

Grapevine Leaves Image Classification



AUGUST 25, 2022 MUHAMMAD AMIN GHIAS

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT:	2
INTRODUCTION	3
MAIN OBJECTIVE:	3
DATA ACQUISITION AND WRANGLING	3
DATA SOURCE	3
DATA DESCRIPTION	3
DATA EXPLORATION CLEANING & ANALYSIS	4
Making dataframe	4
Making the x and y train and test datasets	4
converting y to categorical format	4
Applying CNN models	5
Model-1	5
Model-2	7
Model-3	8
Winner model	10
Using Transfer Learning on winner model	11
Using Freezing Layer on winner Model	12
RESULTS:	13
DISCUSSION:	13
CONCLUSION	13
SUGGESTIONS	13

ABSTRACT:

Fruits are important part of a healthy diet. Grapes is one of the most loved fruits. There are many species of grapes all with their unique qualities and importance. In this project we will help in finding the different types of grapevine leaves from one another. We will classify the leaves to their respective classes efficiently using neural networks – deep learning model of CNN.

INTRODUCTION

Grapes are used fresh or processed. Grapevine leaves are collected once a year as a by-product. The species of grapevine leaves which are collected once a year have unique price and taste and telling apart from different species of grapevine leaves is a difficult timely task. We will use our deep learning model and CNN to classify these grapevine leaves to their respective species

MAIN OBJECTIVE:

The main objective of this project is to study the different 5 species of grapevine leaves and classify them efficiently and accurately to its different classes.

DATA ACQUISITION AND WRANGLING

DATA SOURCE

For this project we will use online data the source is from kaggle

files are from url:

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/muratkokludataset/grapevine-leaves-image-dataset

This dataset consists of images of 500 vine leaves belonging to 5 species were taken with a special self-illuminating system.

DATA DESCRIPTION

The dataset consists of 100 pictures of 5 species of grapevine leaves which are:

- Ak
- Ala_Idris
- Buzgulu
- Dimnit
- Nazli

DATA EXPLORATION CLEANING & ANALYSIS

Making dataframe

All the images were extracted and formulated in a dataset, the resultant datsest was made whith the following shape



Making the x and y train and test datasets

converting y to categorical format

As we have 5 classes hence y has to be converted

Applying CNN models

We will use Convolution neural networks model for classification

Model-1

```
model_1 = Sequential()

## 5x5 convolution with 2x2 stride and 32 filters

5 model_1.add(Conv2D(32, (5, 5), strides = (2,2), padding='same',

| input_shape=x_train.shape[1:]))

model_1.add(Activation('relu'))

## Another 5x5 convolution with 2x2 stride and 32 filters

model_1.add(Conv2D(32, (5, 5), strides = (2,2)))

model_1.add(Activation('relu'))

## 2x2 max pooling reduces to 3 x 3 x 32

model_1.add(MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)))

model_1.add(Dropout(0.25))

## Flatten turns 3x3x32 into 288x1

model_1.add(Flatten())

model_1.add(Dropout(0.5))

model_1.add(Dropout(0.5))

model_1.add(Dropout(0.5))

model_1.add(Dropout(0.5))

model_1.add(Dense(num_classes))

model_1.add(Activation('softmax'))

model_1.summary()

v 0.7s
```

```
Layer (type)
                            Output Shape
                                                      Param #
conv2d (Conv2D)
                            (None, 32, 32, 32)
activation (Activation)
                            (None, 32, 32, 32)
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)
                            (None, 14, 14, 32)
                                                      25632
activation_1 (Activation) (None, 14, 14, 32)
max_pooling2d (MaxPooling2D (None, 7, 7, 32)
dropout (Dropout)
                            (None, 7, 7, 32)
flatten (Flatten)
                            (None, 1568)
dense (Dense)
                            (None, 512)
                                                      803328
activation_2 (Activation) (None, 512)
dropout_1 (Dropout)
                            (None, 512)
Total params: 833,957
Trainable params: 833,957
Non-trainable params: 0
```

Choosing Optimizer

Training time: 0:00:21.436273
Test score: 1.5228713750839233
Test accuracy: 0.4300000071525574

Training time: 0:00:21.828391
Test score: 2.5830678939819336
Test accuracy: 0.44999998807907104

Hence Optimizer Adam(learning_rate=0.001) is best and will be used for all models

Model-2

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
======================================		
activation_4 (Activation)	(None, 64, 64, 32)	0
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None, 62, 62, 32)	9248
activation_5 (Activation)	(None, 62, 62, 32)	0
<pre>max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPooling 2D)</pre>	(None, 31, 31, 32)	0
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None, 31, 31, 32)	0
conv2d_4 (Conv2D)	(None, 31, 31, 64)	18496
activation_6 (Activation)	(None, 31, 31, 64)	0
conv2d_5 (Conv2D)	(None, 29, 29, 64)	36928
activation_7 (Activation)	(None, 29, 29, 64)	0
 Total params: 6,491,173 Trainable params: 6,491,173 Non-trainable params: 0		

