

Reflection: Mid - Term Assessment, IPC144

Technical Requirements:

- Minimum 300 words and 3 paragraphs
- Maximum 500 words and 4 paragraphs
- Name and student id must be included
- Marks will be deducted for spelling errors and poor grammar, see Rubric
- Do not include the questions in the reflection document
- All reflections must be submitted through mySeneca, email submissions will not be accepted
- All reflections submitted by all IPC144 students in all sections, will be run through Safe Assign and checked for plagiarism
- https://help.blackboard.com/SafeAssign/Student/Submit_SafeAssign#submit-an-assignment-using-safeassign-in-blackboard-learn-original-course-view_OTP-0

Topics – complete Section A and any 2 of Section B

Section A:

- Required: explain how you solved the workshop problems. What tools and techniques did you use? What worked best for you, and what gave you the most problems when completing the workshops?

Section B:

- Complete any 2 of the following, if you answer all 3, only the first two will be marked
- Discuss one aspect of the IPC144 course delivery that you enjoyed and found helpful.
- Discuss one aspect of the IPC144 course delivery that you did not enjoy or did not find helpful.
- Other than conducting classes in person, what do you think could be done to improve delivery of the IPC144 course?
- Note: definition of discuss from dictionary.com: to consider or examine by argument, comment, etc.; talk over or write about, especially to explore solutions; debate.

Rubric

100 – Exceptionally well done, it could be published in any reputable ICT news source. Thank you for your attention to detail, your thoughtful effort going well beyond fully answered questions, all delivered with excellent quality of expression.

90 - 99 – Very good. Thank you for your attention to detail, your complete and more than thorough answers to all questions with high quality of expression. There were no spelling or grammar errors.

80 - 89 – Good. Your attention to detail was generally good, giving thorough answers to most questions, and with acceptable quality of expression. There may have been a limited number of spelling or grammar errors or a few small things that escaped your attention.

70 - 79 – Fair. At least a few items needed your attention but were not addressed. Some questions were answered with a minimum of explanation or exploration, had incomplete answers, and/or you missed some questions. There may have been several spelling or grammar errors where the lack of quality expression gave your writing less clarity and credibility.

60 - 69 – Passable. Many items needed your attention but were not addressed. Questions were not answered or answered minimally or incompletely. There may have been many spelling or grammar errors where the lack of quality expression gave your writing less clarity and credibility.

< 60 – Most or all questions not answered, multiple spelling or grammar errors. Answers given did not make sense or did not relate to the purpose of the reflection.

< 0 Plagiarism: a grade of zero for the reflection and the incident will be reported to the Academic Honesty Committee.

