

CSC263H Tutorial 5

Sample Solutions

Winter 2024

1. (a) Hash every name into a hash table of size roughly n . This already detects whether or not any two keys are identical. In the worst-case, this requires time $\Theta(i)$ for the i -th insertion, so total time $\Theta(n^2)$. But on average, we expect that each insertion will take time $\Theta(1)$, so the total time is only $\Theta(n)$. Given what we know of hashing – the worst-case is actually rare in practice – this is an excellent solution.

- (b) In this situation, a hash table would be no better than the original unsorted array: using it would require us to generate the list of sorted names using a method like selection sort (linear search for the first name, followed by linear search for the second name, etc.). This would take total time $\Theta(n^2)$ – worse than just sorting the original input list.

2. (a) **Linear Probing:**

<i>key</i> :	22	88			4	15	28	17	39	31	10
<i>index</i> :	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Quadratic Probing:

<i>key</i> :	22	88			4	15	28	17		31	10
<i>index</i> :	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

* key 39 cannot be inserted: every probe location fails.

Double Hashing:

<i>key</i> :	22		39	17	4	15	28	88		31	10
<i>index</i> :	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

- (b) See Section 11.4 in CLRS (or David's course notes on page 52).
- (c) See Section 11.4 in CLRS (or David's course notes on page 52).
- (d) See the discussion in Section 11.4 in CLRS (or David's course notes on page 53).