

Applied Matrix Theory - Math 551

Notes on the Singular Value Decomposition

Created by Prof. Diego Maldonado and Prof. Virginia Naibo

Any $m \times n$ matrix A be factored or decomposed as

$$A = USV^T$$

where the matrices U , S , and V satisfy the following properties:

- (i) U is an $m \times m$ orthogonal matrix,
- (ii) V is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix, and
- (iii) S is an $m \times n$ matrix whose off-diagonal entries are all 0's and whose diagonal entries are nonnegative numbers arranged in decreasing order.

The factorization of A given above is called a **singular value decomposition** (SVD) of A and the numbers along the diagonal of S are called the **singular values** of A .

Example 1. The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 8 & 20 \\ 14 & 19 & 10 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has singular value decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 8 & 20 \\ 14 & 19 & 10 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/5 & -4/5 & 0 \\ 4/5 & 3/5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 30 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 2/3 & 2/3 \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 2/3 & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the singular values of A are 30, 15, and 3.

Example 2. The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has singular value decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the singular values of A are 2 and 0.

Example 3. The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ has singular value decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 2/3 & 1/3 \\ -2/3 & 1/3 & 2/3 \\ 1/3 & -2/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the singular values of A are 12, 6 and 0.

Singular values and rank.

The number of nonzero singular values of a matrix A (counting repetition) coincides with the rank of A . In this way, the rank of the matrix A in example 1 is 3, the rank of the matrix A in example 2 is 1, and the rank of the matrix A in example 3 is 2.

Singular Value Decomposition and approximation.

Check out the following computations.

From example 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & 30 \begin{bmatrix} 3/5 \\ 4/5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 2/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix} + 15 \begin{bmatrix} -4/5 \\ 3/5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 1/3 & -2/3 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 30 \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 & 2/5 & 2/5 \\ 4/15 & 8/15 & 8/15 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + 15 \begin{bmatrix} -8/15 & -4/15 & 8/15 \\ 2/5 & 1/5 & -2/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2/3 & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 12 & 12 \\ 8 & 16 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -4 & 8 \\ 6 & 3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 8 & 20 \\ 14 & 19 & 10 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \end{aligned}$$

From example 2:

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A$$

From example 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 12 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} + 6 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2/3 & 1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = A
\end{aligned}$$

In general, if A is an $m \times n$ matrix that has exactly r nonzero singular values $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r$, and denoting by $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m$ the columns of the matrix U , and by $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ the columns of the matrix V , then:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{m \times n} &= U_{m \times m} S_{m \times n} V_{n \times n}^T \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vec{u}_1 & \vec{u}_2 & \cdots & \vec{u}_r & \vec{u}_{r+1} & \cdots & \vec{u}_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \sigma_r & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \vec{v}_1^T & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vec{v}_2^T & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vec{v}_n^T & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1 \vec{u}_1 & \sigma_2 \vec{u}_2 & \cdots & \sigma_r \vec{u}_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \vec{v}_1^T & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vec{v}_2^T & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vec{v}_n^T & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sigma_1 \vec{u}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \vec{u}_2 \vec{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_r \vec{u}_r \vec{v}_r^T
\end{aligned}$$

Since A can be written as a sum, it is sometimes possible to approximate A by throwing away the smaller singular values (remember that the singular values are arranged in decreasing order):

$$A = \sigma_1 \vec{u}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \vec{u}_2 \vec{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_r \vec{u}_r \vec{v}_r^T \approx \sigma_1 \vec{u}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \vec{u}_2 \vec{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_k \vec{u}_k \vec{v}_k^T$$

where $k < r$.

The total storage for the matrix A is in general mn units of memory (bytes for example) since A has mn entries. However the total storage for the matrix

$$A_k = \sigma_1 \vec{u}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \vec{u}_2 \vec{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_k \vec{u}_k \vec{v}_k^T$$

can be arranged to be $k(m + n + 1)$. Why?

The SVD and Matlab

The basic Matlab command to produce the singular value decomposition of any matrix A is the command *svd*. Here are some examples

Example 4. Consider the matrix

```
>> A=[1 2 3 4 5; 6 7 8 9 0; 7 6 5 4 3; 0 -1 -1 -1 -1]
```

A =

1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0
7	6	5	4	3
0	-1	-1	-1	-1

Typing

```
>> [U S V]=svd(A)
```

will produce the matrices

U =

-0.3004	-0.8604	-0.3505	-0.2159
-0.7592	0.4628	-0.4550	-0.0491
-0.5709	-0.1382	0.8086	0.0323
0.0859	0.1627	0.1274	-0.9746

