

# CIS 770: Formal Language Theory

Pavithra Prabhakar

Kansas State University

Spring 2015

# Union of CFLs

Let  $L_1$  be language recognized by  $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$  and  $L_2$  the language recognized by  $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$

Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context free language? Yes.

Just add the rule  $S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2$

But make sure that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  (by renaming some variables).

## Closure of CFLs under Union

$G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  such that  $L(G) = L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$ :

- $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S\}$  (the three sets are disjoint)
- $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$
- $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2\}$

# Concatenation, Kleene Closure

## Proposition

*CFLs are closed under concatenation and Kleene closure*

## Proof.

Let  $L_1$  be language generated by  $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$  and  $L_2$  the language generated by  $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$

- **Concatenation:**  $L_1 L_2$  generated by a grammar with an additional rule  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$
- **Kleene Closure:**  $L_1^*$  generated by a grammar with an additional rule  $S \rightarrow S_1 S | \epsilon$

As before, ensure that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ .  $S$  is a new start symbol.  
(Exercise: Complete the Proof!)



# Intersection

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be context free languages.  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is **not necessarily** context free!

## Proposition

CFLs are **not** closed under intersection

## Proof.

- $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$  is a CFL
  - Generated by a grammar with rules  $S \rightarrow XY$ ;  $X \rightarrow aXb \mid \epsilon$ ;  
 $Y \rightarrow cY \mid \epsilon$ .
- $L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$  is a CFL.
  - Generated by a grammar with rules  $S \rightarrow XY$ ;  $X \rightarrow aX \mid \epsilon$ ;  
 $Y \rightarrow bYc \mid \epsilon$ .
- But  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  is not a CFL. □

# Complementation

Let  $L$  be a context free language. Is  $\bar{L}$  context free? No!

## Proof 1.

Suppose CFLs were closed under complementation. Then **for any two CFLs  $L_1, L_2$** , we have

- $\bar{L}_1$  and  $\bar{L}_2$  are CFL. Then, since CFLs closed under union,  $\bar{L}_1 \cup \bar{L}_2$  is CFL. Then, again by hypothesis,  $\overline{\bar{L}_1 \cup \bar{L}_2}$  is CFL.
- i.e.,  **$L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL**

i.e., CFLs are closed under intersection. Contradiction! □

## Proof 2.

$L = \{x \mid x \text{ not of the form } ww\}$  is a CFL.

- $L$  generated by a grammar with rules  $X \rightarrow a|b$ ,  $A \rightarrow a|XAX$ ,  $B \rightarrow b|XBX$ ,  $S \rightarrow A|B|AB|BA$

But  $\bar{L} = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$  is not a CFL! (Why?) □

# Set Difference

## Proposition

If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is a CFL then  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  is *not necessarily* a CFL

## Proof.

Because CFLs not closed under complementation, and complementation is a special case of set difference. (How?) ☐

## Proposition

If  $L$  is a CFL and  $R$  is a *regular* language then  $L \setminus R$  is a CFL

## Proof.

$$L \setminus R = L \cap \overline{R}$$
☐

# Homomorphism

## Proposition

*Context free languages are closed under homomorphisms.*

## Proof.

Let  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  be the grammar generating  $L$ , and let  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be a homomorphism. A grammar  $G' = (V', \Gamma, R', S')$  for generating  $h(L)$ :

- Include all variables from  $G$  (i.e.,  $V' \supseteq V$ ), and let  $S' = S$
- Treat terminals in  $G$  as variables. i.e., for every  $a \in \Sigma$ 
  - Add a new variable  $X_a$  to  $V'$
  - In each rule of  $G$ , if  $a$  appears in the RHS, replace it by  $X_a$
- For each  $X_a$ , add the rule  $X_a \rightarrow h(a)$

$G'$  generates  $h(L)$ . (Exercise!)



# Homomorphism

## Example

Let  $G$  have the rules  $S \rightarrow 0S0|1S1|\epsilon$ .

Consider the homomorphism  $h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{a, b\}^*$  given by  $h(0) = aba$  and  $h(1) = bb$ .

Rules of  $G'$  s.t.  $L(G') = h(L(G))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow X_0 S X_0 | X_1 S X_1 | \epsilon \\ X_0 &\rightarrow aba \\ X_1 &\rightarrow bb \end{aligned}$$



# Inverse Homomorphisms

**Recall:** For a homomorphism  $h$ ,  $h^{-1}(L) = \{w \mid h(w) \in L\}$

## Proposition

*If  $L$  is a CFL then  $h^{-1}(L)$  is a CFL*

## Proof Idea

For regular language  $L$ : the DFA for  $h^{-1}(L)$  on reading a symbol  $a$ , simulated the DFA for  $L$  on  $h(a)$ . Can we do the same with PDAs?

- Key idea: store  $h(a)$  in a “buffer” and process symbols from  $h(a)$  one at a time (according to the transition function of the original PDA), and the next input symbol is processed only after the “buffer” has been emptied.
- Where to store this “buffer”? In the state of the new PDA!

# Inverse Homomorphisms

Let  $P = (Q, \Delta, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a PDA such that  $L(P) = L$ . Let  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  be a homomorphism such that  $n = \max_{a \in \Sigma} |h(a)|$ , i.e., every symbol of  $\Sigma$  is mapped to a string under  $h$  of length at most  $n$ . Consider the PDA  $P' = (Q', \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta', q'_0, F')$  where

- $Q' = Q \times \Delta^{\leq n}$ , where  $\Delta^{\leq n}$  is the collection of all strings of length at most  $n$  over  $\Delta$ .
- $q'_0 = (q_0, \epsilon)$
- $F' = F \times \{\epsilon\}$
- $\delta'$  is given by

$$\delta'((q, v), x, a) = \begin{cases} \{((q, h(x)), \epsilon)\} & \text{if } v = a = \epsilon \\ \{((p, u), b) \mid (p, b) \in \delta(q, y, a)\} & \text{if } v = yu, x = \epsilon \end{cases}$$

and  $\delta'(\cdot) = \emptyset$  in all other cases.