

INTRODUCTION TO SHIMURA VARIETIES

ANDREAS MIHATSCH

ABSTRACT. These are lecture notes for an introductory course on Shimura varieties and the Langlands–Kottwitz method I taught at Zhejiang University during Fall 2025. Comments are highly welcome and much appreciated.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
References	6

1. INTRODUCTION

In this first lecture, we will learn, very roughly, what Shimura varieties are and why they are interesting. Everything brought up today will be covered in much more detail later in the course, and it will be perfectly normal that some term will be new (and maybe unclear) during a first reading. Our goal today is only to get an overview.

1.1. Why study Shimura varieties? Shimura varieties combine two interesting properties:

- They are varieties defined over number fields which makes them interesting from a number theory perspective. Most importantly, their étale cohomology groups are representations of Galois groups of number fields.
- Their definition is in terms of reductive algebraic groups G/\mathbb{Q} . They come equipped with an action of the adelic points $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, which implies that their étale cohomology groups are also $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -representations.

Hence, the étale cohomology groups of Shimura varieties are both Galois and $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -representations. Conjecturally, this two-fold structure is described by the global Langlands correspondence. Conversely, one can use the cohomology of Shimura varieties to prove important cases of this correspondence. This is the main motivation for our course. While we will not see a full proof of such a correspondence, we will get to know many related ideas.

Shimura varieties are also interesting for other reasons. For example, the study of heights on the Siegel variety plays an important role in Faltings’s proof of the Mordell Conjecture [3]. Another example is the Gross–Zagier formula [5], which states an identity between height pairings of complex multiplication points on the modular curve and derivatives of L -functions. It plays a major role in the proof of cases of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture. Its higher-dimensional generalizations, the arithmetic Gan–Gross–Prasad Conjectures [4, 13], are an important topic in current arithmetic geometry research. In a related direction, the Kudla program [7] seeks to establish connections between cycles on Shimura varieties and modular forms or Eisenstein series. The proof of the averaged Colmez conjecture [1, 12] has been an application of these ideas.

1.2. This course. The first part of our course will be an example-focused introduction to Shimura varieties. We will learn how to define them in terms of moduli spaces of abelian varieties and how to relate this definition to the group-theoretic one of Deligne. One of our goals is to obtain familiarity with the adelic formalism which will become important later.

In the second part of the course, we will study the cohomology of Shimura varieties. We will first get to know Matsushima's formula, which expresses the Betti cohomology of compact Shimura varieties in terms of automorphic representations. We will then learn about point counting in characteristic p (Langlands–Kottwitz method). The aim here is to give an orbital integral expression for the number of \mathbb{F}_p -points of the reduction mod p of the Shimura variety, and to compare this expression with the geometric side of the Arthur–Selberg trace formula.

1.3. References. The following two are our main background references.

- The introductory lecture notes by Milne [10]. They focus on the group-theoretic definition of Shimura varieties and the definition of canonical models.
- The first few articles in the lecture notes volume [6]. They provide an introduction to PEL type Shimura varieties. The article of Yihang Zhu [14] is directly related to the material of the second part of the course.

In the rest of this introduction, we sketch the definition of Shimura varieties and give an outline of the course contents.

1.4. Shimura data. Shimura varieties are attached to Shimura data. The formalism starts with a reductive group G over \mathbb{Q} . For example, G might be one of the following.

- $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$
- $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2g}$, the general symplectic group in $2g$ variables. Let $J = \begin{pmatrix} & 1_g \\ -1_g & \end{pmatrix}$ be the matrix defining the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{Q}^{2g} . Then GSp is defined by

$$\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid {}^t g \cdot J \cdot g = c \cdot J \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times\}. \quad (1.1)$$

It is related to the usual symplectic group Sp_{2g} by the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2g} \xrightarrow{c} \mathrm{GL}_1 \longrightarrow 1.$$

The map c is called the *similitude factor*. Note that $\mathrm{GSp}_2 = \mathrm{GL}_2$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_2 = \mathrm{SL}_2$, recovering the previous example.

- $G = \mathrm{U}(V)$, a unitary group. Let K/\mathbb{Q} be an imaginary quadratic extension. (This means that $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K \cong \mathbb{C}$.) Let V be an n -dimensional hermitian K -vector space. If V is not positive or negative definite then $\mathrm{U}(V)$ can occur as part of a Shimura datum.

