

March 24, 2009

Active File Identification & Deleted File Recovery Tool Specification

Draft for comment 1 of Version 1.1



43

44 **Abstract**

45

46 This document defines requirements for digital forensic tools that examine file system
47 metadata to identify active files, deleted files and attempt to reconstruct or recover
48 deleted files. The specification is limited to tools that examine file system metadata to
49 identify deleted files. For example, FAT file system directory entries marked with a hex
50 0xE5 as the first character of a file name should be reported as a deleted file by the tool.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	1
2	Purpose.....	2
3	Scope.....	2
4	Definitions.....	3
5	Background.....	4
5.1	Abstract Model of a File System	4
5.2	File System Properties	5
5.3	References (Informative)	5
6	Requirements	6
6.1	Requirements for Core Features	6
6.2	Requirements for Optional Features	6

1 Introduction

There is a critical need in the law enforcement community to ensure the reliability of computer forensic tools. A capability is required to ensure that forensic software tools consistently produce accurate and objective results. The goal of the Computer Forensic Tool Testing (CFTT) project at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is to establish a methodology for testing computer forensic software tools by development of general tool specifications, test procedures, test criteria, test sets, and test hardware. The results provide the information necessary for toolmakers to improve tools, for users to make informed choices about acquiring and using computer forensics tools, and for interested parties to understand the tools capabilities. Our approach for testing computer forensic tools is based on well-recognized international methodologies for conformance testing and quality testing. This project is further described at <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/>.

The Computer Forensic Tool Testing program is a joint project of the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), the research and development organization of the U.S. Department of Justice, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology Office of Law Enforcement Standards (OLES) and Information Technology Laboratory (ITL). CFTT is supported by other organizations, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the U.S. Department of Defense Cyber Crime Center, U.S. Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Division Electronic Crimes Program, U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the U.S. Secret Service. The objective of the CFTT program is to provide measurable assurance to practitioners, researchers, and other applicable users that the tools used in computer forensics investigations provide accurate results. Accomplishing this requires the development of specifications and test methods for computer forensics tools and subsequent testing of specific tools against those specifications.

Frequently during a forensic examination, data is discovered on the target media that is not part of any active or visible file. Although this data can still be examined (e.g. string searching), as would be done for unallocated space, if the data associated with a particular file could be identified and recovered in its original form, this could provide additional useful information. An example of this would be where a graphics file, if undeleted and recovered, could be viewed—potentially providing more information than a simple string search. Many of the forensic tools used by investigators identify files that have been deleted, and allow the operator to undelete them. This may allow the investigator to examine the file in the original format (e.g. a graphics file viewer), or identify when a particular file was deleted and its original location.

To reconstruct deleted files within a forensic setting, three fundamental problems have to be addressed by a deleted file recovery (DFR) tool. First, the files that have been deleted have to be identified and located. Although this could be as simple as scanning directory entries for a particular key (e.g. '0xE5' in Fat 32) it may be a more complex process.

This process is paramount for any recovery tool to work correctly, for if files are not correctly identified and located, they will not be part of the recovery process.

The **second** problem, from a file system perspective, is that the data to be recovered is *latent*, and needs the assistance of a tool to recover the data. As with most other latent data recovery, since the results depend on the output of a particular tool, the tool must be shown to operate correctly (i.e., undelete files correctly).

The **third** and final fundamental problem is that the potential uncertainty present in any recovery effort leads to a reduced level of confidence in the information recovered. Specifically with deleted file recovery, the data recovered may be commingled with data from other deleted files, allocated files, or even from non-allocated space.

2 Purpose

This document defines **the functional requirements for tools** used within forensic investigations to identify active files, deleted files and to reconstruct deleted files.

These requirements were developed through a combination of processes including but not limited to deleted file recovery research, personal interviews with forensic investigators, and working with a focus group of individuals who are experts in the field of forensic investigation and depend on the results of deleted file recovery tools. Additionally, as this document evolves, feedback will be incorporated from a variety of sources, and will be posted to our web site at <http://www.cfft.nist.gov> for comments.

These requirements are used to derive test assertions and test methods used to determine whether a specific tool meets the requirements. The assertions are described as general statements of conditions that can be checked after a test is executed. Each assertion generates one or more test cases consisting of a test protocol and the expected test results. The test protocol specifies detailed procedures for setting up the test, executing the test, and measuring the test results. The test assertions, test methods and test protocols are found in an accompanying document, *Active File Identification & Deleted File Recovery Tool Test Assertions and Test Plan*, located on the CFFT web site, located on the CFFT web site, <http://www.cfft.nist.gov/>.

