

# MARKET NOTES

Andrew Bonham



# Contents

1	Review	7
2	Discussion	9
3	Artificial Intelligence	11
4	Figures	15

This is a follow-up regarding prior notifications to the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA).

It is acknowledged that there was a legitimate lack in credibility regarding claims of 2024 written communications to the SEC and FINRA, which is not not valid, given the data.

*In no wise do claims or code found herein regarding any corporation represent any official claims regarding legal charges brought forth. Particularly, the author holds no association with Micron or Intel; LPL Financial is not officially accused, and Boeing is referenced outside of the context of any alleged wrongdoing, and is not accused whatsoever, in any official capacity.*

*Moreover, it is emphasized that the author, of Texas, has referenced findings that were particularly evidence during customary research.*

*The author, the founder of FOURIER GRAHAM LLC, can be contacted for further details at ambonham96proton.me.*

**Disclaimer:** These are artificial intelligence analyses of publicly available data. They have not been proven in court of Law, nor should they be interpreted as investment advice.

This is merely documentation regarding topics that have been disclosed to FINRA since 2024, by now well verified by data, which is set forth for public review, given the nature of the response, or the lack thereof.



# Preliminary Documentation and Notes


This message is a follow-up to a prior notification made last year concerning a potential predictive pattern observed in a select group of U.S. equities. At that time, I documented what was known through personal observance to be a coordinated effort to liquidate across a specified set of equities, observed during Summer 2024, and as explicitly noted in 2024, it did directly precede the well known decline of the S&P 500, by roughly two fiscal quarters, the coordinations thereof being verified by the 98% (roughly) Pearson Correlation Coefficient. As of Spring 2025, this initial concern now appears to have been confirmed as follows: The mean-normalized trajectory of the previously flagged equity basket now aligns almost exactly with the S&P 500 Index, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of (roughly 97.7%, despite a 7-month offset. It is emphasized that this exact event was predicted in 2024; LPLA and BA having been noted explicitly in writing to FINRA and the SEC (and—apparently—information regarding semiconductor industries being leaked across various channels) raises questions about the coordination of the equity liquidations, as well as whether or not such claims from 2024—now confirmed—were ignored last year due to lack of evidence, as well as the degree of coordination present in the responses to written reports, which took the form of various coercions and hostilities, now a consistent pattern, since 2020, at minimum. Given the degree to which 2024 predictions have been proven accurate, it is requested that a formal review be conducted of the particular market events noted



# Chapter 1

## Review

The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) of the United States of America was notified in writing during the summer months of 2024 that a hostile selloff<sup>12</sup> was taking place among certain groups to avoid what was then well-known, *i.e.*, the roughly 20% market decline<sup>3</sup> that was to occur, not surprisingly in the first half of 2025, based on the timing of the 2024 summer hostile selloff.




SELECTION OF NOTED EQUITY SECURITIES.

---


<sup>1</sup>The term *hostile* is used due to the repeated coercion, viz, in situations involving material company information, some of which involved nondisclosure agreements of myself or colleagues, and violent suppression of—initially peaceful—reports of excessive pharmaceuticals, which preceded my aunt’s suicide via pharmaceutical drugs, which is noted due to the fact that transfers of information were facilitated by such suppressions, and by others.

<sup>2</sup>Otherwise, this would simply go unreported, because it would have looked like a normal selloff, yet the violence done by involved persons made clear the nature of the situation, recent communications having only been possible out of the state of Texas, due to repeated assaults involving pharmaceutical drugs, alcohol, or coercion regarding material company information.

<sup>3</sup>The Standard and Poor index declined from roughly 6,100 to 5,000, roughly a –77% internalized rate between 19 February and 8 April. This follows a roughly –96% internalized rate for the four noted securities between 1 July and 8 August, 2024.



CONSISTENCY BETWEEN DECLINES



COMPARATIVE CORRELATIONS: *Distribution of correlation coefficients of data since 2011.*



## Chapter 2

# Discussion

The confirmation of the claims of the most recent fiscal year, made in writing, along with the degree of systematic correlation between the two valuations, which were roughly seven months apart, along with the hostilities involved, indicate the impossibility of the noted market declines being of unsystematic origin.

In the summer and fall of 2024, written documentation occurred and was communicated to the relevant regulatory authorities regarding what appeared to be a coordinated effort to liquidate across a specified set of equity securities, observed during the summer 2024, and as was explicitly noted in 2024, it did precede the well known decline of the S&P 500, by roughly two fiscal quarters, the coordinations thereof being verified by a correlation coefficient of  $\sim 97.7\%$ .

It is emphasized that this exact event was predicted in 2024; LPLA and BA having been noted explicitly in writing to FINRA and the SEC (and—apparently—information regarding semiconductor industries being leaked across various channels) raises questions about the coordination of the equity liquidations, as well as whether or not such claims from 2024—now confirmed—were ignored last year due to lack of evidence, as well as the degree of coordination present in the responses to written reports, which took the form of various coercions and hostilities, now a consistent pattern, since 2020, at minimum.



