# On Attachment

### some ramblings on Attachment Theory

### Amiel Martin

#### 2010-02-18

## Introduction

I feel that a lot of what we've been learning in this class is stuff that everyone should know. When I tell somebody about what I'm learning in the class, and I get to attachment theory, which I feel is at the heart of most of this, I explain the four attachment styles, but I realize that I have not been representing it very well. I blur the lines of attachment styles in children and with adults; I don't offer evidence for how important this stuff is; I just offer resources to learn more about attachment theory because I'm not doing it justice. We've been exploring attachment theory and related studies in detail and I'd like to step back a little bit and explore how the basics and the importance of attachment theory can be taught to anyone in a short amount of time. In order to do this, I'll probably have to explore attachment theory in more detail and try to pick out the good bits, the interesting bits, the powerful bits, and a simple overview. What I'm doing here is revisiting attachment theory, the basic premise of this class as I understand it, in order to be able to teach it more succinctly to other people.

So... where to start. I kind of like introducing some of this stuff with the concept that science is now teaching us what a lot of people already knew. Take for example, the relatively recent view in science that relationships have an impact on mental and physical health. Cacioppo and Patrick wrote that our social nature is part of evolutionary development<sup>1</sup>. Being socially excluded activates the same circuits in our brain as physical pain. In other words, being socially excluded hurts. Well DUH!

A really important field of study primarily from the last thirty years, attachment theory, was originally formulated by John Bowlby. His main point is that there is "a strong causal relationship between and individual's experiences with his parents and his later capacity to make affectional bonds," <sup>2</sup> and that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>in selfish genes social animals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>from The Making & Breaking of Affectional Bonds

ways in which relationships later in life are developed can be attributed to the ways that parents perform their roles. Bowlby introduced how infants form bonds with their caregivers, and that they either have secure or insecure attachment to their caregiver. Securely attached children tend to grow to be self-reliant, trusting, cooperative, and helpful to others. Most importantly, securely attached children feel that they are able to help other people and that they themselves are worthy of help should difficulties arise. Bowlby explains that secure attachment is raised when the parents have an understanding of the child's attachment behaviors and a willingness to meet them, as well as "recognition that one of the commonest sources of a child's anger is the frustration of his desire for love and care." Bowlby writes about two opposite patterns of attachment: anxious and compulsive self-reliance. I'll come back to these.

Around this same time Harry Harlow was working on his famous surrogate mother experiment<sup>3</sup>. With extreme brevity: Harlow removed baby rhesus monkeys from their mothers and instead offered various combinations of terrycloth and wire "mothers." <sup>4</sup> He found that even when the wire mother was source of food, monkeys preferred cuddling with the terrycloth mother, and even found it to be a source of comfort. Monkeys that were raised with a terrycloth mother would seek proximity to it when introduced with a frightening stimulus, and would soon gain the confidence to explore. Monkeys that were raised with only a wire mother were not able to be comforted enough to explore.

Another important study in the development of attachment theory was the "Strange Situation," developed by Mary Ainsworth. In the "Strange Situation," infants and toddlers were brought into a room with their parent and a stranger. Observations were made on how the child acted when the parent left with or without the stranger, and how the child acted when they returned. Because research is research, and even though you can't put people in boxes, you still have to define categories, they defined four types of attachment: Secure, Avoidant, Resistant or Ambivalent, and Disorganized. There is certainly a lack of standardization of terms in the field of attachment theory; what Ainsworth calls Avoidant is what Bowlby calls compulsive self-reliance, and Resistant or Ambivalent is what Bowlby calls Anxious.

Wikipedia has a good overview of each category: (see Table 1<sup>5</sup>)

In Cross-Cultural Patterns of Attachment, Marinus H. Van Ijzendoorn Abrahamsagi writes about studies of attachment theory in other cultures. In particular, the Gusii of Kenya, who have multiple caregivers, offer some interesting insight to attachment theory. Gusii mothers give physical care to children, while child caregivers offer social and playful interactions. What they found was that Gusii children that were securely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Some footage of Harry Harlow's experiments can be found on youtube: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fLrBrk9DXVk 
<sup>4</sup>An overview of the study with links to more resources can be found on wikipedia at 
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry\_Harlow#Surrogate\_mother\_experiment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Quoted from Wikipedia: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Attachment\_theory#Attachment\_patterns

Table 1: Attachment Styles

Attachment	Child	Caregiver
pattern		
Secure	Uses caregiver as a secure base for exploration. Protests	Responds appropriately,
	caregiver's departure and seeks proximity and is com-	promptly and consistently
	forted on return, returning to exploration. May be com-	to needs.
	forted by the stranger but shows clear preference for the	
	caregiver.	
Avoidant	Little affective sharing in play. Little or no distress	Little or no response to dis-
	on departure, little or no visible response to return,	tressed child. Discourages
	ignoring or turning away with no effort to maintain	crying and encourages inde-
	contact if picked up. Treats the stranger similarly to	pendence.
	the caregiver.	
Ambivalent /	Unable to use caregiver as a secure base, seeking prox-	Inconsistent between appro-
Resistant	imity before separation occurs. Distressed on separa-	priate and neglectful re-
	tion with ambivalence, anger, reluctance to warm to	sponses.
	caregiver and return to play on return. Preoccupied	
	with caregiver's availability, seeking contact but resist-	
	ing angrily when it is achieved. Not easily calmed by	
	stranger.	
Disorganised	Stereotypies on return such as freezing or rocking. Lack	Frightened or frightening
	of coherent attachment strategy shown by contradic-	behaviour, intrusiveness,
	tory, disoriented behaviours such as approaching but	withdrawal, negativity,
	with the back turned.	role confusion, affective
		communication errors and
		maltreatment.

attached to their mothers had good physical health, and that Gusii children that were securely attached to their child caregivers were intelligent.