3 Scope

The scope of this specification and requirements document is limited to software that identifies active files, deleted files and recovers deleted files. The proper or improper use of a tool is not within the scope of this specification.

The specifications and requirements for deleted file recovery are high-level, and are based on the following assumptions.

General:

- The deleted file recovery tools are used in a forensically sound environment.

- The individuals using these tools adhere to forensic principles, and have control over the environment in which the tools are used.

Tool Functions:

- Only file system metadata based deleted file recovery tools are considered.
- Other types of latent data recovery such as file carving tools are not part of this specification.

Tool Environment:

- Only the file systems supported by a given tool are tested.
- Only commonly used file systems will be part of the testing parameters.
- Encrypted and distributed file systems are outside the scope of this document.

Deleted File State:

- It is assumed that the files used to test the deleted file recovery process were created and deleted in a process similar to how an end-user would create and delete files.
- Files and file system metadata that is specifically corrupted, modified, or otherwise manipulated to appear deleted are outside of the scope of this document.

4 Definitions

Included here are definitions of terms used in this specification document. Although there may be commonly accepted definitions for some of the terms, the context of this document may require a specific meaning.

Data Block: File system specific data allocation unit (block), usually 512 bytes or a multiple of it. Some file systems may use other terms to describe a *data block* such as, *cluster* in FAT file systems.

Deleted Block Pool (DBP): A conceptual collection of *data blocks* that were originally part of an FS-Object, subsequently deleted, and have not been reallocated or reused.

Estimated Content: A tool *Estimates Content* if it attempts to recover the content of a deleted file, beyond what is explicitly identified in the *residual metadata*.

File System Object (FS-Object): The fundamental objects to store and organize information within a file system. The most common examples of *FS-Objects* would be files and directories.

Logical Order: The content of a *FS-Object* as it would be sequentially accessed.

Logical Deletion: When an *FS-Object* is deleted through metadata manipulation, without the actual object data being erased. For example, in FAT32, when an

object is deleted, the directory entry is flagged, and the file allocation entries are cleared—the actual file data is not removed or erased.

Metadata: The associated periphery information or attributes that describe a FS-Object such as name, time-based metadata (creation, modification, and last accessed times), access rights, ownership, and location.

Recovered Object (RO): The object constructed by a Deleted File Recovery Tool through examining residual metadata. Due to the potential for corruption inherent with data that is no longer maintained by a file system, the *RO* and associated attributes may not completely match the original *FS-Object*.

Residual Metadata: The metadata that remains after a *FS-Object* has been deleted. In some cases there may exist more residual metadata than can be accessed. For example, if a directory is fragmented, when it is deleted, usually only the first data block of metadata is accessible, while the remaining fragmented directory information is not.

5 Background

This section provides the technical background needed to discuss deleted file recovery tools and functions. The first section outlines a brief high-level model of a file system. Section two covers the two most common properties of file systems, which are the basis for most deleted file recovery efforts. Section three outlines some of the reference material for understanding file systems.

5.1 Abstract Model of a File System

A file system is used to store data for access by a computer. The data is normally stored within a tree-like structured hierarchy of directories and files. File system *metadata* contains information to describe and locate every file within a given file system. Some *metadata* resides in directory entries, but additional *metadata* may reside in special files (e.g., NTFS \$MFT) or other locations (e.g., UNIX i-nodes).

When a file or directory is deleted, normally the associated *metadata* entry is flagged as being no longer active. However, in most file systems, neither the metadata associated with the file nor the actual content is completely removed. This creates a situation where there is *residual metadata* (metadata remaining after a delete has occurred) that may still be accessible. However, depending on the original format and structure of the metadata, not all of it may be reachable. This would be the case for a fragmented directory, where the first data block of directory entries would be reachable even after deletion, but the remaining data blocks of directory entries are not.

5.2 File System Properties

File systems are designed to allow an operating system to have access to secondary storage in a manner that is both efficient and timely. In the past, storage devices have been expensive, and slow (when compared to Random Access Memory). Accessing the hard drive efficiently, although implemented differently in each file system, tends to have some side effects that can be exploited to recover deleted files. Two of the key properties are contiguous writes, and the conservative nature of file system activity.

File systems use contiguous writes if possible: Most operating systems write data to the drive in a contiguous set of data blocks or sectors if available. A given data file, provided it is not modified after being written to the disk, tends to have all the data in sequentially accessible sectors. This speeds up both the write and read processes, since the heads on the drive do not need to move to different areas on the disk to write or read data. This plays a role in data recovery, in that data from a given file, even deleted, has a high likelihood of being grouped together on the disk in contiguous data blocks.