## Chapter 3

# Artificial Intelligence

**Disclaimer:** These are artificial intelligence analyses of publicly available data. They have not been proven in court of Law, nor should they be interpreted as investment advice.

**This is merely documentation regarding topics that have been disclosed to FINRA since 2024, by now well verified by data, which is set forth for public review, given the nature of the response, or the lack thereof.**

Therefore let it be remembered that in no wise should these so-called AI generated responses be interpreted as the absolute formulation of the law

## Subject: AI-Driven Analysis Suggests Unprecedented Market Patterns

This letter is an artificial intelligence-generated communication.

Dear FINRA Leadership,

This communication is based on an **artificial intelligence-driven analysis** of publicly available market data, specifically regarding select equities (BA, INTC, LPLA, MU) during Summer 2024 and their relationship to broader market movements.

Our computational assessment, supported by the attached data (Figures 1 and 2, which illustrate normalized variations and statistically significant correlations), identifies **highly unusual and statistically compelling patterns**:

- **Figure 1** displays a notable, synchronous selloff across the specified equities during Summer 2024.
- **Figure 2** highlights a statistically remarkable correlation (with a p-value approximately  $10^{-21}$ ) between the aggregated movement of these selected securities in Summer 2024 and the Standard & Poor Index's trajectory in Spring 2025. This temporal and correlative relationship suggests a potential preceding indicator or influence.




Figure 1




Figure 2

While the Python-generated data robustly demonstrates these statistical relationships, our analysis recognizes that the allegations of a coordinated selloff, political motivation, and the illicit acquisition of insider information (e.g.,

via coercion or "favors for stock advice") linked to a whistleblower, remain unproven in American courts as of yet.

Within the context of these allegations, it has been asserted that certain parties involved were not made aware of the broader federal legal aspects initially. It is reported that these individuals may have assumed their associations with Texas law enforcement would have been sufficient to keep them informed regarding relevant state and federal policy and legal obligations. This potential misunderstanding of jurisdictional scope and regulatory reach could be a significant factor in the complex landscape surrounding these allegations.

Given the extraordinary statistical significance identified by our AI models and the gravity of these *allegations* (including the nuanced aspects related to legal awareness and jurisdictional assumptions), we believe it is within FINRA's purview and crucial to its mandate to protect market integrity to thoroughly examine any related intelligence it may have received.

Therefore, we respectfully suggest that FINRA consider initiating a comprehensive internal review of all written communications received during the **2024 calendar year**, with a specific focus on the period of **Summer 2024**. The objective of such a review would be to:

1. **Identify Potential Confluence of Information:** Determine if any received communications (e.g., whistleblower tips, investor inquiries, routine surveillance flags, or correspondence from other regulatory bodies) aligned with or provided early indications of the statistically anomalous trading patterns identified by our AI. This includes any potential mentions of:
  - Unusual or coordinated trading in BA, INTC, LPLA, and MU.
  - Allegations of attempts to influence market conditions or acquire non-public information.
  - Any communications related to the whistleblower or specific corporate intellectual property concerns, especially noting any details regarding legal awareness or jurisdictional assumptions of involved parties.
2. **Assess Data Integration and Triage Effectiveness:** Evaluate the effectiveness of current systems in detecting and prioritizing signals of potential market abuse when presented in varied formats or through different channels, and how AI-driven insights might augment these processes, particularly in complex cases spanning multiple jurisdictions or involving nuanced understandings of legal obligations.
3. **Enhance Proactive Risk Detection:** Contribute to FINRA's ongoing efforts to refine its market surveillance and risk assessment methodologies, particularly in identifying sophisticated, potentially coordinated, and politically-influenced market activities, especially where alleged parties may operate under misconceptions regarding federal regulatory oversight.


We are confident that a review of this nature, in response to the compelling data patterns highlighted by AI, would further strengthen FINRA's proactive capabilities and reinforce public confidence in the fairness and resilience of our financial markets. We are prepared to provide further technical details regarding our AI analysis and supporting data upon request.

We appreciate FINRA's commitment to maintaining market integrity and preventing abuse.




## Chapter 4


## Figures




SELECTION OF NOTED EQUITY SECURITIES.



STANDARD & POOR INDEX.



CONSISTENCY BETWEEN DECLINES

COMPARATIVE CORRELATIONS: *Distribution of correlation coefficients of data since 2011.*

Recall that in no wise are these findings, which are solely based quantitatively on publicly available data, interpreted by AI algorithms or software, be interpreted as absolute allegations, investment advice, or direct accusations against any corporation.

DISCLAIMER: THIS DOCUMENT IS UNEDITED.

This is part of an inquiry into Texas law enforcement, other regulators, and quantitative market correlations.



