

*CSE 543*

*Information Assurance and Security*

*Authentication and  
Access Control*

*Professor Stephen S. Yau  
Fall 2022*



# *Authentication*

---

- Authentication is *validation of a user's identity*
- Four general ways for authentication:
  - What an entity *has* (badge, ID card)
  - What an entity *knows* (passwords, secret information)
  - *Who* an entity is (fingerprints, retinal characteristics)
  - *Where* an entity is (in front of a particular terminal)



# *Passwords*

---

- A password is information associated with an entity that confirms the entity's identity
- Password storage
  - Store in file
  - Store in encrypted file
  - Store with one-way hashes



# *Password Attacks*

---

- Dictionary attack
- Other types of attacks???
- Countermeasures
  - Random selection of passwords
  - Use strong passwords
  - Disable the account after # consecutive attempts to login in to an account fail
  - Other types of countermeasures???



# *One-Time Passwords*

---

- Password that can be used exactly *once*
- Generation mechanisms
  - *Time-synchronization*
    - Using a synchronized time between client and server
    - Example

Let  $t_x$  be the current synchronized time,  
 $f(t_x)=p_x$  The passwords in the order of use are  
 $p_1, p_2 \dots p_x \dots$



# *One-Time Passwords (cont.)*

## ■ *Challenge-response*

- Using a challenge from server
- Example: Let  $c_i$  be the current challenge from server,

$f(c_i) = p_i$ . The passwords in the order of use are

$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \dots, p_n$

## ■ *Hash chain*

- Using a chain of hash functions
- Example:  $h$  is the hash function,  $p$  is the OTP and an initial seed  $s$

$h(s)=p_1, h(p_1)=p_2, \dots, h(p_{n-1})=p_n$

The passwords in the order of use are

$p_n, p_{n-1}, \dots, p_2, p_1$



# *Biometric Authentication*

---

- *Fingerprints*
- *Voices*: speaker verification or recognition
- *Eyes*: irises
- *Faces*: image, or specific features
- *Keystroke dynamics*: keystroke intervals, pressure, duration of stroke, where key is struck
- *Combinations of the above*



# *Effectiveness of Biometrics*

---

- ***False reject rate:*** Rate at which applicants (authentic users) are denied from accessing authorized areas due to a failure detected by biometric device (***Type I error***).
- ***False accept rate:*** Rate at which applicants who are not legitimate users are allowed access to systems or data due to failure detected by biometric device (***Type II error***).
- ***Crossover error rate (CER):*** Level at which the number of false rejections equals the number of false acceptances, (equal error rate). This is the most common and important overall measure of the accuracy of biometric systems.





# *Acceptability of Biometrics*

---

- Usefulness of a biometric depends on the **acceptability** and **effectiveness of the biometric**



# *Ranking of Biometric Effectiveness and Acceptance [1]*

Biometrics	Universality	Uniqueness	Permanence	Collectability	Performance	Acceptability	Circumvention
Face	H	L	M	H	L	H	L
Fingerprint	M	H	H	M	H	M	H
Keystroke Dynamics	L	L	L	M	L	M	M
Iris	H	H	H	M	H	L	H
Retina	H	H	M	L	H	L	H
Signature	L	L	L	H	L	H	L
Voice	M	L	L	M	L	H	L
DNA	H	H	H	L	H	L	L

H=High, M=Medium, L=Low



# *Access Control Matrix*

- Access control matrix is simplest framework for describing rights of users over files in a matrix

	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4
User 1	R, W, O	R	R, W, X, O	W
User 2	R	R, O	R	R, W, X, O



# *Access Control List*

---

- A variant of the access control matrix
- Store each column with the object it represents

$ACL(\text{file 1}) = \{(\text{user 1, RWO}), (\text{user 2, R})\}$

$ACL(\text{file 2}) = \{(\text{user 1, R}), (\text{user 2, RO})\}$

$ACL(\text{file 3}) = \{(\text{user 1, RWXO}), (\text{user 2, R})\}$

$ACL(\text{file 4}) = \{(\text{user 1, W}), (\text{user 2, RWXO})\}$



# *Capabilities*

---

- Another variant of the access control matrix
- Store each row with the subject it represents

$CAP(\text{user 1}) = \{(\text{file 1}, RWO), (\text{file 2}, R), (\text{file 3}, RWXO), (\text{file 4}, W)\}$

$CAP(\text{user 2}) = \{(\text{file 1}, R), (\text{file 2}, RO), (\text{file 3}, R), (\text{file 4}, RWXO)\}$



# *ACL vs. Capabilities*

---

- Two questions
  - Given an object, which subjects can access it, and how?
  - Given a subject, which objects can it access, and how?
- Which is easy to answer the first question, and which is easy to answer the second question
- Which question is more important?



