Overloading new and delete: A Few Adjustments

In this lesson, we will refine the strategy for overloading the operators new and delete.

WE'LL COVER THE FOLLOWING ^

- Who is the bad guy?
- First try
 - Theory
 - Understanding the output
- All at runtime

What were the not-so-nice properties in the previous lesson?

First, we only got a hint of which memory was lost. Second, we had to prepare the whole bookkeeping process of memory management at compile time. In this lesson, we aim to overcome these shortcomings.

Who is the bad guy?

Special tasks call for special forces. Here, we can benefit from the use of a small macro for debugging purposes.

```
Let's have a look at the macro #define new new(__FILE__, __LINE__)
```

The macro causes each new call to be mapped to the overloaded new call. This overloaded new call gets, in addition, the name of the file and the line number respectively. That's exactly the information we need.

But what will happen if we use the macro in line 4 below?

```
main.cpp

//#include "myNew4.hpp"
//#include "myNew5.hpp"

myNew4.hpp

#define new new(__FILE__, __LINE__)
```

```
myNew5.hpp
                               #include <iostream>
                               #include <new>
                               #include <string>
                               class MyClass{
                                 float* p= new float[100];
                               };
                               class MyClass2{
                                 int five= 5;
                                 std::string s= "hello";
                               };
                               int main(){
                                   int* myInt= new int(1998);
                                   double* myDouble= new double(3.14);
                                   double* myDoubleArray= new double[2]{1.1,1.2};
                                   MyClass* myClass= new MyClass;
                                   MyClass2* myClass2= new MyClass2;
                                   delete myDouble;
                                   delete [] myDoubleArray;
                                   delete myClass;
                                   delete myClass2;
                                   dummyFunction();
                                   //getInfo();
```

The preprocessor substitutes all new calls. That shows the modified main function exactly.

```
class MyClass{
  float* p= new("main.cpp", 14) float[100];
};

class MyClass2{
  int five= 5;
  std::string s= "hello";
};

int main(){

  int* myInt= new("main.cpp", 24) int(1998);
  double* myDouble= new("main.cpp", 25) double(3.14);
  double* myDoubleArray= new("main.cpp", 26) double[2]{1.1,1.2};
```

```
MyClass* myClass2 new("main.cpp", 28) MyClass2;

delete myDouble;
delete [] myDoubleArray;
delete myClass;
delete myClass;
delete myClass2;

dummyFunction();
getInfo();
}
```

Lines 2 and 12 show that the preprocessor substitutes the constants __FILE_ and __LINE_ in the macro. But how does the magic work? The header myNew4.hpp solves the riddle.

First try

```
main.cpp
 myNew4.hpp
myNew5.hpp
// myNew4.hpp
#ifndef MY_NEW4
#define MY_NEW4
#include <algorithm>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <iostream>
#include <new>
#include <array>
int const MY_SIZE= 10;
int counter= 0;
std::array<void* ,MY_SIZE> myAlloc{nullptr,};
void* newImpl(std::size_t sz,char const* file, int line){
   void* ptr= std::malloc(sz);
    std::cerr << file << ": " << line << " " << ptr << std::endl;
    myAlloc.at(counter++)= ptr;
    return ptr;
void* operator new(std::size_t sz,char const* file, int line){
    return newImpl(sz,file,line);
```

```
void* operator new [](std::size_t sz,cnar const* file, int line){
    return newImpl(sz,file,line);
}
void operator delete(void* ptr) noexcept{
    auto ind= std::distance(myAlloc.begin(),std::find(myAlloc.begin(),myAlloc.end(),ptr));
    myAlloc[ind]= nullptr;
    std::free(ptr);
}
#define new new(__FILE__, __LINE__)
void dummyFunction(){
    int* dummy= new int;
void getInfo(){
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << "Allocation: " << std::endl;</pre>
    for (auto i: myAlloc){
        if (i != nullptr ) std::cout << " " << i << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
}
#endif // MY_NEW4
                                                                                \triangleright
```

Theory

We implement, in lines 25 and 28 in main.cpp, the special operators new and new[] that delegate their functionality to the helper function newImpl (lines 18 - 23 in myNew4.hpp). The function performs two important jobs:

- 1. It displays, to each new call, the name of the source file and the line number (line 20 in myNew4.hpp).
- 2. In the static array myAlloc, it keeps track of each used memory address (line 21 in myNew4.hpp).

This fits the behavior of the overloaded delete operator that sets all memory addresses to the null pointer, nullptr (line 35 in myNew4.hpp). The memory addresses stand for the deallocated memory areas. In the end, the function getInfo displays the memory addresses that were not deallocated. We can directly see them together with the file name and line number.

Of course, we can directly apply the macro in the file myNew4.hpp as well. That was a lot of theory. What's the output of the program?

Understanding the output

The memory areas of three memory addresses were not deallocated. The bad guys are the

- new calls in lines 23 and 13 in main.cpp
- new call in line 42 in myNew4.hpp

Impressive, isn't it? But the presented technique has two drawbacks: one minor and one major.

- 1. We have to overload the simple operator, new, and the operator, new [], for arrays. This is because the overloaded operator new is not a fallback for the three remaining new operators.
- 2. We cannot use the special new operator that returns a null pointer in the case of an error because it will be explicitly called by the new operator with the argument std::nothrow: int* myInt= new (std::nothrow) int(1998);

Now, we have to solve the first issue. We want to use a data structure for the array myAlloc that manages its memory at run time. Therefore, it is not necessary anymore to eagerly allocate the memory at compile time.

All at runtime

For what reason could we not allocate memory in the operator new? It was globally overloaded. Therefore, a call of new would face never-ending recursion. That is exactly what will happen if we use a container like std::vector that dynamically allocates its memory.

This restriction does not hold anymore because we didn't overload the global operator new that is a fallback to the three remaining new operators. Thanks to the macro, our own variant of the new operator is now used. Therefore, we can use std::vector in our operator new.

We can see that in the program below while using the myNew5.hpp header:

```
main.cpp
 myNew4.hpp
 myNew5.hpp
// myNew5.hpp
#ifndef MY_NEW5
#define MY_NEW5
#include <algorithm>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <iostream>
#include <new>
#include <string>
#include <vector>
std::vector<void*> myAlloc;
void* newImpl(std::size_t sz,char const* file, int line){
    static int counter{};
    void* ptr= std::malloc(sz);
    std::cerr << file << ": " << line << " " << ptr << std::endl;
    myAlloc.push_back(ptr);
    return ptr;
void* operator new(std::size_t sz,char const* file, int line){
    return newImpl(sz,file,line);
void* operator new [](std::size_t sz,char const* file, int line){
    return newImpl(sz,file,line);
}
void operator delete(void* ptr) noexcept{
    auto ind= std::distance(myAlloc.begin(),std::find(myAlloc.begin(),myAlloc.end(),ptr));
    myAlloc[ind]= nullptr;
    std::free(ptr);
}
#define new new(__FILE__, __LINE__)
void dummyFunction(){
    int* dummy= new int;
void getInfo(){
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    std::cout << "Allocation: " << std::endl;</pre>
    for (auto i: myAlloc){
        if (i != nullptr ) std::cout << " " << i << std::endl;</pre>
    }
```

```
std::cout << std::endl;
}
#endif // MY_NEW5</pre>
```

In lines 13, 19, and 33, we use std::vector.

Let's have a short exercise to test the concept of memory in C++.