


# Get Rich with Complexity

In this lesson, we discuss the famous  $P=NP$  dilemma.


$P$  equals  $NP$  or  $P$  doesn't equal  $NP$ ?

Since we can solve problems in  $P$  in polynomial time, we can also verify them in polynomial time. Thus we can say *every problem in  $P$  is also in  $NP$* . Note we haven't made the converse claim whether problems in  $NP$  are in  $P$  or not. Or said another way, is  $P$  a proper or improper subset of  $NP$ ? We'll come back to that later.

One of the most important open questions in theoretical computer science is whether  $P=NP$ . It's so important and famous that Clay Institute includes it as one of the [millennium problems](#), offering \$1 million dollars in prize money for a solution! If  $P$  equals  $NP$  then the two classes would collapse into one. A pictorial representation is shown below



**if  $P$  is not equal to  $NP$**



**if  $P$  equals  $NP$**

P vs NP

It's very important to understand that just because we can't prove  $P=NP$ , it doesn't imply that  $P \neq NP$ . We require a proof to conclusively declare one or the other. Most theorists, however, believe that  $P \neq NP$ . If it were proved  $P=NP$ , then it would mean that all problems that we can't solve today in polynomial time will have efficient solutions.

