




Deadlock, Starvation

Hamid R. Zarandi

h_zarandi@aut.ac.ir



Why is deadlock handling important?

- Deadlocks prevent sets of concurrent processes from completing their tasks



Deadlock diagram of 2 processes

Q's! : ↑
P's! : →




Deadlock

➤ Definition


- To wait for a resource which is acquired by another process that is waited for a resource of requesting process
- Never finishing wait state
- Circular dependencies between processes

Illustration of deadlock

- 1: $a \rightarrow b$
- 2: $b \rightarrow c$
- 3: $c \rightarrow d$
- 4: $d \rightarrow a$




(a) Deadlock possible



(b) Deadlock

Deadlock



Necessary conditions

➤ Mutual exclusion

- o only one process at a time can use a resource

➤ Hold and wait

- o a process holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other processes


➤ No preemption

- o a resource can be released only voluntarily by the process holding it, after that process has completed its task

➤ Circular wait

- o there exists a set $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ of waiting processes such that P_0 is waiting for a resource that is held by P_1 , P_1 is waiting for a resource that is held by P_2 , ..., P_{n-1} is waiting for a resource that is held by P_n , and P_n is waiting for a resource that is held by P_0 .

Deadlock \Rightarrow Mutual Exclusion & Hold n' wait & non-preemptive & circular waiting



↑ (ex: printer)
↑ (fix deadlock)

How to model deadlock?


➤ System consists of resources

➤ Resource types R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m
CPU cycles, memory space, I/O devices

➤ Each resource type R_i has W_i instances.

➤ Each process utilizes a resource as follows:

- request
- use
- release




Resource-allocation graph (RAG)


► Directed graph

- Nodes = {Processes, Resources}
- Edges = {Request edges: $P_i \rightarrow R_j$, Assignment edges: $R_j \rightarrow P_i$ }


- Process





- Resource Type with 4 instances



- P_i requests instance of R_j





- P_i is holding an instance of R_j



Is there a deadlock?

deadlock is possible




Deadlock illustration in RAG

- Having 1 resource in each resource type

- Deadlock \Leftrightarrow existing a cycle

(# instances = 1)

100 %.



- Having multiple resources for at least one resource type

- Deadlock is possible (not necessary) when existing a cycle

- No Cycle \rightarrow No deadlock

- One cycle \rightarrow

- (one instance per resource type): deadlock



- (multiple instances per resource type): possible of deadlock

!?



How to handle deadlocks?

مُنْعِلٌ

اجنبٌ

➤ 1) Prevent or 2) avoid deadlocks

- Deadlock prevention

- To ensure at least one of necessary condition cannot hold.

- Deadlock avoidance


- Conservative: May current req make a deadlock in future (non safe state)?

مانعه طاری

➤ 3) Detect & recover deadlocks

- 1st detect, next recover (how?)

$!(\text{Mutual Exclusion}) \parallel !(\text{Hold \& Wait}) \parallel$
 $!(\text{non-preemptive}) \parallel !(\text{Circular Waiting})$



➤ 4) Do nothing: Ostrich algorithm!

- Modern OS: Windows, Linux

بَرْدَةٌ صَدَقَتْ

1) Deadlock prevention (جلوگیری)

➤ Prevent deadlock by missing one of:

- ~ Mutual exclusion

- Make resources sharable: read-only files
- Is not possible in all cases

- ~ Hold & wait

- How?
 - ✓ Request all resources before execution
 - ✓ Request a resource if no others it have

- Drawbacks

- ✓ Underutilization of resources
- ✓ Starvation

- ~ No preemption

- 3 solutions exist = {self preemption, dest process preemption, save & switch resource status}

- ~ Circular wait

- Request a resource in an increasing order of enumeration

1 2 3 4 ...

بُرست → {0,0,0,0}

Deadlock ⇒ (0&0&0&0)

$\neg(0\&0\&0\&0) \Rightarrow \neg \text{deadlock}$

(¬0||¬0||¬0||¬0)

نایمه منبع با معلمات

{ :- }

مقدار داده شده

ذخیره شده طبق مبنای درست رایز (برای ایندکس)

Example of prevention of circular wait

➤ Example of ~circular wait


- $F(\text{tape drive}) = 1$
- $F(\text{disk drive}) = 5$
- $F(\text{printer}) = 12$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{○ } F(\text{tape drive}) = 1 \\ \text{○ } F(\text{disk drive}) = 5 \\ \text{○ } F(\text{printer}) = 12 \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Wait}} F(\text{resource type}) = \text{Disk, Printer}$$

- After having resource type R_i , request to resource type R_j is possible if $F(R_j) > F(R_i)$




- Otherwise, release all resource types R_k where $F(R_k) >= F(R_j)$



2) Deadlock avoidance (اجتناب)


➤ Prevention is bad (why?)