Next, the formalism requires the datum of a homomorphism of real algebraic groups

$$h : \mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}} \quad (1.2)$$

which satisfies certain axioms introduced by Deligne [2]. Such an h is called a *Deligne homomorphism*. If $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ is a real point of G , then we may conjugate h to define a new Deligne homomorphism,

$$(ghg^{-1})(z) := gh(z)g^{-1}.$$

Let $S_h \subset G(\mathbb{R})$ denote the centralizer of h , meaning the subgroup of elements g with $ghg^{-1} = h$. The quotient $X = G(\mathbb{R})/S_h$ is precisely the set of Deligne homomorphisms that are conjugate to h . An important consequence of Deligne's axioms is that X is a finite union of hermitian symmetric domains for $G(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, it is a complex manifold. The pair (G, X) is called a *Shimura datum*.

Example 1.1. Consider $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$. We can embed \mathbb{C} into $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ as \mathbb{R} -algebra by

$$h(a + bi) := \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we restrict this embedding to unit groups, then we obtain a Deligne homomorphism $h : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{R}}$. Its centralizer is precisely $h(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ and the quotient X is the set of complex structures on \mathbb{R}^2 . Since \mathbb{C}^\times is connected and since $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components, X has two connected components. We want to give a more explicit description of X .

Recall that $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of complex lines in \mathbb{C}^2 . Clearly, the Lie group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on it by its natural action on \mathbb{C}^2 . The subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ preserves the real projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and hence acts on the complement,

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad g \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}. \quad (1.3)$$

The complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ is the union of the upper and lower half plane which we often denote by \mathbb{H}^\pm . As an open subset of \mathbb{C} , it is naturally a complex manifold. Let us compute the stabilizer of i :

$$\begin{aligned} i = \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} &\iff -c + di = ai + b \\ &\iff a = d, \quad c = -b. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the stabilizer of i is precisely $h(\mathbb{C}^\times)$. Moreover, it is clear that $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on \mathbb{H}^\pm because

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot i = ai + b.$$

Hence, we see that

$$X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^\pm, \quad ghg^{-1} \mapsto g \cdot i \quad (1.4)$$

as smooth manifolds in a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant way. We have not defined the complex structure on X , but it is, in fact, given by the complex structure on \mathbb{H}^\pm under (1.4).

Remark 1.2. Some groups, such as GL_n with $n \geq 3$, cannot occur as part of a Shimura datum. For example, the dimension of the symmetric space for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ is

$$\dim \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) - \dim \mathrm{SO}(3) = 8 - 3$$

which is odd and hence cannot be a complex manifold.

1.5. Shimura varieties over \mathbb{C} . Given a Shimura datum (G, X) , one next defines a complex variety in the following way. Let \mathbb{A} denote the ring of adeles of \mathbb{Q} , and let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_f \times \mathbb{R}$ be its factorization into finite and archimedean part. (We will review these definitions later in the course.) Given an open compact subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the quotient $G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K$ is a discrete countably infinite set with transitive $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action. Hence, the product $X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K$ is a countable union of copies of X . We consider the diagonal action

$$G(\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K.$$

If K is small enough then the $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -action is free. (The technical term is “neat” and we will get to know it later in the course.) It is also properly discontinuous, so we can form the quotient complex manifold

$$\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)(\mathbb{C}) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K). \quad (1.5)$$

At this point, we have defined the complex points of the *Shimura variety for Shimura datum (G, X) and level K* as a complex manifold. The theorem of Bailey–Borel states that there is a unique way to endow it with an algebraic structure.

Theorem 1.3 (Bailey–Borel, see [10, Corollary 3.16]). *There exists a quasi-projective complex variety $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that there exists an isomorphism of complex manifolds $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)(\mathbb{C})$. This variety is unique up to isomorphism.*

Remark 1.4. Simple examples of non-unique algebraic structures on complex manifolds can be found in [11].

Example 1.5. Let us again consider the case $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ and let us give an example of a connected component of (1.5). Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p < \infty} \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the subring of integral elements of \mathbb{A}_f . For $n \geq 1$, consider the kernel

$$K(n) = \ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$$

which is an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. It is small enough (in the above sense) if $n \geq 3$. The intersection

$$\Gamma(n) := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K(n)$$

is the classical congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma(n) = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{n} \right\}.$$

The quotients $\Gamma(n) \backslash \mathbb{H}^+$ and $\Gamma(n) \backslash \mathbb{H}^-$ will be two of the connected components of the complex manifold $\mathrm{Sh}_{K(n)}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \mathbb{H}^{\pm})$.

1.6. Shimura varieties over number fields. Finally, one descends $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ to a number field. Starting from a Shimura datum (G, X) , Deligne defines a number field $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ called the *reflex field*. In a suitable sense, it is the smallest field over which the conjugacy class X is defined.

Example 1.6. Consider the three examples from §1.4.

