text{fix}(t) := Y(\lambda x.t)$

$$\underbrace{Y(\lambda x.t)}_{\text{fix}(t)} \to_\beta^* (\lambda x.t)(Y(\lambda x.t)) \to_\beta \underbrace{t[x \mapsto Y(\lambda x.t)]}_{t[x \mapsto \text{fix}(t)]}$$

Example 2/2: compiling λ-calculus + explicit substitution

$$\underbrace{L_{ex}}_{\text{specified by } "\Sigma_L \setminus \{\beta\} + \Sigma_{ex}"} \longrightarrow \underbrace{L}_{\text{specified by } \Sigma_L} \quad (\lambda\text{-calculus})$$

Signature Σ_{ex} for the explicit substitution

- an operation $T' \times T \xrightarrow{(t,u) \mapsto t[x/u]} T$ s.t.

$$\boxed{t[x/u][y/v] = t[y/v][x/u]} \quad \text{if } x \notin fv(v), y \notin fv(u)$$

- β-reduction $\boxed{(\lambda x.t)u \to t[x/u]}$ + congruences +

$$t[x/u][y/v] \to t[y/v][x/u[y/v]] \quad x \notin fv(v),\ y \in fv(u) \quad (1)$$

Model structure on L for Σ_{ex} ($\Rightarrow$ compilation $L_{ex} \to L$)

- use the real susbtitution $T' \times T \xrightarrow{(t,u) \mapsto t[x:=u]} T$
- β-reduction + congruences + reflexive reduction (1)

Outline

Conclusion

Summary

- PLs as transition monads
- Signatures for transition monads

Perspectives

- replay well-known methods (e.g., Howe's method) in this setting
- explore different notion of compilations in this setting

Example: computational λ-calculus[1]

Parameterized by:

- a set Σ of operation symbols σ with specified arities
- a monad T with operations $T \times \cdots \times T \xrightarrow{\sigma^T} T$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M, N \quad &::= \quad \text{return } V \mid VW \mid M \text{ to } x.N \mid \sigma(M, \ldots, M); \\
V, W \quad &::= \quad x \mid \lambda x.M.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ a monad L_v of **values** + a L_v-module of terms

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathit{Transitions} \arrow[dl, "source"'] \arrow[dr, "target"] & \\
\mathit{Terms} & & T \circ L_v
\end{tikzcd}
$$

[1] *Effectful Applicative Bisimilarity: Monads, Relators, and Howe's Method*, Lago-Gavazzo-Levy LICS 2017

Example: computational λ-calculus[1]

Semantics

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathit{Transitions} \arrow[dl, "source"'] \arrow[dr, "target"] & \\
\mathit{Terms} & & T \circ L_v
\end{tikzcd}
$$

$$\frac{}{\textsf{return } V \Downarrow \eta(V)}\ (\textsf{ret})$$

$$\frac{M \Downarrow X \qquad N[x := V] \Downarrow Y_V}{M \textsf{ to } x.N \Downarrow X \gg\!= (V \mapsto Y_V)}\ (\textsf{seq})$$

$$\frac{M[x := V] \Downarrow X}{(\lambda x.M)V \Downarrow X}\ (\textsf{app})$$

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow X_1 \qquad \dots \qquad M_k \Downarrow X_k}{\sigma(M_1, \dots, M_k) \Downarrow \sigma^T(X_1, \dots, X_k)}\ (\textsf{op})$$

[1] *Effectful Applicative Bisimilarity: Monads, Relators, and Howe's Method*, Lago-Gavazzo-Levy LICS 2017