Overloading new and delete

In this lesson, we will learn how to overload the new and delete operators so that we can manage memory in a better way.

WE'LL COVER THE FOLLOWING The baseline new operator delete operator Counting allocations and deallocations Addresses of the memory leaks Comparison of the memory addresses Further information

Quite often, a C++ application allocates memory but does not deallocate it. This is a job for the operator new and operator delete. Thanks to both of them, we can explicitly manage the memory of an application.

From time to time, we have to verify that an application correctly releases its memory. In particular, for programs running for long periods of time, it is a challenge to allocate and deallocate memory from a memory management perspective. Of course, the automatic release of memory during program shutdown is not an option.

The baseline

As a baseline for our analysis, we use a simple program that frequently allocates and deallocates memory.

```
main.cpp

// #include "myNew.hpp"

// #include "myNew2.hpp"

// #include "myNew3.hpp"
```

```
#include <iostream>
myNew2.hpp
                               #include <string>
                               class MyClass{
myNew3.hpp
                                 float* p= new float[100];
                               };
                               class MyClass2{
                                 int five= 5;
                                 std::string s= "hello";
                               };
                               int main(){
                                   int* myInt= new int(1998);
                                   double* myDouble= new double(3.14);
                                   double* myDoubleArray= new double[2]{1.1,1.2};
                                   MyClass* myClass;
                                   MyClass2* myClass2= new MyClass2;
                                   delete myDouble;
                                   delete [] myDoubleArray;
                                   delete myClass;
                                   delete myClass2;
                               // getInfo();
\triangleright
```

The key question is, does there need to be a corresponding delete for each new call?

The question can be simply answered by overloading the global operators, new and delete. For each operator, I count how often it was called.

new operator

C++ offers the **new** operator in four variations:

```
void* operator new (std::size_t count );
void* operator new[](std::size_t count );
void* operator new (std::size_t count, const std::nothrow_t& tag);
void* operator new[](std::size_t count, const std::nothrow_t& tag);
```

The first two variations will throw an std::bad_alloc exception if they can
not provide the necessary memory. The last two variations return a null

pointer. It's quite convenient and sufficient to overload only version **1** because versions **2 - 4** use version **1**:

```
void* operator new(std::size_t count)
```

This statement also holds for the variants 2 and 4, which are designed for C arrays. We can read the details of the global operator, new, here.



The statements also hold for the delete operator.

delete operator

C++ offers six variations for the delete operator:

```
void operator delete (void* ptr);
void operator delete[](void* ptr);
void operator delete (void* ptr, const std::nothrow_t& tag);
void operator delete[](void* ptr, const std::nothrow_t& tag);
void operator delete (void* ptr, std::size_t sz);
void operator delete[](void* ptr, std::size_t sz);
```

According to the properties of new, it is sufficient to overload delete for the first variant because the remaining 5 use void operator delete(void* ptr) as a fallback.

In the last two last versions of delete, we have the length of the memory block in the variable sz at our disposal. Read the details here.

Counting allocations and deallocations

Let's use the header myNew.hpp (line 1). Here we invoke the function getInfo to get information about our memory management.

myNew.hpp
myNew2.hpp
myNew3.hpp

```
// myNew.hpp
#ifndef MY_NEW
#define MY_NEW
#include <cstdlib>
#include <iostream>
#include <new>
static std::size_t alloc{0};
static std::size_t dealloc{0};
void* operator new(std::size_t sz){
    alloc+= 1;
    return std::malloc(sz);
}
void operator delete(void* ptr) noexcept{
    dealloc+= 1;
    std::free(ptr);
}
void getInfo(){
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << "Number of allocations: " << alloc << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << "Number of deallocations: " << dealloc << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
#endif // MY_NEW
```

In the header file, we created two static variables, alloc and dealloc (lines 10 and 11). They keep track of how often we have used the overloaded new (line 13) and delete (line 18) operators. We delegate, in the functions, the memory allocation to std::malloc and the memory deallocation to std::free. The function getInfo (lines 23 - 31) provides the numbers and displays them.

