

The λ -calculus

© Vivian McPhail

1 September 2015

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Notation (Meta-variables)

An infinite supply of variables, V , are denoted by lower-case roman letters (x, y, z, \dots) and λ -terms, Λ , are denoted by upper-case roman letters (M, N, O, \dots).

Definition (Lambda Terms)

*A λ -term is either a **variable**, an **abstraction**, or an **application**:*

$$\begin{array}{lll} x \in V & \Rightarrow & x \in \Lambda \quad \text{Variable} \\ x \in V, M \in \Lambda & \Rightarrow & (\lambda x.M) \in \Lambda \quad \text{Abstraction} \\ M, N \in \Lambda & \Rightarrow & (MN) \in \Lambda \quad \text{Application} \end{array}$$

Notation (Bracketing)

1. $(M(NO)) \rightarrow M(NO)$ *Outermost brackets may be discarded.*
2. $(MN)O \rightarrow MNO$ *Application associates to the left.*
3. $\lambda x.(\lambda y.(\lambda z.M)) \rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.M$ *Abstraction associates to the right.*
4. $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.M \rightarrow \lambda x y z.M$ *Consecutive abstractors can be abbreviated as one.*

Definition (Bound Variables)

In a λ -term, $\lambda x.M$, the variable x is *bound* by the abstractor λ and is under the abstractor's *scope*.

Definition (Free Variables)

1. A variable occurring in a λ -term that is not bound is *free*. The set of *free variables* is defined inductively:

$$\begin{aligned} FV(x) &= \{x\} \\ FV(\lambda x.M) &= FV(M) \setminus \{x\} \\ FV(MN) &= FV(M) \cup FV(N) \end{aligned}$$

2. M is *closed* or a *combinator* if $FV(M) = \{\emptyset\}$.
3. $\Lambda^0 = \{M \in \Lambda \mid M \text{ is closed}\}$.

Notation (Syntactic Equality)

For any two λ -terms M and N , $M \equiv N$ denotes syntactic equality.

Definition (α -conversion, Church 1941)

The renaming of bound variables is called α -conversion.

$$\lambda x.M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \lambda y.[y/x]M \quad \text{provided that } y \text{ does not occur in } M$$

Two terms that are the same up to renaming of variables are equivalent.

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \Rightarrow M \equiv N$$

De Bruijn terms, which label variables by position (distance from the closest left-hand abstractor) are a means of avoiding variable renaming issues Barendregt (1984).

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (Substitution)

The expression $[N/x]M$ is the term M with all free occurrences of x *substituted* for by N :

$$\begin{aligned} [N/x]x &\rightarrow N \\ [N/x]y &\rightarrow y \\ [N/x](\lambda y.M) &\rightarrow \lambda y.([N/x]M) \\ [N/x](M_1 M_2) &\rightarrow ([N/x]M_1)([N/x]M_2) \end{aligned}$$

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (μ -conversion)

λ -terms can be given names and the μ -conversion of a term involving these names is the term with the name replaced by the corresponding λ -term

$$\mathbf{name} := M \Rightarrow (\mathbf{name} N) \xrightarrow{\mu} MN$$

Definition (Standard Combinators)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I} &:= \lambda x.x \\ \mathbf{K} &:= \lambda x y.x \\ \mathbf{S} &:= \lambda x y z.x z (y z)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$$\forall M \in \Lambda, \mathbf{SKM} = \mathbf{I}$$

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (β -reduction)

The principal axiom scheme is β -reduction:

$$(\lambda x.M)N \xrightarrow{\beta} [N/x]M \quad \forall M, N \in \Lambda$$

Theorem (FixedPoint Theorem)

1. $\forall F \in \Lambda, \exists X \in \Lambda : FX = X$
2. *There is a fixed point combinator*

$$\mathbf{Y} := \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x))$$

such that

$$\forall F \in \Lambda, F(\mathbf{Y}F) = \mathbf{Y}F$$

Definition (η -Reduction)

Redundant abstractions can be removed with η -reduction

$$\lambda x.Mx \xrightarrow{\eta} M \quad x \notin FV(M)$$

The theory λ extended with η -reduction is called λ_η .

Definition (Redex)

A *redex* is a *reducible expression*, which is an expression to which a reduction can be applied.

Example (Reducible Expressions)

Reducible expressions:

1. A variable, a , is **NOT** a redex.
2. The abstraction $\lambda x.M$ is a redex.
3. The application MN is a redex if M is an abstraction.

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (β -Normal Form)

Let $M \in \Lambda$.

1. M is a β -normal form (β -nf or nf) if M has no subterm $(\lambda x.P)Q$.
2. M has a β -normal form if $\exists N : N = M$ and N is a β -normal form.
3. If M is a nf, it is also said that M is **in** nf.

Definition ($\beta\eta$ -Normal Form)

1. M is a $\beta\eta$ -normal form if M has no subterm $(\lambda x.P)Q$ or $(\lambda x.R x)$ with $x \notin FV(R)$.
2. M has a $\beta\eta$ -normal form if

$\exists N : \lambda_\eta \vdash M = N \wedge N$ is a $\beta\eta$ -normal form

Definition (Head and Arguments)

In a λ -term $\lambda \vec{x}. M \vec{N}$ where the x_i may occur in M , M is the *head* and the \vec{N} are the *arguments*.

