

Learning Objectives

By the end of this worksheet, you will:

- Analyse the worst-case running time of an algorithm.
- Find, with proof, an input family for a given algorithm that has a specified asymptotic running time.

1. **Substring matching.** Here is an algorithm which takes two strings and determines whether the first string is a substring of the second.¹

```

1 def substring(s1: str, s2: str) -> bool:
2     for i in range(len(s2) - len(s1)):          # Loop 1
3         # Check whether s1 == s2[i..i+len(s1)-1]
4         match = True
5         for j in range(len(s1)):                # Loop 2
6             # If the current corresponding characters don't match, stop the inner loop.
7             if s1[j] != s2[i + j]:
8                 match = False
9                 break
10
11         # If a match has been found, stop and return True.
12         if match:
13             return True
14
15     return False

```

- (a) Assume that both strings are non-empty, and that the length of the second string is equal to the square of the length of the first string.²

Let n represent the length of `s1` (and so the length of `s2` is n^2). Find a good asymptotic upper bound on the worst-case running time of `substring` in terms of n .

Solution

For a fixed iteration of Loop 1: Loop 2 takes *at most* n iterations ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$), and each iteration takes constant time. So the total number of steps for Loop 2 is n .

Loop 1 runs for *at most* $n^2 - n$ iterations ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n^2 - 1$), and each iteration takes *at most* $n + 1$ steps (counting 1 for the constant-time operations in Loop 1's body). So then the total cost of Loop 1 is *at most* $(n^2 - n)(n + 1)$.

So then since the last statement, `return False`, takes *at most* 1 step (it may or may not execute), the total running time is *at most* $(n^2 - n)(n + 1) + 1$ steps, which is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

¹In Python, this would correspond to the `in` operation, e.g., `'oof' in 'proofs are fun'`.

²The algorithm certainly works even if the input string lengths don't satisfy this requirement, we add it here to simplify some of the analysis.

- (b) Find, with proof, an input family whose running time matches the upper bound you found in part (a).

Hint: you can pick $s1$ to be a string of length n that just repeats the same character n times.

Solution

This input family is rather tricky to describe and analyse properly. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let $s1$ be the string of length n that only contains the character ‘a’, and let $s2$ be the string of length n^2 defined as:

$$s2[i] = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } n \mid i + 1 \\ a, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For example, when $n = 4$, we have

$$s1 = aaaa \text{ and } s2 = aaabaaabaaabaaab$$

Intuitively, since $s1$ and $s2$ are so similar, the inner loop has to run for many iterations until it finds a mismatch.

We leave the analysis of the running time of **substring** on this input family as an exercise, with one hint: the outer loop will run $n^2 - n$ times in total; rather than trying to sum up over all of these iterations, break it up into n groups of n consecutive iterations. You should find that the running time of the first n iterations (from $i = 0$ to $n - 1$) is more straightforward to analyse, and each subsequent group of n iterations has the same cost.