The question is, have we cleaned everything?

Of course not. That's the aim of this lesson and the next one. Now, we know that we have leaks. Maybe it will be helpful to determine the addresses of the objects which we have forgotten to clean up.

Addresses of the memory leaks

Naturally, we have to be cleverer with the header myNew2.hpp.

C

main.cpp

myNew.hpp

myNew2.hpp

myNew3.hpp

```
// myNew2.hpp
#ifndef MY_NEW2
#define MY_NEW2
#include <algorithm>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <iostream>
#include <new>
#include <string>
#include <array>
int const MY_SIZE= 10;
std::array<void* ,MY_SIZE> myAlloc{nullptr,};
void* operator new(std::size_t sz){
    static int counter{};
    void* ptr= std::malloc(sz);
    myAlloc.at(counter++)= ptr;
    return ptr;
void operator delete(void* ptr) noexcept{
    auto ind= std::distance(myAlloc.begin(),std::find(myAlloc.begin(),myAlloc.end(),ptr));
    myAlloc[ind]= nullptr;
    std::free(ptr);
void getInfo(){
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << "Not deallocated: " << std::endl;</pre>
    for (auto i: myAlloc){
        if (i != nullptr ) std::cout << " " << i << std::endl;
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
#endif // MY_NEW2
```







The key idea is to use the static array myAlloc (line 15) to keep track of the addresses of all std::malloc (line 19) and std::free (line 27) invocations. In the function, operator new, we cannot use a container that needs dynamic memory. This container would invoke the operator new, and use recursion, which would cause the program to crash. Now what can happen, is that our std::array becomes too small. Therefore, we invoke myAlloc.at(counter++) to check the array boundaries.

Which memory addresses have we forgotten to release? The output gives the answer.

A simple search for the object that has the address is not a good idea because it is quite probable that a new call of std::malloc reuses an old address. That is fine as long as the objects have been deleted in the meantime.

But why are the addresses part of the solution? We only have to compare the memory addresses of the created objects with the memory addresses of the objects that are not deleted in order to ensure that we have properly deallocated our memory.

Comparison of the memory addresses

In addition to the memory address, we have the size of the reserved memory at our disposal as well, and we will use this information in operator new.

```
main.cpp

myNew.hpp

myNew3.hpp

// myNew3.hpp

#ifndef MY_NEW3
#define MY_NEW3
#include <algorithm>
#include <stdlib>
#include <iostream>
#include <new>
#include <array>

int const MY_STZE_ 10:
```

```
std::array<void* ,MY_SIZE> myAlloc{nullptr,};
void* operator new(std::size_t sz){
    static int counter{};
    void* ptr= std::malloc(sz);
    myAlloc.at(counter++)= ptr;
    std::cerr << "Addr.: " << ptr << " size: " << sz << std::endl;</pre>
    return ptr;
}
void operator delete(void* ptr) noexcept{
    auto ind= std::distance(myAlloc.begin(),std::find(myAlloc.begin(),myAlloc.end(),ptr));
    myAlloc[ind]= nullptr;
    std::free(ptr);
}
void getInfo(){
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << "Not deallocated: " << std::endl;</pre>
    for (auto i: myAlloc){
        if (i != nullptr ) std::cout << " " << i << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
#endif // MY_NEW3
```

Now, the allocation and deallocation of the application are more transparent.

A simple comparison shows that we forgot to release an object with 4 bytes and an object with 400 bytes. In addition, the sequence of allocation in the source code corresponds to the sequence of outputs in the program. Now, it should be quite easy to identify the missing memory releases.

Further information

- global operator, new
- operator_new

The program is not beautiful for two reasons. First, we statically allocated the memory for std::array. Second, we want to know which object was not released. In the next lesson, we will solve both issues.