Ch7: Stability for Non-Autonomous Systems

Concepts of Stability

Autonomous vs. Non-Autonomous Systems

The fundamental difference between autonomous and non-autonomous systems lies in the fact that the **state trajectory of an autonomous system is independent of the initial time t_0**, while that of a non-autonomous system generally is **not**.

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{x}(t), t)$$

This difference requires us to **consider the initial time explicitly** in defining stability concepts for non-autonomous systems, and makes the analysis more difficult than that of autonomous systems.

Non-autonomous systems appear in robot control when the desired task is to follow a time-varying trajectory, i.e. in **motion control**, or when there is uncertainty in the physical parameters and therefore, an **adaptive control** approach may be used.

Concepts of Stability
○●○○○○○

Lyapunov Analysis
○○○○

Lyapunov-Like Analysis
○○○○

Stony Brook
University

Equilibrium Point

A state $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ is an **Equilibrium Point** (or **Equilibrium State**) if the system starts there (initial state $\boldsymbol{x}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{x}_e$) it will remain there for all future time.

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_e, t) = \mathbf{0} \qquad \forall t \geq t_0$$

For example, the system $\dot{x} = -\dfrac{a(t)x}{1 + x^2}$ has an equilibrium point at $x = 0$.

However, the system $\dot{x} = -\dfrac{a(t)x}{1 + x^2} + b(x), \ b(x) \neq 0$ does not have an equilibrium point.

Extensions of Stability Concepts

The concepts of stability for non-autonomous systems are quite similar to those of autonomous systems. However, the definitions include the **initial time** t_0 explicitly.

The equilibrium point $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ is said to be **Stable** at t_0 if for any $R > 0$, there exists $r = r(R, t_0) > 0$, such that if $\|\boldsymbol{x}(t_0) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < r$, then $\|\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < R$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Otherwise, the equilibrium point is **Unstable**.

$$\forall R > 0, \exists r > 0 : \|\boldsymbol{x}(t_0) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < r \Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < R, \ \forall t \geq t_0$$

(we can keep the state in a ball of arbitrarily small radius R by starting the state trajectory in a ball of sufficiently small radius r)

The equilibrium point $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ is said to be **Uniformly Stable**, if r can be chosen **independently** of the initial time t_0.

Extensions of Stability Concepts (cont.)

The equilibrium point $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ is said to be **Asymptotically Stable** at t_0 if (1) it is **Lyapunov Stable**, and (2) there exists $r = r(t_0) > 0$ such that if $\|\boldsymbol{x}(t_0) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < r$, then $\|\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

$$\exists r > 0 : \|\boldsymbol{x}(t_0) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < r \Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| \to 0, \ \text{as } t \to \infty$$

The equilibrium point $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ is said to be **Uniformly Asymptotically Stable**, if it is **Uniformly Stable** and r can be chosen **<u>independently</u>** of the initial time t_0 where

$$\exists r > 0 : \|\boldsymbol{x}(t_0) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| < r \Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{x}_e\| \to 0, \ \text{as } t \to \infty$$

Example: $\qquad \dot{x} = -\dfrac{x}{(1+t)} \qquad\qquad x(t) = \dfrac{1+t_0}{1+t} x(t_0)$

The origin is asymptotically stable but not uniformly asymptotically stable, because a larger t_0 requires a longer time to get close to the origin.

Extensions of Stability Concepts (cont.)

The equilibrium point x_e is said to be **Exponentially Stable** if there exist $\alpha, \lambda, r > 0$ such that if $\|x(t_0) - x_e\| < r$, then $\|x(t) - x_e\| < \alpha \|x(t_0) - x_e\| e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$ $\forall t \geq t_0$.

$$\exists \alpha, \lambda, r > 0 : \|x(t_0) - x_e\| < r \Rightarrow \|x(t) - x_e\| \leq \alpha \|x(t_0) - x_e\| e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$$

If asymptotic (or exponential) stability holds for **any initial states $x(t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$**, the equilibrium point is said to be **Globally Asymptotically (or Exponentially) Stable**.

