

- <http://bit.ly/2FGoVFh>

Open Science

Bringing publishing into the 21st century

The battle for open access



André Maia Chagas

Mozilla fellow

University of Sussex

Advocacy call Jan 17th 2019




OpenNeuroscience

2 / 34

Outlook

- How science is funded
- Papers: how to get them and their scientific value
- Tools: Software → Are they always doing what you expect?
- Tools: Equipment → Need to be so expensive? And so slow to change?
- Data: are we properly storing it? Are making the best use of it?
- Citizen Science: bringing research outside the ivory tower
- Summary / questions

How we are funded



How we are funded

Publications, drugs, treatments, new
tech



Patent, copyright



Technology transfer



Distribution/production Oligopoly



High Costs

So you want to read a paper?

READ THE FULL ARTICLE


Subscribe to <i>Nature</i> for full access: \$199	ReadCube Access*: \$4.99 rent \$9.99 buy	Purchase article full text and PDF: \$32
---	---	---

*printing and sharing
restrictions apply

[Subscribe](#) [Buy/Rent now](#) [Buy now](#)

Already a subscriber? [Log in](#) now or [Register](#) for online access.

Business as usual...




In the same
range:
Banks
Pharma
Auto companies

“Gate keepers” make a lot of PROFIT:
- Something they did not create!
- Was already paid for with public money
-Estimation 2017 (Germany):
-1 paper 3800-5000 Euros

Larivière *et al* 2015


Business



LOOK YOU NERDS IT'S A SIMPLE BUSINESS MODEL

YOU HAND ME THE FRUIT OF YOUR WORK FOR FREE
YOU REVIEW ITS QUALITY FOR FREE
AND THEN YOU PAY ME TO ACCESS IT

CAPISCE?



ake a lot of PROFIT:
did not create!
For with public money

5000 Euros

PEDROMICS

Except it has been overdone...

Open access scientific publishing

Harvard University says it can't afford journal publishers' prices

University wants scientists to make their research open access and resign from publications that keep articles behind paywalls

Memorial University to cancel thousands of journal subscriptions

Professors say cuts will damage MUN's reputation, hurt student recruitment

By Laura Howells, CBC News Posted: Dec 08, 2015 8:01 AM NT | Last Updated: Dec 08, 2015 4:09 PM NT

...And has lost a lot of value...


Peer review post-mortem: how a flawed aging study was published in Nature

How could an article with numerous shortcomings be published in top-tier journal Nature? Hester van Santen reveals how the gate-keepers of science knowingly let flawed research slip through.


❖ Hester van Santen ⏰ 9 december 2016 om 6:00

“Nature asks reviewers to look at statistics “if time is available”,


...And has lost a lot of value...



...And has lost a lot of value...




...And has lost a lot of value...



...And has lost a lot of value...



Not only retractions are problematic...



NATURE | NEWS



Over half of psychology studies fail reproducibility test

Largest replication study to date casts doubt on many published positive results.



Monya Baker

27 August 2015

100 publications “tested”

50 publications originally planned

Only 29 will enter due to difficulties in obtaining details on methods

Rigorous replication effort succeeds for just two of five cancer papers

By Jocelyn Kaiser | Jan. 18, 2017, 1:00 PM

Summary I

- Publication system:
 - Unchanged since 17th century
 - Hijacked by (obscene) profit driven companies
 - Influences (in a bad way) what is perceived as good science
 - Leads to catchy media highlights:
 - “Researchers found that #jibberjabbers gives you cancer”

The push back:

A bold open-access push in Germany could change the future of academic publishing

By [Gretchen Vogel, Kai Kupferschmidt](#) | Aug. 23, 2017 , 12:15 PM

Project Deal → ~200 German institutions together to negotiate fair prices for open access papers.

Current status (Dec 2018) – Stand-off with Elsevier. Other publishing companies have already accepted the terms proposed by the project

Alternatives/new methods

- Open access
- Pre-prints/archives
- Registered studies
- Demand for data and code

Enters Open Access and innovative publishing



Pay once, access it forever.

Estimation: one paper 1300-2000€

All “major” journals have an open access option

Some highlights



Some highlights

PeerJ = Open

Transparency, trust, and quality

Post-publication peer review history

PeerJ Factsheet

To help when considering journal options with your co-authors

Statistics

Launch	February 2013
Subjects	151
Impact factor	2.2
Articles published	4,080
Monthly readers	500,000
Publication speed	Median 25 days to first decision

Editors and Advisors



Harald zur Hausen

Nobel-Prize in Medicine



Dorothy Bishop

Royal Society fellow, British Academy



Kurt Wüthrich

Nobel-Prize in Chemistry



Steffanie Strathdee

Associate Dean of Global Health Sciences



1,673 Editors and Advisors

Handling peer-review across 151 subjects

Some highlights



Promoting understanding of research

For selected papers, we prepare non-technical summaries ([eLife digests](#)) so that the research we publish is accessible to a broader audience, including scientists in other fields, students, funders, policy makers and others.

