

Strict orders and directed acyclic graphs

a partial order acts similar to the \leq operator, and a strict order acts similar to the $<$ operator

a relation R is a **strict order** if R is **transitive** and **anti-reflexive**.

- if an order is transitive and anti-reflexive the result will also be anti-symmetric.

Notation: $a \prec b = a R b$ if R is a strict order

(A, \prec) where A is the domain

$(A, \prec) =$ the domain along w/ the strict order R defined on it

two elements are **comparable** if $x \prec y$ or $y \prec x$

two elements are **incomparable** if $\neg(x \prec y)$ AND $\neg(y \prec x)$

an element x is **minimal** if there is no y where $y \prec x$

an element x is **maximal** if there is no y where $x \prec y$

a **total order** is where every pair is comparable

Directed Acyclic Graphs

a **directed acyclic graph** (or **DAG**) is a **digraph** that has **no positive length cycles** (i.e. a cycle's walk length is zero)

- useful for representing precedence relationships (ex: prerequisites)

- strict orders are closely related to DAGs

Theorem: Directed acyclic graphs and strict orders

Let G be a digraph. G has **no positive length cycles** if and only if G^+ is a **strict order**

if G is a digraph and G^+ is the **transitive closure** of G ,

the **minimal** elements in G^+ have an **in-degree = 0** in G

the **maximal** elements in G^+ have an **out-degree = 0** in G