

Lineup Cohesion Score

A Network-Based Metric for Optimal Starting Eleven Selection

NEU Sports Analytics Hackathon

Prompt A: Starting Eleven Lineup Construction

February 2026

Data: IMPECT Open Data • Bundesliga 2023/24 • 306 Matches

The Challenge: Optimal Lineup Selection

How do coaches select a starting eleven that maximizes team performance?

Key Questions

- Which players connect well together?
- Who are the critical hub players?
- What passing patterns lead to goals?

Our Approach

- Build player-to-player pass networks
- Weight edges by shot creation
- Quantify lineup "cohesion"

□ Key Insight

Elite teams are HUB-DEPENDENT: they funnel play through star players

(Counter to the intuition that "balanced" teams perform better)

Methodology: Lineup Cohesion Score

$$\text{Cohesion} = 0.50 \cdot \text{Connectivity} + 0.25 \cdot \text{Chemistry} + 0.15 \cdot \text{HubDep} + 0.10 \cdot \text{Progression}$$

Connectivity

(50%)

Network density +
avg clustering coefficient

*How interconnected
are the players?*

Chemistry

(25%)

Critical position pair
pass frequency

*Midfield→Wing,
Midfield→Striker links*

Hub Dependence

(15%)

Gini coefficient of
degree distribution

*Star player
reliance (GOOD)*

Progression

(10%)

Pre-shot pass ratio
(passes → shots)

*Attacking
effectiveness*

$$\text{Edge Weight} = \text{PassCount} \times (1 + \text{PreShotRatio})$$

Pipeline:

IMPECT Events → Filter Passes → Build DiGraph → Compute Metrics → Aggregate Season

* Weights optimized empirically: original "Balance" inverted to "Hub Dependence" based on correlation analysis showing elite teams are MORE centralized, not less.

Validation: Cohesion Predicts Season Performance

✓ Season-Level

$$r = 0.728, p < 0.001$$

Explains 53% of variance
in season points

✓ Match-Level

$$F = 36.64, p < 0.0001$$

Significant difference
win vs draw vs loss

Component Correlations with Season Points

Component	r	p-value	Sig
Connectivity	+0.785	0.0001	***
Hub Dependence	+0.714	0.0009	***
Chemistry	+0.448	0.0623	*
Progression	+0.133	0.5978	

□ Key Finding: Connectivity is the strongest predictor

Dense, well-clustered passing networks strongly associated with success

The "Balance Paradox": Star Players Matter

Original Assumption

"Balanced" teams perform better

(Even pass distribution)

$r = -0.714$ with points ❌



Empirical Reality

Hub-dependent teams win more

(Star player centralization)

$r = +0.714$ with points ✓

Evidence from Top Teams:



Leverkusen (90 pts)

Khaka orchestrates; Wirtz finishes



Bayern (72 pts)

Kimmich as central hub



Stuttgart (75 pts)

Clear passing hierarchy

❏ Implication for Lineup Selection

Optimize for HUB CONNECTIVITY, not equal distribution.

Build lineups around your best playmaker.

Case Study: Leverkusen's Undefeated Season

2023/24 Season

28W - 6D - 0L

90 points | +63 GD

87 goals scored

Cohesion Score

0.560

League avg: 0.548

Hub Players

▣

 Granit Xhaka

(Volume hub: 558 passes)

✂ Florian Wirtz

Top Attacking Connections (Pre-Shot Passes)

Connection	Pre-Shot	Conversion
Wirtz → Boniface	22	23.4%
Frimpong → Boniface	12	38.7%
Xhaka → Wirtz	11	6.1%
Palacios → Boniface	9	15.5%

▣ Tactical Pattern: The Leverkusen Chain

Defense → Xhaka (orchestrate) → Wirtz (create) → Boniface (finish)

Wirtz leads ALL pre-shot connections — the attacking fulcrum

Application: Lineup Optimization Framework

For Coaches

- Identify optimal player combinations
- Quantify impact of substitutions
- Plan for injuries: who maintains hub connectivity?

For Analysts

- Compare lineup alternatives
- Scout opposition networks
- Evaluate transfer targets' fit with existing passing structure

Example: Evaluating a Lineup Change

Lineup A (Current)

Cohesion: 0.52

Connectivity: 0.31



Lineup B (Proposed)

Cohesion: 0.58 (+12%)

Connectivity: 0.36

⚠ Limitations

- Requires historical pass data
- Does not capture off-ball movement
- Single season validation
- Opponent-specific effects not modeled

Conclusions & Future Work

Key Contributions

1. Validated Cohesion Metric

$r = 0.728$ with season points ($p < 0.001$)

2. Hub Dependence Insight

Elite teams centralize play through stars

3. Pre-Shot Weighting

Edges weighted by attacking contribution

4. Leverkusen Case Study

Xhaka→Wirtz→Boniface chain identified

Future Directions

- Incorporate opponent-specific adjustments
- Add temporal dynamics (fatigue, momentum)
 - Extend to multi-season validation
 - Build interactive lineup optimizer tool

Thank You!

Code: [github.com/\[your-repo\]](https://github.com/[your-repo]) | Questions?