Training time: 0:02:11.321232
Test score: 3.2041022777557373
Test accuracy: 0.550000011920929

Model-3

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
conv2d_6 (Conv2D)	(None, 64, 64, 32)	896
activation_10 (Activation)	(None, 64, 64, 32)	0
conv2d_7 (Conv2D)	(None, 62, 62, 32)	9248
activation_11 (Activation)	(None, 62, 62, 32)	0
<pre>max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPooling 2D)</pre>	(None, 31, 31, 32)	0
dropout_5 (Dropout)	(None, 31, 31, 32)	0
conv2d_8 (Conv2D)	(None, 31, 31, 64)	18496
activation_12 (Activation)	(None, 31, 31, 64)	0
conv2d_9 (Conv2D)	(None, 29, 29, 64)	36928
activation_13 (Activation)	(None, 29, 29, 64)	0
 Total params: 282,853		
Trainable params: 282,853		
Non-trainable params: 0		

```
1 batch_size = 32
   3 # initiate RMSprop optimizer
  4 opt = tensorflow.keras.optimizers.RMSprop(1r=0.0005, decay=1e-6)
  5 # opt = tf.keras.optimizers.Adam(learning rate=0.001)
  7 # Let's train the model 3 using RMSprop
  8 model_3.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy',
                  optimizer=opt,
                  metrics=['accuracy'])
  12 t=now()
  14 model_3.fit(x_train, y_train,
                  batch_size=batch_size,
                  epochs=20,
                  validation_data=(x_test, y_test),
                  shuffle=True)
  20 print('Training time: %s' % (now() - t))
  22 score = model_3.evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose=0)
  23 print('Test score:', score[0])
  24 print('Test accuracy:', score[1])

√ 55.3s

Output exceeds the size limit. Open the full output data in a text editor
Epoch 1/20
```

Training time: 0:00:55.094510
Test score: 1.3176687955856323
Test accuracy: 0.5099999904632568

Winner model

The model-2 is the best model with accuracy of 0.55

We will use this model 2 further

Using Transfer Learning on winner model

Using transfer learning for winner model 2

```
Model: "sequential_4'
                             Output Shape
Layer (type)
                                                       Param #
 conv2d_16 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 64, 64, 32)
                                                       896
 activation_24 (Activation) (None, 64, 64, 32)
 conv2d_17 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 62, 62, 32)
                                                       9248
 activation_25 (Activation) (None, 62, 62, 32)
                                                       ø
 max_pooling2d_8 (MaxPooling (None, 31, 31, 32)
                                                       0
dropout_12 (Dropout)
                             (None, 31, 31, 32)
conv2d_18 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 31, 31, 64)
                                                       18496
 activation_26 (Activation) (None, 31, 31, 64)
 conv2d_19 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 29, 29, 64)
                                                       36928
activation 27 (Activation) (None, 29, 29, 64)
Total params: 6,491,173
Trainable params: 6,491,173
Non-trainable params: 0
```

Training time: 0:02:15.397319
Test score: 3.3391921520233154
Test accuracy: 0.5099999904632568

Using Freezing Layer on winner Model

```
Model: "sequential_4
Layer (type)
                            Output Shape
                                                       Param #
conv2d_16 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 64, 64, 32)
activation_24 (Activation) (None, 64, 64, 32)
                                                       ø
conv2d_17 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 62, 62, 32)
                                                       9248
activation 25 (Activation) (None, 62, 62, 32)
 max_pooling2d_8 (MaxPooling (None, 31, 31, 32)
                                                       0
 2D)
dropout_12 (Dropout)
                             (None, 31, 31, 32)
                                                       ø
 conv2d_18 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 31, 31, 64)
                                                       18496
activation_26 (Activation) (None, 31, 31, 64)
                                                       ø
 conv2d_19 (Conv2D)
                             (None, 29, 29, 64)
                                                       36928
activation 27 (Activation) (None, 29, 29, 64)
Total params: 6,491,173
Trainable params: 6,425,605
Non-trainable params: 65,568
```

Training time: 0:00:52.449559
Test score: 4.026365280151367
Test accuracy: 0.5400000214576721

RESULTS:

CNN has been used for classification grapevine leaves, the best Optimizer is Adam with learning_rate=0.001 and the best model is model-2 gives which gives accuracy of 0.55 and with transfer learning and freezing layer the accuracy is 0.54

DISCUSSION:

In this project we used CNN and found the following:

- Best Optimizer
- Best model with greater accuracy

We also used transfer learning and freezing layer

CONCLUSION

The results of model 2 is best as compared to other models and can be used to classify the grapevine leaves and achieve our goal.

SUGGESTIONS

The dataset only had 500 images greater bigger dataset will improve the accuracy of our model