★ It can be shown that **exponential stability** always implies **uniform asymptotic stability**.

Example: A First-Order Linear Time-varying System

Consider the first-order system $\qquad \dot{x}(t) = -a(t)x(t)$

Its solution is $\quad x(t) = x(t_o)\mathrm{e}^{-\int_{t_0}^{t} a(r)dr}$

The system is stable if $a(t) \geq 0, \forall t \geq t_0$. It is asymptotically stable if $\int_0^{\infty} a(r)dr = +\infty$.

For Example:

$\dot{x} = -\dfrac{x}{(1+t)^2}$: The origin is stable (but not asymptotically stable), because $\int_0^{\infty} \dfrac{1}{(1+r)^2} dr = 1$.

$\dot{x} = -\dfrac{x}{1+t}$: The origin is asymptotically stable, because $\int_0^{\infty} \dfrac{1}{1+r} dr = +\infty$.

$\dot{x} = -tx$: The origin is exponentially stable, because $x = c_1 e^{-t^2/2}$.

Lyapunov Analysis

Time-Varying Positive Definite Functions

A scalar, time-varying function $V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ ($V{:}D \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \to \mathbb{R}, \ D \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ \boldsymbol{0} \in D$) is said to be **Locally Positive Definite** if

1) $V(\boldsymbol{0},t) = 0 \qquad \forall t \geq t_0$
2) $V(\boldsymbol{x},t) \geq V_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \qquad \forall t \geq t_0 \ , \ \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in D$

where $V_0(\boldsymbol{x})$ ($V_0{:}D \to \mathbb{R}$) is a **time-invariant positive definite** function.

$V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is said to be **Globally Positive Definite** if $D = \mathbb{R}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ A scalar time-variant function $V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is positive definite if <u>it dominates</u> a time-invariant positive definite function.

- A function $V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is **positive semi-definite** if $V_0(\boldsymbol{x})$ is positive semi-definite.
- A function $V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is **negative (semi-)definite** if $-V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is positive (semi-)definite.

Concepts of Stability
○○○○○○

Lyapunov Analysis
○●○○○

Lyapunov-Like Analysis
○○○○

Stony Brook
University

Decrescent Function

A scalar function $V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ ($V{:}D \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\boldsymbol{0} \in D$) is said to be **Locally Decrescent** if
1) $V(\boldsymbol{0},t) = 0 \qquad \forall t \geq t_0$
2) $V(\boldsymbol{x},t) \leq V_1(\boldsymbol{x}) \qquad \forall t \geq t_0$, $\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in D$
where $V_1(\boldsymbol{x})$ ($V_1 {:} D \to \mathbb{R}$) is a **time-invariant positive definite** function.

$V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is said to be **(Globally) Decrescent** if $D = \mathbb{R}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ A scalar time-variant function $V(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is decrescent if <u>it is dominated by</u> a time-invariant positive definite function.

$\mathbb{R}^n$

$\boldsymbol{0}$

D

Example: $\qquad V(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \left(1 + \sin^2 t\right)\left(x_1^2 + x_2^2\right)$

$V_0(\boldsymbol{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \qquad V_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = 2\left(x_1^2 + x_2^2\right)$

The function is positive definite and decrescent.

Lyapunov's Direct Method for Non-Autonomous Systems

Consider a non-autonomous system, $\dot{x} = \mathbf{f}(x, t)$, with an equilibrium point at origin, $x = \mathbf{0}$. If there exists a scalar function $V(x, t)$ ($V : D \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{0} \in D$) with continuous partial derivatives such that

1) $V(x, t)$ is **positive definite** (locally in D),

2) $\dot{V}(x, t)$ is **negative semi-definite** (locally in D),

the equilibrium point $\mathbf{0}$ is **Stable** (and V is called a Lyapunov function).