# *ACL vs. Capabilities (cont.)*

---

## ■ Authentication

- Given a process that wishes to perform an operation on an object
- ACL needs to authenticate the process's identity
- Capabilities do not require authentication, but require what?

## ■ Least Privilege

- Capabilities provide finer grained least privilege control

## ■ Revocation

- ACL can remove a group of users from the list, and those users can no longer gain access to the object
- Do capabilities have equivalent operations?



# *Access Control Models*

---

- *Discretionary Access Control (DAC)*
  - Restricting access to objects *based on identity of subjects and/or groups* to which they belong
- *Mandatory Access Control (MAC)*
  - Restrict access to objects *based on the sensitivity (as represented by a label) of the information contained in the objects and the formal authorization (i.e. clearance) of subjects* to access information of such sensitivity






# *Access Control Models (cont.)*

---

- *Role based access control (RBAC)*
  - To facilitate the *security management in multi-user, multi-application systems*
  - Minimum requirements:
    - Associate *roles* with *each individual*.
    - Each *role* defines *a specific set of operations* that the individual acting in that role may perform.
    - An individual needs to be *authenticated*, chooses a *role assigned to the individual*, and accesses information according to *operations needed for the role*.

# *Role-Based Access Control*

- Users: human
- Roles: job function (title)
- Permissions: approval of a mode of access
  - Always positive
  - Abstract representation
  - Can apply to single object or to many





# *RBAC Family*

---

RBAC<sub>3</sub> consolidated model

RBAC<sub>1</sub>  
role hierarchy

RBAC<sub>2</sub>  
constraints

RBAC<sub>0</sub> base model




# *RBAC Family (cont.)*

---

- $RBAC_0$ : the base model indicating that it is the minimum requirement for RBAC
- $RBAC_1$ : include  $RBAC_0$  and support of *role hierarchy*
  - Inheritance among roles
  - Inheritance of permission from junior to senior roles
- $RBAC_2$ : include  $RBAC_0$  and support of *constraints*
  - Enforces high-level organizational policies, such as mutually exclusive roles
- $RBAC_3$ : combine  $RBAC_1$  and  $RBAC_2$

# *Situation-Aware Access Control*

- Situation-aware access control model incorporates *situation-awareness* into RBAC
  - Example, only when the user with the role of a teacher in the Smart Classroom during the class time, the user can create a group discussion





# References

---

1. M. E. Whitman and H. J. Mattord, *Principles of Information Security, Thomson Course Technology*, 6<sup>th</sup> edition, 2018.
2. M. Bishop, *Introduction to Computer Security*, Addison-Wesley, 2005, Chapter 11, 14
3. Comparing ACLs and Capabilities,  
<http://www.eros-os.org/essays/ACLSvCaps.html>
4. Sandhu, R., Coyne, E.J., Feinstein, H.L. and Youman, C.E. "Role-Based Access Control Models" *IEEE Computer* (IEEE Press) **29** (2): 38–47, 1996



# References

---

5. Role Based Access Control and Role Based Security, <http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/SNS/rbac/>
6. S. S. Yau and J. Liu, "A Situation-aware Access Control based Privacy-Preserving Service Matchmaking Approach for Service-Oriented Architecture," Proc. IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS 2007), 2007, pp. 1056-1063, doi: 10.1109/ICWS.2007.22.
7. S. S. Yau, Y. Yao, and V. Banga, "Situation-aware access control for service-oriented autonomous decentralized systems", *Proc. International Symposium on Autonomous Decentralized Systems (ISADS)*, 2005, pp. 17-24
8. Yun, Yau Wei. ",The '123' of Biometric Technology ", 2003." *retrieved November 21 (2005).*