- If $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ or more generally $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2g}$, then the reflex field is \mathbb{Q} .
- If $G = U(V)$ is a non-definite unitary group for an imaginary-quadratic field K/\mathbb{Q} , then the reflex field is the subfield $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ that is isomorphic to K .

Deligne [2] gave a definition of *canonical model* of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ over E . It is a variety $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(E)$ together with an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_E \mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

that satisfies a certain reciprocity law for complex multiplication points. Deligne proves that the canonical model $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ is unique up to isomorphism if it exists.

Theorem 1.7 (Borovoi, Milne [8]). *For every Shimura datum, the canonical model exists.*

Definition 1.8. Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum with reflex field E and let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a sufficiently small level subgroup. The Shimura variety of level K attached to (G, X) is the canonical model $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ from Theorem 1.7.

Remark 1.9. Historically, the study of Shimura varieties started with Shimura in the 1960s. He first considered moduli spaces of abelian varieties with **P**olarization, **E**ndomorphisms, and **L**evel structure (PEL). These are the Shimura varieties defined by *PEL type* Shimura data.

Shimura also studied several non-PEL cases and defined the corresponding Shimura varieties as varieties over number fields. Deligne [2] gave a group-theoretic framework for Shimura's work. His definition in terms of a reciprocity law for complex multiplication points is extrapolated from the Shimura–Taniyama reciprocity law for abelian varieties with complex multiplication. Deligne also constructed the canonical model for abelian type Shimura varieties. The proof of existence in the general case was completed by Milne based on ideas of Borovoi. See here for a short summary of the history by Milne [9, §6].

Example 1.10. Consider the two cases from Example 1.6. The unitary group $U(V)$ has no PEL type Shimura data. For the group GSp_{2g} , there exists a PEL type Shimura datum (GSp_{2g}, X) . Consider a principal congruence level subgroup

$$K(n) = \ker(\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$$

with $n \geq 3$. Then the canonical model $\mathrm{Sh}_{K(n)}(\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}, X)$ can be described as a moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with level- n -structure. For example, if we look at \mathbb{C} -points and specialize to GL_2 , then we obtain

$$\mathrm{Sh}_{K(n)}(\mathrm{GL}_2, X)(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(E, \eta)/\mathbb{C}\} / \sim \quad (1.6)$$

where the right hand side denotes the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (E, η) with

- E an elliptic curve over \mathbb{C} ,
- $\eta : (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} E[n]$ a choice of basis for the n -torsion.

The datum η is called a *level structure* for E . Proving (1.6) will be the first goal of our course.

1.7. Hasse–Weil ζ -function. We mentioned in §1.1 that Shimura varieties are interesting for the Galois representations defined by their étale cohomology (among other reasons). A concrete way to package this information is the *Hasse–Weil ζ -function*.

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} . Recall that for almost all primes p , there exists a smooth projective model \mathcal{X}_p of X over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Definition 1.11. Let S be a finite set of primes such that for each $p \notin S$, X has a smooth projective model \mathcal{X}_p over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. For $p \notin S$, define the p -factor

$$\zeta_p(X, s) := \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{X}_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})| \cdot \frac{p^{-ns}}{n}\right). \quad (1.7)$$

This expression converges and defines a holomorphic function on the half plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \dim(X)$. An equivalent definition is as follows. Let $|\mathcal{X}_p|$ denote the set of closed points of \mathcal{X}_p . For $x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|$, the residue field $\kappa(x)$ is a finite extension \mathbb{F}_{p^d} of \mathbb{F}_p . Then

$$\zeta_p(X, s) = \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|} (1 - |\kappa(x)|^{-s})^{-1}. \quad (1.8)$$

The partial *Hasse–Weil ζ -function* of X is defined as the product

$$\zeta^S(X, s) := \prod_{p \notin S} \zeta_p(X, s)$$

which converges on the right half plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \dim(X) + 1$.

Example 1.12. (1) For $X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$, we can take $S = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{X}_p = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. Then (1.8) comes out as $(1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ and $\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}), s)$ is the Riemann ζ -function.

(2) The number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of projective space is given by

$$\mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^m.$$

Substituting this into the definition, we find

$$\zeta(\mathbb{P}^m, s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)\cdots\zeta(s-m).$$

(3) Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve and let S be as in Definition 1.11. Then

$$\zeta^S(E, s) = \zeta^S(s) \cdot \zeta^S(s-1) \cdot L^S(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)^{-1}$$

where ζ^S is the partial Riemann ζ -function and $L^S(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$ the partial L -function of the elliptic curve.

