**Machine Learning-Based Prediction of Building Energy Consumption in Residential Structures**

**Introduction & Relevance**

Buildings account for a significant portion of global energy usage and carbon emissions. Poor energy efficiency in the design phase often results in long-term environmental and economic consequences. Traditional energy modeling methods are input-heavy and unsuitable for early-stage design evaluation. This project aims to leverage machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques to predict annual building energy consumption using minimal design inputs and local climate data. The goal is to empower architects and engineers with accurate tools to evaluate and optimize energy efficiency at the conceptual stage of residential building design.

**3. Research Question & Objectives** **Main Question:**

* How accurately can we predict the annual energy consumption of a residential building based on design-stage metadata and meteorological conditions?

**Sub-questions:**

1. Which features (e.g., floor area, room count, wall/roof/window types, local climate) contribute most to energy use prediction?
2. Do deep learning approaches (e.g., DNNs) outperform traditional ML algorithms (e.g., RF, SVM, LR) in prediction accuracy?
3. How does dataset size affect the performance of different ML models?

**Objectives:**

* Collect and preprocess metadata from 5000 residential buildings and corresponding meteorological data.
* Engineer relevant features for model training.
* Train and compare various supervised learning models (ANN, DNN, SVM, RF, GB, etc.).
* Evaluate models using MAE, RMSE, MSE, R².
* Analyze feature importance and conduct sensitivity tests by building type and data size.

1. **State of the Art**

* Forecasting energy consumption using ML has gained momentum over the past decade. Key insights include:
* ANN models consistently yield high accuracy, especially with large datasets (Runge & Zmeureanu, 2019).
* SVM models are suitable for small datasets and perform competitively in hourly load prediction (Dong et al., 2005).
* Ensemble models like Gradient Boosting and Random Forest offer robust performance and interpretability.
* DNNs, with their deep architecture, have shown superior accuracy in recent energy prediction studies but remain underutilized in residential design-phase forecasting.
* Comparative studies often lack a unified dataset, limiting fair model evaluations.

**Gap:** There is limited research applying and benchmarking multiple ML algorithms on the same, large residential dataset during the design phase.

**5. Methodology**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Phase** | | **Methods & Tools** | **Output** | |
| Data Collection | UK building metadata, Meteostat API | | | 5000 residential instances |
| Preprocessing | Python (pandas, sklearn) | | | Cleaned, normalized, merged dataset |
| Feature Selection | Random Forest, ExtraTreesClassifier | | | Top 10 predictive features |
| Model Training & Evaluation | scikit-learn, Keras, TensorFlow | | | Metrics: RMSE, MAE, R², MSE |
| Sensitivity Analysis | Subsets by building type and data size | | | Performance variation insights |

1. **Evaluation Criteria**

To assess the accuracy and reliability of the machine learning models used for predicting annual building energy consumption, the following evaluation metrics are applied:

* **RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error):** Measures prediction accuracy with emphasis on large errors.
* **MAE (Mean Absolute Error):** Average magnitude of prediction errors.
* **MSE (Mean Squared Error):** Penalizes large errors, useful for model comparison.
* **R² (Coefficient of Determination):** Proportion of variance explained by the model (closer to 1 = better).
* **Training Time:** To assess computational efficiency.

**7. Work Plan & Timeline**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Weeks | Task |
| 1 | Literature review and framework design |
| 2 | Dataset acquisition (building + weather) |
| 3 | Data cleaning and integration |
| 4 | Feature selection and exploratory analysis |
| 5-6 | Model development: traditional ML (RF, LR, SVM, DT, etc.) |
| 7-8 | Deep learning model development (ANN, DNN) |
| 9 | Model evaluation and comparison |
| 10 | Sensitivity analysis (clusters and data size) |
| 11 | Final visualization and result consolidation |
| 12 | Report preparation and submission |

**8. Expected Results & Outlook**

* **Quantitative:** Identification of the most accurate model (DNN expected to perform best with RMSE ~1.16 and R² ~0.95).
* **Qualitative:** Insights into the most influential features for design-stage prediction.
* **Deliverables:**
  1. Python notebooks and scripts for full pipeline.
  2. Comparative performance report with visualizations.
  3. Final exposé and project presentation.
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