Learning Objectives

By the end of this worksheet, you will:

- Prove and disprove statements using the definition of Big-O.
- Investigate properties of Big-O for some common families of functions.

Note: In Big-O expressions, it will be convenient to just write down the "body" of the functions rather than defining named functions all the time. We'll always use the variable n to represent the function input, and so when we write " $n \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$," we really mean "the functions defined as f(n) = n and $g(n) = n^2$ satisfy $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$."

As a reminder, here is the formal definition of Big-O:

$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f): \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq cf(n)$$
 where $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

1. Comparing polynomials. Our first step in comparing different families of functions is looking at different powers of n. Consider the following statement, which generalizes the fact that $n \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ a \le b \Rightarrow n^a \in \mathcal{O}(n^b)$$

(a) Rewrite the above statement by expanding the definition of Big-O.

Solution

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ a \le b \Rightarrow \left(\exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \ge n_0 \Rightarrow n^a \le cn^b\right)$$

(b) Prove the above statement. **Hint**: you can actually pick c and n_0 to both be 1. Even though this is pretty simple, take the time to write the formal proof as a good warm-up for the rest of this worksheet.

Solution

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and assume $a \leq b$. Let c = 1 and $n_0 = 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that $n \geq n_0$. We want to prove that $n^a \leq n^b$.

We can start with our assumption that $a \leq b$ and calculate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a \leq b \\ n^a \leq n^b & \text{(since } n \geq 1) \\ n^a \leq c n^b & \text{(since } c = 1) \end{array}$$

Note: going from $a \le b$ to $n^a \le n^b$ involves raising n to the power of both sides. This is valid when $n \ge 1$.

2. **Comparing logarithms.** One slight oddity about the definition of Big-O is that it treats all logarithmic functions "the same". Your task in this question is to investigate this by proving the following statement:¹

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ a > 1 \land b > 1 \Rightarrow \log_a n \in \mathcal{O}(\log_b n)$$

We won't ask you to expand the definition of Big-O, but if you aren't quite sure, then we highly recommend doing so before attempting even your rough work!

Hint: use the "change of base rule" for logarithms.

¹If you are concerned by the fact that $\log n$ is not defined at n=0, you can replace $\log_a n$ with $\log_a (1+n)$ in the above, and similarly with \log_b . We usually don't worry about this subtlety, since our concern is with the value of the functions for larger values of n. Picking an $n_0 > 0$ avoids the evaluation worry.

Solution

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Assume that a > 1 and b > 1. Let $n_0 = 1$, and let $c = \frac{1}{\log_b a}$.* Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that $n \ge n_0$. We want to show that $\log_a n \le c \cdot \log_b n$.

The logarithm change of base rule tells us the following:

$$\forall a, b, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ a \neq 1 \land b \neq 1 \Rightarrow \log_a x = \frac{\log_b x}{\log_b a}$$

Using this rule, we can write:

$$\log_a n = \frac{\log_b n}{\log_b a}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\log_b a} \log_b n$$
$$= c \cdot \log_b n$$

Since we've proved that $\log_a n = c \cdot \log b_n$, we can conclude that $\log_a n \le c \cdot \log_b n$.

[Note: we didn't need to use the assumption that $n \geq 1$ in this proof.]

^{*}Since a, b > 1, we know that c > 0.

 $^{^\}dagger \mbox{When the bases}$ are equal to 1, $\log_a x$ is undefined when $x \neq 1.$

3. Sum of functions. Now let's look at one of the most important properties of Big-O: how it behaves when adding functions together. Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. We define the sum of f and g as the function $f + g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n)$. For example, if f(n) = 2n and $g(n) = n^2 + 3$, then $(f+g)(n) = 2n + n^2 + 3$. Consider the following statement:

$$\forall f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \ g \in \mathcal{O}(f) \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$$

In other words, if g is Big-O of f, then f + g is no bigger than just f itself, asymptotically speaking.

Your task for this question is to prove this statement. Keep in mind this is an implication: you're going to assume that $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$, and you want to prove that $f + g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$. It will likely be helpful to write out the full statement (with the definition of Big-O expanded), and use subscripts to help keep track of the variables.

Solution

Here's the full statement, with the definitions expanded:

$$\forall f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \ \left(\exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq cf(n) \right) \Rightarrow$$
$$\left(\exists c_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq n_1 \Rightarrow f(n) + g(n) \leq c_1 f(n) \right)$$

Proof. Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. Assume that $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$, i.e., there exist $n_0, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all natural numbers n, if $n \geq n_0$ then $g(n) \leq cf(n)$. We want to prove that $f + g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$.

Let $n_1 = n_0$, and $c_1 = c + 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that $n \ge n_1$. We want to prove that $f(n) + g(n) \le c_1 f(n)$.

Since $n \ge n_1 = n_0$, by our assumption we know that $g(n) \le cf(n)$. So then:

$$g(n) \le cf(n)$$

$$f(n) + g(n) \le f(n) + cf(n)$$

$$f(n) + g(n) \le (c+1)f(n)$$

$$f(n) + g(n) \le c_1 f(n)$$