3) $V(x, t)$ is **decrescent** (locally in D),

the equilibrium point $\mathbf{0}$ is **Uniformly Stable**. If $\dot{V}(x, t)$ is **negative definite** (locally in D), the equilibrium point $\mathbf{0}$ is **Uniformly Asymptotically Stable**.

4) $D = \mathbb{R}^n$,

5) $V(x, t)$ is **radially unbounded**, i.e., $V(x, t) \to \infty$ as $\|x\| \to \infty$.

the equilibrium point $\mathbf{0}$ is **Globally Uniformly (Asymptotically) Stable**

Note:
$$\dot{V}(x, t) = \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\dot{x} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\mathbf{f}(x, t)$$

Example

Example: Determine the stability of the equilibrium point at **0**.

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 - e^{-2t} x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_1 - x_2$$

Let's choose this scalar function: $V(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = x_1^2 + \left(1 + e^{-2t}\right) x_2^2$

$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq V(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \leq x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ ∴ The function is positive definite and decrescent.

$\dot{V}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = -2 \left[x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 \left(1 + 2e^{-2t}\right) \right]$

$\dot{V} \leq -2 \left(x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 \right) = -\left(x_1 - x_2\right)^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$ ∴ $\dot{V}$ is negative definite.

$V(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is radially unbounded, i.e., $V(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \to \infty$ as $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \to \infty$.

∴ The point **0** is **globally uniformly asymptotically stable**.

Lyapunov-Like Analysis

Barbalat's Lemma

For autonomous systems, the <u>invariant set theorems</u> are powerful tools to study stability, because they allow asymptotic stability conclusions to be drawn even when $\dot{V}$ is only negative semi-definite. However, the invariant set theorems are not applicable to non-autonomous systems. Instead, <u>Barbalat's lemma</u> can be used for non-autonomous systems.

Barbalat's Lemma:

If the differentiable function $f(t)$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and if $\dot{f}$ is <u>uniformly continuous</u>, then $\dot{f}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

A sufficient condition for a differentiable function to be *uniformly continuous* is that <u>its derivative be bounded</u>.

$\Rightarrow$ If the differentiable function $f(t)$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and is such that $\ddot{f}$ exists and is bounded, then $\dot{f} \rightarrow 0$ as as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lyapunov-Like Stability Analysis Using Barbalat's Lemma

If a scalar function $V(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ satisfies the following conditions
- $V(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is lower bounded,
- $\dot{V}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is negative semi-definite,
- $\dot{V}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is uniformly continuous in time ($\ddot{V}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is bounded),

then $\dot{V}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Therefore, V approaches a finite limiting value V_∞, such that $V_\infty \leq V(\boldsymbol{x}(t_0), 0)$.

Example

The closed-loop error dynamics of an adaptive control system for a first-order plant with one unknown parameter is

$$\dot{e} = -e + \theta w(t)$$
$$\dot{\theta} = -ew(t)$$

where e and θ are the two states of the closed-loop dynamics, representing tracking error and parameter error, and $w(t)$ is a bounded continuous function.

Consider Lyapunov function $V = e^2 + \theta^2$. The time derivative is

$$\dot{V} = 2e(-e + \theta w) + 2\theta(-ew) = -2e^2 \leq 0$$

Based on Lyapunov theory, the system is stable, and therefore, e and θ are <u>bounded</u>.

Example (cont.)

To use Barbalat's lemma, we must check the uniform continuity of $\dot{V}$.

$$\ddot{V} = -4e(-e + \theta w)$$

The derivative of $\dot{V}$ (i.e., $\ddot{V}$) is bounded, since w is bounded by hypothesis, and e and θ were shown to be bounded. Hence, $\dot{V}$ is uniformly continuous, and application of Barbalat's lemma indicates that $e \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ ($\dot{V}(x, t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$).

Note: Although e converges to zero, the system is not asymptotically stable, because θ is only guaranteed to be bounded.

Simulation with
$$w(t) = 1/(1 + t),$$
$$e(0) = \theta(0) = 0.1$$