File systems are conservative: this characteristic implies that, to be fast and efficient, file systems perform many activities with minimal changes or overhead. In the case of file deletion, in most situations, only a *logical deletion* is performed—meaning that the actual data is not erased, but the metadata that indexes the information is changed, flagged or removed. By using this technique, a file, no matter how large, can be “deleted” by simply modifying or removing entries from file system metadata. The simplest example of this is how a windows FAT 32 file system deletes files. It locates the directory entry of the file to be deleted, changes the beginning character in the file name to a ‘0xE5’ hex value, and then zeros the file allocation table. This indicates to the file system that a file has been deleted, and is no longer accessible (or maintained) by the file system—yet most of the metadata and the entire file content remain.

For the most part, these common attributes assist in the recovery of data on the drive, regardless of the type of file system the data resides on. Many tools leverage the residual metadata in locating the potential file system objects, and then recover the largest amount of contiguous data.

5.3 References (Informative)

It is important to note that these references are primarily informative.

Carrier, (2003). “File System Analysis Techniques: Sleuth Kit Reference Document.” Available at http://www.sleuthkit.org/sleuthkit/docs/ref_fs.html.

Crane, (1999). “Linux Ext2fs Undeletion mini-HOWTO.” Available at <http://www.tldp.org/HOWTO/Ext2fs-Undeletion.html>.

Erdelsky, (1993). “A Description of the DOS File System.” Available at <http://www.alumni.caltech.edu/~pje/dosfiles.html>.

Himmer, (2000). "File Systems HOWTO." Available at <http://www.faqs.org/docs/Linux-HOWTO/Filesystems-HOWTO.html>.

Microsoft, (2004). "Description of the FAT32 File System." Available at <http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=http://support.microsoft.com:80/support/kb/articles/q154/9/97.asp&NoWebContent=1>.

NIST, (2004). "General Test Methodology for Computer Forensic Tools," Available at <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/>.

6 Requirements

The requirements section is divided into two parts. The first, *Requirements for Core Features*, are those features that should be present in all tools. The second is the *Requirements for Optional Features*. These features, on the condition they are present, are used to report on the tool capabilities. If a feature is not present, then requirements for those features will not be tested.

6.1 Requirements for Core Features

All deleted file recovery tools must support the following requirements.

DFR-CR-01 The tool shall identify all deleted *File System-Object* entries accessible in *residual metadata*.

DFR-CR-02 The tool shall construct a *Recovered Object* for each deleted *File System-Object* entry accessible in *residual metadata*.

DFR-CR-03 Each *Recovered Object* shall include all non-allocated *data blocks* identified in a *residual metadata* entry.

DFR-CR-04 Each *Recovered Object* shall consist only of *data blocks* from the *Deleted Block Pool*.

6.2 Requirements for Optional Features

The following define requirements for two optional features. The requirements below are used to report on how the tool behaves if the optional feature is implemented. If the tool does not provide the defined feature, then the requirement does not apply. The two optional features are active file listing and content estimation of a recovered object.

6.2.1 Active File Listing

DFR-RO-01: If the tool supports active file listing then the tool shall identify all active *File System-Object* entries described by file system metadata.

DFR-RO-02: The tool shall report file attributes from file system metadata.

6.2.2 Deleted File Content Estimation

If the residual metadata for deleted files in a given file system does not identify all file allocation units in the deleted file, the DRF tool may optionally create a recovered object that estimates the likely content of an original file identified in the residual metadata by extrapolation from drive content. This is referred to as a tool that *Estimates Content*.

There is no definitive expected result for the content of the created recovered object. The requirements for estimated content are used to characterize tool behavior and evaluate the relationship between the original file content and the recovered object.

DFR-RO-03: The tool shall report *Recovered Object* attributes that are recoverable from residual metadata.

DFR-RO-04: If the tool *Estimates Content* then each recovered data block shall be assigned to no more than one *Recovered Object*.

DFR-RO-05: If the tool *Estimates Content* then the *Recovered Object* shall consist only of data blocks allocated to the original *File System-Object* identified in the residual metadata.

DFR-RO-06: If the tool *Estimates Content* then any data blocks in the *Recovered Object* shall be in the same logical order as in the original *File System-Object* identified in the residual metadata.

DFR-RO-07: If the tool *Estimates Content* then the *Recovered Object* shall consist of the same number of blocks as the original *File System-Object*.

DFR-RO-08: If the tool *Estimates Content* then the *Recovered Object* shall replace any blocks that have been allocated since the Original Object was deleted with benign data of the same length.