S =

19.6135	0	0	0	0
0	5.0056	0	0	0
0	0	3.7377	0	0
0	0	0	0.5340	0

V =

-0.4513	0.1896	0.6903	-0.5327	0.0000
-0.4806	0.1053	0.2244	0.7355	0.4082
-0.5055	0.0534	-0.2075	0.1785	-0.8165
-0.5305	0.0016	-0.6393	-0.3785	0.4082
-0.1683	-0.9747	0.1461	-0.0150	-0.0000

such that

```
>> U*S*V'
```

ans =

1.0000	2.0000	3.0000	4.0000	5.0000
6.0000	7.0000	8.0000	9.0000	0.0000
7.0000	6.0000	5.0000	4.0000	3.0000
-0.0000	-1.0000	-1.0000	-1.0000	-1.0000

and

```
>> U*U'
```

ans =

1.0000	-0.0000	0.0000	-0.0000
-0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	-0.0000
-0.0000	0.0000	-0.0000	1.0000

```
>> V*V'
```

ans =

1.0000	-0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0000
-0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	-0.0000	0.0000
0.0000	0.0000	-0.0000	1.0000	0.0000
-0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000

That is, U and V are orthogonal matrices.

Example 5. In this example let's keep working on the matrix A from Example 4 and use the Matlab command `svds` to produce truncated singular value decompositions. For instance, if we only want to keep the first 3 singular values of A , we do

```
>> [U3 S3 V3]=svds(A,3)
```

U3 =

-0.3004	0.8604	0.3505
---------	--------	--------

```

-0.7592    -0.4628     0.4550
-0.5709     0.1382    -0.8086
 0.0859    -0.1627    -0.1274

```

S3 =

```

19.6135      0      0
      0    5.0056      0
      0      0    3.7377

```

V3 =

```

-0.4513    -0.1896    -0.6903
-0.4806    -0.1053    -0.2244
-0.5055    -0.0534     0.2075
-0.5305    -0.0016     0.6393
-0.1683     0.9747    -0.146

```

Notice that in this case the product $U3*S3*V3^T$ will only approximate (and not equal) A . Indeed, the difference equals

```
>> U3*S3*V3'-A
```

ans =

```

-0.0614     0.0848     0.0206    -0.0436    -0.0017
-0.0140     0.0193     0.0047    -0.0099    -0.0004
 0.0092    -0.0127    -0.0031     0.0065     0.0003
-0.2773     0.3828     0.0929    -0.1970    -0.0078

```

which is not the zero matrix.

Example 6. If we are only interested in the first two singular values of A from Example 4, we do

```
>> [U2 S2 V2]=svds(A,2)
```

U2 =

```

-0.3004    -0.8604
-0.7592     0.4628
-0.5709    -0.1382

```

```

0.0859    0.1627

```

```
S2 =
```

```

19.6135    0
    0    5.0056

```

```
V2 =
```

```

-0.4513    0.1896
-0.4806    0.1053
-0.5055    0.0534
-0.5305    0.0016
-0.1683   -0.9747

```

In this case the difference equals

```
>> U2*S2*V2'-A
```

```
ans =
```

```

0.8430    0.3788   -0.2512   -0.8812    0.1896
1.1599    0.4009   -0.3481   -1.0971    0.2480
-2.0773   -0.6909    0.6239    1.9388   -0.4412
-0.6061    0.2759    0.1917    0.1075   -0.0774

```

Notice that the entries in this difference are larger than the difference computed in Example 5 using 3 singular values.

Decomposing with respect to singular values vs. decomposing with respect to eigenvalues

In what follows we discuss $A = USV^T$ **versus** $A = PDP^{-1}$.

Remember that an $n \times n$ matrix A is called diagonalizable if there exist $n \times n$ matrices P invertible and D diagonal such that

$$A = PDP^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

In such case, the diagonal entries in D are the eigenvalues of A and the columns of P are eigenvectors of A . The diagonal factorization (1) has certain limitations in terms of its implementation. For instance, it restricts the size of A to be a square matrix and; even worse, there exist square matrices that do not admit a decomposition of the form (1) (i.e., there are square matrices which are not diagonalizable).

The powerful singular value decomposition

$$A = USV^T \quad (2)$$

has a number of advantages over the diagonal decomposition (1). Namely, the singular value decomposition can **always** be performed on any matrix, square or not. The price to pay is that, as opposed to the diagonal decomposition (1) where we have P and P^{-1} , in the singular value decomposition we have the matrices U and V^T where one is not necessarily the inverse of the other. However, since U and V are orthogonal matrices, that is,

$$U^T = U^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad V^T = V^{-1},$$

the singular value decomposition (2) provides a flexible, useful, always implementable alternative to the (also useful but not always implementable) diagonal decomposition (1).