A surprising property of $\zeta_p(X, s)$ is that it is independent of the choice of integral model \mathcal{X}_p at p . This follows from the Grothendieck–Lefschetz fixed point formula and proper smooth base change for étale cohomology which provide the following expression for the factor at p :

$$\zeta_p(X, s) = \prod_{i=0}^{2\dim(X)} \det(1 - \sigma_p \cdot t \mid H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))^{(-1)^{i+1}} \Big|_{t=p^{-s}} \quad (1.9)$$

Here, we still assume that X has a smooth projective model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and denote by $\sigma_p \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ a Frobenius element at p . The right hand side of (1.9) is independent of \mathcal{X}_p .

The Riemann ζ -function has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane which satisfies a functional equation.

Conjecture 1.13 (Hasse, Weil). For every smooth projective variety X/\mathbb{Q} , the Hasse–Weil ζ -function $\zeta^S(X, s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation and satisfies a functional equation.

The main strategy for proving these analytic properties is by relating $\zeta^S(X, s)$ to automorphic L -functions. This leads us back to Shimura varieties, where we have a (conjectural) description of the étale cohomology in terms of automorphic representations and Langlands reciprocity.

1.8. The Langlands–Kottwitz method. Langlands’ strategy for proving Conjecture 1.13 for Shimura varieties was to find a group-theoretic expression for (1.7) that can be compared with the orbital integral side of the Arthur–Selberg trace formula. This should ultimately allow to bridge from the étale cohomology to automorphic representations. We end this introduction with a brief sketch of what is involved in this idea.

(1) Let us assume that the Shimura variety in question is of PEL type. Then, at almost all primes p , the integral model \mathcal{X}_p can be constructed by simply extending the moduli description. The quantities $|\mathcal{X}_p(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ now have a very concrete meaning: they count the number of certain polarized abelian varieties with endomorphisms and level structure over \mathbb{F}_q .

(2) Next, one partitions the set $\mathcal{X}_p(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ into isogeny classes. The main tool here is the Honda–Tate theorem which classifies the isogeny classes of abelian varieties over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ in terms of the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius.

(3) Within each isogeny class, the number of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -points is counted by a linear combination of expressions of the form

$$\text{Orb}(\gamma, \mathbb{1}_{K^p}) \cdot \text{TOrb}(\delta, \phi_p) \quad (1.10)$$

where the first factor is a $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ -orbital integral, and the second factor a σ -twisted $G(\mathbb{Q}_{p^n})$ -orbital integral.

(4) Finally, one hopes to compare the last expression with the orbital integral side of the Arthur–Selberg trace formula. This involves, among other things, a comparison of twisted orbital integrals with actual orbital integrals. This is the content of the Fundamental Lemma.

The main goal of this course is to explain some of these ideas in detail.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreatta, Fabrizio; Goren, Eyal Z.; Howard, Benjamin; Madapusi, Keerthi; *Faltings heights of abelian varieties with complex multiplication*, Ann. of Math. (2) **187** (2018), no. 2, 391–531.
- [2] Deligne, Pierre; *Travaux de Shimura*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 23ème année (1970/1971), Exp. No. 389, pp. 123–165. Lecture Notes in Math. **244**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.

- [3] Faltings, Gerd; *Finiteness theorems for abelian varieties over number fields*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 3, 349–366.
- [4] Gan, Wee Teck; Gross, Benedict H.; Prasad, Dipendra; *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L -values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups* in Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I, Astérisque **346** (2012), 1–109.
- [5] Gross, Benedict H.; Zagier, Don B.; *Heegner points and derivatives of L -series*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 2, 225–320.
- [6] Haines, Thomas (ed.); Harris, Michael (ed.); *Shimura varieties*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **457**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020.
- [7] Kudla, Stephen; Rapoport, Michael; *Special cycles on unitary Shimura varieties II: Global theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **697** (2014), 91–157.
- [8] Milne, James S.; *The action of an automorphism of \mathbb{C} on a Shimura variety and its special points* in Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. I, 239–265. Progr. Math. **35**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.
- [9] ———; *Canonical models of Shimura curves*, lecture notes available at <https://www.jmilne.org/math/articles/2003a.pdf>, 2003.
- [10] ———; *Introduction to Shimura varieties*, lecture notes available at <https://www.jmilne.org/math/xnotes/svi.html>, 2017.
- [11] Jelonek, Zbigniew; *Simple examples of affine manifolds with infinitely many exotic models*, Adv. Math. **284** (2015), 112–121.
- [12] Yuan, Xinyi; Zhang, Shou-Wu; *On the averaged Colmez conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **187** (2018), no. 2, 533–638.
- [13] Zhang, Wei; *On arithmetic fundamental lemmas*, Invent. Math. **188** (2012), no. 1, 197–252.
- [14] Zhu, Yihang; *Introduction to the Langlands–Kottwitz method* in [6], 115–150.