- 1. What is the potential impact of using ambiguous terms in a prompt?

 Ambiguity introduces multiple possible semantic interpretations, which forces the model to probabilistically select one. This increases variance in outputs and reduces reproducibility, often degrading the precision of the response.
- 2. Why is it useful to ask the AI to take on a specific role (e.g., "You are an expert in...") in a prompt?

Role assignment conditions the model's internal distribution toward domain-specific patterns, aligning tone, vocabulary, and reasoning depth with the expected expertise. This improves both coherence and domain relevance of the generated response.

3. What is the advantage of providing context before asking a question or giving a task in your prompt?

Context primes the model's attention by anchoring the input in a defined semantic space. This reduces reliance on generic priors and increases the probability of generating contextually aligned and task-relevant outputs.

- 4. Why is setting constraints, such as output length or format, important in prompt design? Constraints act as boundary conditions in the decoding process, steering the model toward outputs that are not only semantically valid but also structurally usable. This improves efficiency by reducing the need for post-processing.
- 5. What is the significance of using iterative prompting (i.e., refining prompts based on previous responses) in achieving desired outcomes? Iterative prompting forms a feedback loop where each refinement reduces error propagation and narrows the solution space. This adaptive process enhances controllability and convergence toward the desired output.
- 1. How does providing feedback on the Al's previous responses improve future outputs? Feedback introduces corrective signals that reduce uncertainty in subsequent generations. By clarifying errors or reinforcing desired patterns, the prompt effectively reconditions the model's decoding trajectory, leading to outputs that better align with task goals.
- 2. How does using examples in your prompt (few-shot prompting) improve the quality of responses?

Few-shot prompting supplies explicit input—output mappings, which constrain the model's latent representation toward the intended task. This reduces reliance on general priors and enhances accuracy, consistency, and adherence to the expected format.

3. Why is it important to consider the potential biases in the Al's training data when crafting prompts?

Training data encodes historical and cultural biases, which will affect the generated outputs. Awareness of these biases allows the user to design prompts that mitigate distortions, ensuring responses remain both scientifically valid and ethically acceptable.

4. Explain the concept of "few-shot prompting" and how it compares to "zero-shot prompting" in practical scenarios.

Zero-shot prompting relies entirely on the model's pretrained distribution, which may yield generic or misaligned results. Few-shot prompting relies on few direct examples, making it more reliable for domain-specific or structured tasks, especially where precision is critical.

- 5. What is the benefit of breaking a task into multiple steps within a single prompt?

 Decomposing tasks reduces cognitive load on the model by constraining attention to smaller subproblems. This stepwise structure improves logical consistency, minimizes error accumulation, and increases interpretability of the final output.
- 1. How can asking the Al to verify its own response improve the accuracy of outputs? Self-verification forces the model to re-evaluate its output against internal consistency and task constraints. This secondary pass reduces hallucinations, catches logical contradictions, and increases confidence calibration by effectively simulating error-checking.
- 2. Why is it helpful to use delimiters, such as triple quotes, when working with longer text inputs in prompts?

Delimiters act as structural boundaries, preventing token-level misinterpretation of where instructions or content begin and end. They reduce parsing ambiguity, especially in multi-block inputs like code or large passages, thereby improving alignment between the intended input and model processing.

3. What is the 'chain of thought' approach in prompt engineering, and how does it differ from traditional single-shot prompts?

Chain of thought (CoT) explicitly instructs the model to generate intermediate reasoning steps before producing the final answer. Unlike single-shot prompting, which jumps directly to output, CoT externalizes latent reasoning, making the decision process more transparent and structured.

4. Why might the chain of thought approach improve the performance of language models on complex reasoning tasks?

CoT decomposes reasoning into sequential substeps, which mitigates error propagation from implicit shortcuts. This structured reasoning aligns with the transformer's stepwise attention mechanisms, leading to higher accuracy on tasks involving logic, mathematics, or multi-hop inference.

5. What are some best practices for designing effective chain of thought prompts?

Effective CoT prompts explicitly request reasoning before answers, use clear step indicators (e.g., "Step 1... Step 2..."), avoid using specific details that may bias reasoning, and balance verbosity with precision to preserve token efficiency while maintaining logical rigor.