- Resource underutilization
- Reduced throughput




➤ Avoidance is good; How to avoid?

- Required extra info
 - Available resources
 - Resources allocated to processes
 - Future request of processes (!) } okay
 → NOT okay!



- Definition:
 - Safe state
- Solutions

~~Safe state~~

→ deadlock 

Safe state definition

- When a process requests an available resource, system must decide if immediate allocation leaves the system in a **safe state** (نحوه ای که سیستم را در حالت ایمن تر می‌گذارد)
- Safe state:** there exists a sequence $\langle P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \rangle$ of ALL the processes in the systems such that for each P_i , the resources that P_i can still request can be satisfied by currently available resources + resources held by all the P_j with $j < i$

$P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_j \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_n$


- That is:
 - If P_i resource needs are not immediately available, then P_i can wait until all P_j have finished
 - When P_j is finished, P_i can obtain needed resources, execute, return allocated resources, and terminate
 - When P_i terminates, P_{i+1} can obtain its needed resources, and so on

Safe state definition



- If a system is in **safe state** \Rightarrow **no deadlocks**
- If a system is in **unsafe state** \Rightarrow **possibility of deadlock**
- Avoidance \Rightarrow ensure that a system will never enter an **unsafe state**.

...
 (..., C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n) \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_i
 ...



Safe mode example (1)

- 3 processes: P_0, P_1, P_2
- 1 resource type: A (12) \rightarrow چهار (4)
- Snapshot at time T_0

Maximum Needs

P_0	10
P_1	4
P_2	9

Current Needs

$$\begin{cases} 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow 5 \\ \rightarrow 2 \\ \rightarrow 7 \end{array}$$


- Safe mode sequence? $\langle P_1, P_0, P_2 \rangle$

(3)
 $P_1: 5$
 $P_2: 7$

$12 - 9 = 3$
 $3 + 2 = 5$
 $P_1: 5 \checkmark$
 $P_1: X$
 $P_2: 7$
 $5 + 5 = 10$
 $P_2: 7 \rightarrow \checkmark$

Safe mode example (2)

- 3 processes: P_0, P_1, P_2
- 1 resource type: A (12)
- Snapshot at time T_0




\downarrow T_0 \downarrow T_1 \downarrow *avail.*

	Maximum Needs	Current Needs	
P_0	10	5	$5 \rightarrow 5$
P_1	4	2	$2 \rightarrow 2$
P_2	9	2	$3 \rightarrow 6$


$$12 - 10 = 2$$

- Suppose that, at time T_1 , process P_2 requests and is allocated one more resource.
- Safe mode sequence? **no safe**




2.1) Resource-allocation graph (RAG) algorithm

- Claim edge $P_i \rightarrow R_j$ indicated that process P_i may request resource R_j represented by a dashed line



2.1) Resource-allocation graph (RAG) algorithm



Suppose that process P_i requests a resource R_j

The request can be granted only if converting
the request edge to an assignment edge does not
result in the formation of a cycle in the resource
allocation graph

2.2) Banker's algorithm

Let n = number of processes, and m = number of resources types.



Available: Vector of length m .

If $\text{available}[j] = k$, there are k instances of resource type R_j available

Max: $n \times m$ matrix.

If $\text{Max}[i,j] = k$, then process P_i may request at most k instances of resource type R_j



Allocation: $n \times m$ matrix.

If $\text{Allocation}[i,j] = k$ then P_i is currently allocated k instances of R_j

Need: $n \times m$ matrix.