Definition (Head Normal Form)

1. M is a *head normal form* (hnf) if M has the form $M \equiv \lambda \vec{x}. y \vec{N}$.
2. M has a hnf if $\exists N : M = N$ and N is a hnf.

Definition (Weak Head Normal Form)

1. M is a *weak head normal form* (whnf) if M has the form $M \equiv \lambda \vec{x}. M \vec{N}$ where in the λ -term all reductions to the function (the head, M) have been applied, but not all reductions to the parameter, N , have been applied. That is, M is a whnf if it is a hnf or a lambda abstraction.
2. M has a whnf if $\exists N : M = N$ and N is a whnf.

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (Redexes)

1. The *leftmost* redex is the redex whose abstraction is to the left of all other redexes.
2. The *rightmost* redex is the redex whose abstraction is to the right of all other redexes.
3. The *innermost* redex is one that contains no other redexes.
4. The *outermost* redex is one that is contained within no other redexes.

Definition (Evaluation Order)

1. *Applicative Order* evaluates the leftmost, innermost redex.
2. *Normal Order* evaluates the leftmost, outermost redex.

Definition (Parameter Evaluation)

1. *Call by value* evaluates arguments before evaluating the head.
2. *Call by name* evaluates the head before evaluating arguments.

Definition (Evaluation Strategy)

1. In *strict* evaluation, the arguments are fully evaluated before the function is applied.
2. In *non-strict* (or *lazy*) evaluation, arguments to a function are not evaluated until they are used.

Definition (Church-Rosser)

1. Let \mathbf{R} be a binary relation on Λ . Then \mathbf{R} satisfies the *diamond property* ($\mathbf{R} \models \diamond$) if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall M, M_1, M_2, (M, M_1) \in \mathbf{R} \wedge (M, M_2) \in \mathbf{R} \\ \Rightarrow \exists M_3 : (M_1, M_3) \in \mathbf{R} \wedge (M_2, M_3) \in \mathbf{R} \end{aligned}$$

2. A notion of reduction \mathbf{R} is said to be *confluent* if $\xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}}$ satisfies the diamond property.
3. A confluent notion of reduction \mathbf{R} has the *Church-Rosser property*.

Theorem (Church-Rosser)

$\beta\eta$ -reduction is confluent and so the λ_η theory is Church-Rosser.

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (Referential Transparency)

*A function is **referentially transparent** when given the same arguments, or their reductions, it returns the same result.*

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

Definition (Church Numerals)

The Church numerals $\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$ are defined by

$$\mathbf{c}_n := \lambda f x. f^n(x)$$

Lemma

1. $(\mathbf{c}_n x)^m(y) = x^{n*m}(y)$
2. $(\mathbf{c}_n)^m(x) = \mathbf{c}_{(n^m)}, \quad m > 0$

Proposition (J. B. Rosser)

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_+ &:= \lambda x y p q. x p(y p q) \\ \mathbf{A}_* &:= \lambda x y z. x(y z) \\ \mathbf{A}_{exp} &:= \lambda x y. y x\end{aligned}$$

Then $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

1. $\mathbf{A}_+ \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{c}_{m+n}$
2. $\mathbf{A}_* \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{c}_{m*n}$
3. $\mathbf{A}_{exp} \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{c}_{(m^n)}, m \neq 0$

Outline

Definitions

Substitution

Named Terms

Reduction

Normal Form

Evaluation Strategies

Referential Transparency

Representing Data

A Lambda Interpreter

$S = (\lambda x y z. x z (y z))$

$K = (\lambda x y. x)$

$I = (S K K)$

$false = (S K)$

$true = K$

$zero = false$

$succ = (\lambda n f x. f (n f x))$

$one = (succ zero)$

$if = I$

$isZero = (\lambda n. n (\lambda z. false) true)$

$mul = (\lambda m n f. m (n f))$

$pred = (\lambda n f x. n (\lambda g h. h (g f)) (\lambda u. x) (\lambda u. u))$


```
Y = (^x.(^y.x(y y))(^y.x(y y)))
```

```
nextFact = (^f n.if (isZero n) one (mul n (f (pred n))))  
fact = (Y nextFact)
```

A lambda interpreter:

```
$ git clone https://github.com/amcp hail/lambda
$ ghci

GHCi, version 7.10.2: http://www.haskell.org/ghc/  :? for help
Prelude> :l Lambda
[1 of 3] Compiling Parsers          ( Parsers.hs, interpreted )
[2 of 3] Compiling Combinators       ( Combinators.hs, interpreted )
[3 of 3] Compiling Lambda            ( Lambda.hs, interpreted )
Ok, modules loaded: Lambda, Parsers, Combinators.

*Lambda> let fact n = if n == 0 then 1 else (n * (fact (n-1)))
*Lambda> fact 4
24

*Lambda> main

lambda term? fact four
-> ^f x.f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f x\
))))))))))))))))))

lambda term?
```

Barendregt, H. (1984). *The Lambda Calculus: Its Syntax and Semantics*, Vol. 103 of *Studies in Logic*, second, revised edn, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

Barendregt, H. and Barendsen, E. (1994). Introduction to lambda calculus.

<http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/barendregt94introduction.html>.