

Lecture 19: PAC(Probably Approximately Correct) learning

*Instructors: Susan Murphy and Ambuj Tewari**Scribe: April Cho*

1 PAC (Probably Approximately Correct) learning

- PAC learning basic idea: At the end of the "learning" process, we want the "solution" that should, with high probability, be approximately correct.
- In multi-armed bandits, $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, $\mu_a = \mathbb{E}[R^a]$, and $R^a \in [0, 1]$. For each a , we have access to an iid stream R_1^a, R_2^a, \dots . The optimal arm is $a^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_a$, and $\mu^* = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_a$.

Algorithm 1 is a general template of the PAC algorithm for MAB [EDMM02]. We need to specify the termination condition and sampling method for it to be a proper algorithm.

Algorithm 1 PAC learning for Multi-Armed Bandits

```

1: At time t :
2: if TERMINATION CONDITION met then
3:   Terminate and output an arm  $A'$ 
4: else
5:   Sample an arm  $A_t$ 
6:   Receive  $R_t = R_t^{A_t}$ 
7: end if

```

1.1 Definitions

Here are some definitions we need to know for the following theorems and proof.

- Arm/action a is ϵ -optimal if $\mu_a \geq \mu^* - \epsilon$
- A learning algorithm is an (ϵ, δ) -PAC algorithm for MAB if it outputs an ϵ -optimal arm with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$
- *Sample Complexity* of a learning algorithm for MAB = Worst case(over all possible inputs) time steps needed until termination

1.2 NAIVE algorithm

Sampling each action same amount of time is the most naive way of sampling actions.

Algorithm 2 NAIVE algorithm

```

1: For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , sample  $a$  a total of  $l$  times
2: Let  $\hat{\mu}_a$  be the sample means corresponding to the  $K$  actions
3: Terminate and output  $A' = \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{\mu}_a$ 

```

Sample complexity of NAIVE algorithm is $l \cdot K$ (fixed).

Theorem 1. *Naive algorithm with $l = \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{2K}{\delta})$ is (ϵ, δ) -PAC. Therefore for this choice of l , its sample complexity is $O(\frac{K}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{K}{\delta}))$.*

NOTE : There is a lower bound on sample complexity of $\Omega(\frac{K}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{1}{\delta}))$.

Proof. Let a be some action that is not ϵ -optimal : $\mu_a < \mu^* - \epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(A' = a) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_a \geq \hat{\mu}_{a^*}) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_a \geq \mu_a + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ or } \hat{\mu}_{a^*} \leq \mu^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_a \geq \mu_a + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{a^*} \leq \mu^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\
&\leq e^{-(\frac{\epsilon}{2})^2 l} + e^{-(\frac{\epsilon}{2})^2 l} \\
&= \frac{\delta}{K} \quad \text{when } l = \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{2K}{\delta})
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have K actions, $\mathbb{P}(\text{NAIVE outputs a non } \epsilon\text{-optimal arm}) \leq K \cdot \delta/K = \delta$. Hence with $l = \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{2K}{\delta})$, NAIVE algorithm is (ϵ, δ) -PAC. \square

1.3 MEDIAN ELIMINATION(ϵ, δ)

ϵ and δ are the input variables for this algorithm. S_l is a collection of competing arms at l^{th} phase.

Algorithm 3 Median Elimination(ϵ, δ) algorithm

- 1: Set $S_1 = \mathcal{A}$
 - 2: $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, $\delta_1 = \frac{\delta}{2}$, and $l = 1$.
 - 3: **while** $|S_l| > 1$ **do**
 - 4: Sample every arm $a \in S_l$ for $\frac{1}{(\epsilon_l/2)^2} \log(\frac{3}{\delta_l})$ times
 - 5: Let $\hat{\mu}_a^l$ denote the sample mean using samples in the l^{th} phase
 - 6: Find the median of the $|S_l|$ quantities $\mu_a^l =: m_l$
 - 7: $S_{l+1} = S_l - \{a : \hat{\mu}_a^l < m_l\}$
 - 8: $l = l + 1$; $\epsilon_{l+1} = \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_l$; $\delta_{l+1} = \frac{\delta_l}{2}$
 - 9: **end while**
 - 10: When out of the loop, output the only action remaining in S_l
-

Theorem 2. *Median Elimination(ϵ, δ) is (ϵ, δ) -PAC. Furthermore, its sample complexity is $O(\frac{K}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{1}{\delta}))$*

A key lemma is that, with high probability, the drop in the optimality of the best arm within the remaining set of arms is not severe in going from one phase to the next.

Lemma 3. *We have $\mathbb{P}(\max_{a \in S_l} \mu_a \leq \max_{a \in S_{l+1}} \mu_a + \epsilon_l) \geq 1 - \delta_l$*

We will prove this lemma next time.

Proof of Theorem 2(assuming Lemma 3 is true).

Overall suboptimality of the action in the final set $S_l = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \dots + \epsilon_{\log_2(K)}$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i = O(\epsilon)$$

Probability that inequality in Lemma 3 fails to hold in some episodes

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\log_2 K} \delta_l \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \delta_l = \delta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sample Complexity} &= \sum_{l=1}^{\log_2 K} \frac{n_l \log(\frac{3}{\delta_l})}{(\epsilon_l/2)^2} \quad \text{where } n_l = |S_l| = \frac{K}{2^{l+1}} \\ &= 4 \sum_{l=1}^{\log_2 K} \frac{(\frac{K}{2^{l+1}}) \log(\frac{2^l 3}{\delta})}{((\frac{3}{4})^{l-1} \frac{\epsilon}{4})^2} \\ &= 64 \sum_{l=1}^{\log_2 K} K \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^{l-1} \left(\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\log 3}{\epsilon^2} + l \frac{\log 2}{\epsilon^2} \right) \\ &\leq 64 \frac{K \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^{l-1} (lC' + C) \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{K \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [EDMM02] Eyal Even-Dar, Shie Mannor, and Yishay Mansour. Pac bounds for multi-armed bandit and markov decision processes. In *International Conference on Computational Learning Theory*, pages 255–270. Springer, 2002.