If $\text{Need}[i,j] = k$, then P_i may need k more instances of R_j to complete its task

$$\text{Need}[i,j] = \text{Max}[i,j] - \text{Allocation}[i,j]$$



2.2) Banker's algorithm: Safety algorithm

safe ← مامن اسلام

- Let Work and Finish be vectors of length m and n , respectively. Initialize:

$\text{Work} = \text{Available}$ مامن اسلام

$\text{Finish}[i] = \text{false}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ → مامن اسلام

امان

- Find an i such that both:

(a) $\text{Finish}[i] = \text{false}$ مامن اسلام

(b) $\text{Need}_i \leq \text{Work}$ مامن اسلام

If no such i exists, go to step 4

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

need work

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} > \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \times$$

need work

- $\text{Work} = \text{Work} + \text{Allocation}_i$ مامن اسلام
 $\text{Finish}[i] = \text{true}$ مامن اسلام
 go to step 2

امان

unsafe !!

- If $\text{Finish}[i] = \text{true}$ for all i , then the system is in a **safe state**

$\text{Finish} = [\text{true}, \text{true}, \dots, \text{true}] \rightarrow \text{Safe}$

2.2) Banker's algorithm: Resource-request algorithm for process P_i

Request_i = request vector for process P_i

دعاوی

If $\text{Request}_i[j] = k$ then process P_i wants k instances of resource type R_j

1. If $\text{Request}_i \leq \text{Need}_i$

go to step 2

else

raise error condition (process has exceeded its maximum claim)

2. If $\text{Request}_i \leq \text{Available}$

go to step 3

قابل دعایت

اده نسبت

else

P_i must wait (resources are not available)

3. Pretend to allocate requested resources to P_i by modifying the state as follows:

$$\text{Available} = \text{Available} - \text{Request}_i$$

$$\text{Allocation}_i = \text{Allocation}_i + \text{Request}_i$$

$$\text{Need}_i = \text{Need}_i - \text{Request}_i$$

If **safe** \Rightarrow the resources are allocated to P_i

If **unsafe** \Rightarrow P_i must wait, and the old resource-allocation state is restored

$$\text{Request} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ + \text{Request}_i \\ \vdots \end{array} \right] \quad \{ n \times r \}$$

مسکن



ارزیف نماینده داری

میتواند مسکن

حکم این دعاوی درج شود

Banker's algorithm example

5 processes P_0 through P_4

3 resource types: $A = 10$ $B = 5$ $C = 7$

Snapshot at time T_0 :

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{需求: } \begin{matrix} A & B & C \end{matrix} \\ \text{进程: } \begin{matrix} 10 & 5 & 7 \\ 7 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{matrix} \\ \text{可用: } \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

	<u>Allocation</u>			<u>Max</u>			<u>Available</u>		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
P_0	0	1	0	7	5	3	7	4	3
P_1	2	0	0	3	2	2	1	2	2
P_2	3	0	2	9	0	2	6	0	0
P_3	2	1	1	2	2	2	0	1	1
P_4	0	0	2	4	3	3	4	3	1

↓↓↓↓↓

$+211 \rightarrow (P_3: 011)$ $+002 \rightarrow (P_4: 413)$ $+302 \rightarrow P_0: 743$
 $(P_2: 000) \rightarrow (P_1: 743)$ $(P_1: 743) \rightarrow (P_0: 743)$

$\checkmark \text{Safe} \leftarrow \text{进程} \leftarrow \text{可用} \leftarrow \text{进程}$

Banker's algorithm example

The content of the matrix ***Need*** is defined to be ***Max – Allocation***

	<u>Allocation</u>			<u>Max</u>			<u>Available</u>			<u>Need</u>			
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	
P_0	0	1	0	7	5	3	3	3	2	P_0	7	4	3
P_1	2	0	0	3	2	2				P_1	1	2	2
P_2	3	0	2	9	0	2				P_2	6	0	0
P_3	2	1	1	2	2	2				P_3	0	1	1
P_4	0	0	2	4	3	3				P_4	4	3	1

Is the system safe?

Yes. The sequence $\langle P_1, P_3, P_4, P_2, P_0 \rangle$ satisfies safety criteria

Example: P_1 Request (1,0,2)

Check that Request \leq Available (that is, $(1,0,2) \leq (3,3,2)$ \Rightarrow true) \rightarrow

	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Need</u>	<u>Available</u>
	A B C	A B C	A B C
P_o	0 1 0	7 4 3	2 3 0
P_1	3 0 2	0 2 0 ✓	P_1
P_2	3 0 2	6 0 0	P_2
P_3	2 1 1	0 1 1	P_3
P_4	0 0 2	4 3 1	P_4

$457 - 827 = 230$ ✓

Executing safety algorithm shows that sequence $< P_1, P_3, P_4, P_o, P_2 >$ satisfies safety requirement

Can request for (3,3,0) by P_4 be granted?
 Can request for (0,2,0) by P_o be granted?