The singular values of A and the eigenvalues of $A^T A$ and AA^T

Let's consider the following example:

A =

1	-2	1
0	1	1
2	2	2
1	1	1

A is a 4×3 matrix and its singular value decomposition is given by

```
>> [U S V]=svd(A)
```

U =

0.0287	0.9851	0.1696	0
-0.2983	-0.1535	0.9420	0
-0.8533	0.0694	-0.2589	-0.4472
-0.4267	0.0347	-0.1295	0.8944

S =

4.0495	0	0
0	2.4811	0
0	0	0.6677
0	0	0

V =

```

-0.5197    0.4670   -0.7154
-0.6146   -0.7860   -0.0666
-0.5934    0.4051    0.6955

```

That is, the matrix S stores the three singular values of A . Let's now consider the 3×3 symmetric matrix $A^T A$. As with any symmetric matrix, all its eigenvalues will be real numbers and there will be a basis of \mathbf{R}^3 made of its eigenvectors. Moreover, the eigenvalues of $A^T A$ will be non-negative. This is due to the fact that if λ is an eigenvalue of $A^T A$, then we will have

$$A^T A v = \lambda v,$$

for some non-zero vector v . Now, computing dot products we write

$$\lambda \|v\|^2 = \lambda (v \cdot v) = (\lambda v \cdot v) = (A^T A v \cdot v) = (A v \cdot A v) = \|A v\|^2,$$

where we have used that we can always move any matrix M from one factor of the dot product to the other by transposition, that is, we always have

$$(M u \cdot w) = (u \cdot M^T w) \quad \forall u, w.$$

Thus, the computation above yields $\lambda \|v\|^2 = \|A v\|^2$ and, since norms are always non-negative and $\|v\| > 0$ (because v is a non-zero vector), we deduce that $\lambda \geq 0$ for every eigenvalue λ of $A^T A$.

Let's look at the eigenvalues of $A^T A$.

```
>> [P D]=eig(A'*A)
```

P =

```

0.7154    0.4670    0.5197
0.0666   -0.7860    0.6146
-0.6955    0.4051    0.5934

```

D =

```

0.4458    0    0
0    6.1558    0
0    0   16.3984

```

As expected, all the eigenvalues of $A^T A$, along the diagonal of D , are non-negative numbers. Then we can take square roots of them by doing

```
>> sqrt(D)
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.6677      0      0
      0  2.4811      0
      0      0  4.0495
```

And we find that if A is an $m \times n$ matrix with $m \geq n$, “the square roots of the eigenvalues of $A^T A$ are precisely the singular values of A ”.

Let’s do another example. Now for an $m \times n$ matrix C with $m < n$ (as opposed to the previous example where we had $n > m$).

```
C =
```

```
3      1      1      3      1
2      0      3      3      4
2      2      1      2      1
```

Let’s look at the singular values of C .

```
>> [U S V]=svd(C)
```

```
U =
```

```
-0.5341      0.5365     -0.6534
-0.7328     -0.6792      0.0413
-0.4216      0.5009      0.7559
```

```
S =
```

```
7.9885      0      0      0      0
      0  2.8435      0      0      0
      0      0  1.0483      0      0
```

```
V =
```

```
-0.4896      0.4406     -0.3489     -0.6663      0.0218
-0.1724      0.5410      0.8189      0.0576      0.0611
-0.3948     -0.3518      0.2160     -0.0823     -0.8167
-0.5813      0.2017     -0.3095      0.7239      0.0394
-0.4866     -0.5906      0.2554     -0.1481      0.5721
```

The 3 singular values of C are stored in S . Let’s now look at the eigenvalues of the 3×3 symmetric matrix CC^T . Notice that we’re doing CC^T (as opposed to $C^T C$) to obtain a 3×3 matrix.

```
>> [P D]=eig(C*C')
```

```
P =
```

```
    0.6534    0.5365    0.5341
   -0.0413   -0.6792    0.7328
   -0.7559    0.5009    0.4216
```

```
D =
```

```
    1.0989         0         0
         0    8.0857         0
         0         0   63.8155
```

A similar reasoning as above shows that all the eigenvalues of CC^T are non-negative, as illustrated by the diagonal entries of D above. Taking square roots of D yields

```
>> sqrt(D)
```

```
ans =
```

```
    1.0483         0         0
         0    2.8435         0
         0         0    7.9885
```

And we find that if C is an $m \times n$ matrix with $m \leq n$, “the square roots of the eigenvalues of CC^T are precisely the singular values of C ”.