Supporting reproducibility


eLife authors are encouraged to publish their work in full and are required to provide the key underlying data as part of their paper. We support projects such as Research Resource Identifiers (RRIDs) that promote unambiguous identification of reagents and materials. eLife is also the publishing partner for the [Reproducibility Project in Cancer Biology](#).

Some highlights



If you have a project that is entering the planning or data collection phase, we'd like you to try out a preregistration. Through our **\$1 Million Preregistration Challenge**, we're giving away \$1,000 to 1,000 researchers who preregister their projects before they publish them. It's straightforward to complete and will really enhance your research output.

Archives → Deposit a paper and move on from there...



Archive items get a DOI → can be cited and changes can be tracked



bioRx

THE PREPRINT SERVER FOR



Philipp Berens @CellTypist · Jul 24

our first prepub review on [@biorxiv_neurisci](#) for mouse behavior paper



Mice use robust and common strategies to discr...

Mice use vision to navigate and avoid predators in natural environments. However, the spatial resolution of mouse vision is poor compared to primates, and mic...

[biorxiv.org](#)



1



12



29



Labriger
@Labriger

Follow

Replying to [@CellTypist](#) [@biorxiv_neurisci](#)

Thanks so much! Here's the response: this blog post

[slslab.org/nid/2017/08/23](#) ... links to this PDF: [slslab.org/nid/wp-content](#) ...

5:01 AM - 23 Aug 2017



eprint archive for Paleontology

Powered by OSF Preprints

griXiv

Doesn't exclude “traditional” publishing

New Results

Previous

The 100 Euro Lab: A 3-D Printable Open Source Platform For Fluorescence Microscopy, Optogenetics And Accurate Temperature Control During Behaviour Of Zebrafish, Drosophila And C. elegans

Posted March 31, 2017.

Andre Maia Chagas, Lucia Prieto Godino, Aristides B Arrenberg,  Tom Baden

doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/122812>

This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed [what does this mean?].

 Download PDF

 Email

 Tweet

 Like

 OPEN ACCESS

COMMUNITY PAGE

The €100 lab: A 3D-printable open-source platform for fluorescence microscopy, optogenetics, and accurate temperature control during behaviour of zebrafish, *Drosophila*, and *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Andre Maia Chagas , Lucia L. Prieto-Godino, Aristides B. Arrenberg, Tom Baden 

Published: July 18, 2017 • <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2002702>

69 Save	2 Citation
28,306 View	225 Share

But what about my impact?!

New study: Research impact of paywalled versus open access papers

Study on 3.3 million papers and 35 million citations

-Open access papers:
- 52% more citations

Not a career killer...

On gaining tenure as an open scientist

On December 10th, 2014, I was formally awarded tenure at UC Davis, where I will start as an Associate Professor in the School of Veterinary Medicine on January 5th, 2015. In [my research statement for my job application](#), I wrote:

Open science and scientific reproducibility: I am a strong advocate of open science, open source, open data, open access, and the use of social media in research as a way to advance research more broadly.

Fri 26 December 2014

By [C. Titus Brown](#)

In [science](#).

tags: [tenure](#) [open science](#)

Scenes from the next episodes? - Software

Format: Abstract ▾

Genome Biol. 2016 Aug 23;17(1):177. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1044-7.


Gene name errors are widespread in the scientific literature.

Ziemann M¹, Eren Y^{1,2}, El-Osta A^{3,4}.

 Author information

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/053505>; this version posted August 23, 2016. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/).

Software



Summary II

- Paywalls and the use of proprietary tools in academia:
 - Shuts a lot of people out
 - Makes public pay twice for scientific development
 - Hinders the quality of the scientific output
- Open source / Open access allows:
 - Transparency
 - Distributed development
 - Proper use of data
 - Robustness

Our Perceptions



- Curious about other open access/innovative publishing methods?
- Curious about open source tools for neurosciences

www.openeuroscience.com

Thank you for your attention!

- Questions?
 - Curious about other open access/innovative publishing methods?
 - Curious about open source tools for neurosciences

www.openeuroscience.com