3) Deadlock detection & recovery

- Allow system to enter deadlock state

- Detection algorithm




- Recovery scheme

اجازه DL (Allow DL)
اجازه دسترسی DL
اجازه حفظ DL
(Allow Use)


3.1) Resource-allocation graph and wait-for graph

➤ For single instance resource type:


- Create wait-for graph from RAG
- Periodically run cycle detector



if, w, w, p, p



Resource-Allocation Graph



Corresponding wait-for graph

3.2) Several instances of a resource type

نیازهای چندین ایزد

Let n = number of processes, and m = number of resources types.

Available: Vector of length m .

If $\text{available}[j] = k$, there are k instances of resource type R_j available

Allocation: $n \times m$ matrix.

If $\text{Allocation}[i,j] = k$ then P_i is currently allocated k instances of R_j

Request: $n \times m$ matrix.

If $\text{Request}[i,j] = k$, then P_i is requesting k more instances of R_j

Slide 22

3.2) Several instances of a resource type

1. Let **Work** and **Finish** be vectors of length m and n , respectively. Initialize:

Work = Available

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ **if** $\text{Allocation}_i \neq 0$ **then** $\text{Finish}[i] = \text{false}$
else $\text{Finish}[i] = \text{true}$

2. Find an i such that both:

(a) **Finish** [i] = **false**

(b) **Request** _{i} \leq **Work**


If no such i exists, go to step 4

3. **Work** = **Work** + **Allocation** _{i}

Finish[i] = **true**

go to step 2

$\exists i : \text{Unf}^-$



4. If **Finish** [i] == **false** for some i , then the system is in a **deadlock state**

Example of detection algorithm

Five processes P_0 through P_4 ; three resource types A (7 instances), B (2 instances), and C (6 instances)

Snapshot at time T_0 :

	<u>Allocation</u>			<u>Request</u>			<u>Available</u>		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
' P_0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
✓ P_1	2	0	0	2	0	2	$P_0 \xrightarrow{+111} r10 \xrightarrow{+303} 313$	$P_1 \xrightarrow{+200}$	P_1
' P_2	3	0	3	0	0	0			
P_3	2	1	1	1	0	0	$P_3 \xleftarrow{+211} S13$	$P_4 \xleftarrow{+002}$	P_4
P_4	0	0	2	0	0	2			

Deadlock?

No deadlock $\leftarrow < P_0, P_2, P_1, P_3, P_4 >$

Sequence $< P_0, P_2, P_3, P_1, P_4 >$ will result in $Finish[i] = true$ for all i

Safe

نیازی

Example (Cont.)

P_2 requests an additional instance of type C

	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Available</u>		<u>Request</u>
	A B C	A B C	A B C		A B C
P_0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	P_0	0 0 0
P_1	2 0 0	2 0 2		P_1	2 0 2
P_2	3 0 3	0 0 0		P_2	0 0 1
P_3	2 1 1	1 0 0		P_3	1 0 0
P_4	0 0 2	0 0 2		P_4	0 0 2

State of system?

Can reclaim resources held by process P_0 , but insufficient resources to fulfill other processes' requests

Deadlock exists, consisting of processes P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , and P_4

Recovery from deadlock: Process Termination → *چگونه*

➤ Abort all deadlocked processes

~~Premption~~ → KILL!

➤ Abort one process at a time until the deadlock cycle is eliminated

➤ In which order should we choose to abort?

1. Priority of the process
2. How long process has computed, and how much longer to completion
3. Resources the process has used
4. Resources process needs to complete
5. How many processes will need to be terminated
6. Is process interactive or batch?

Who to kill ?!

Recovery from deadlock: Resource Preemption

- Selecting a **victim** – minimize cost
 - safe* ←
 - unsafe*
- Rollback – return to some safe state, restart process for that state
- Starvation – same process may always be picked as victim, include number of rollback in cost factor
 - ↓
 - aging*

Questions?

