RELEASE IN PART B4,B6

В4

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Balderston, Kris M < BalderstonKM@state.gov> Sunday, January 10, 2010 8:37 PM H Re: Your technology dinner this evening
This will be an important two possible options. I'll keep you	weeks to nail down these big companies. We are negotiating with them now about a posted.
, ,	to Mayor Bloomberg if you run into him or see him. Doctoroff gave us the Mayor may be interested for the city.
Original Message From: H <hdr22@clintonem To: Balderston, Kris M Sent: Sun Jan 10 20:13:04 20 Subject: Re: Your technology</hdr22@clintonem 	10
What else can I do to help?	
Original Message From: Balderston, Kris M <ba To: H Sent: Sun Jan 10 19:03:24 20 Subject: Re: Your technology</ba 	10
say absolutely no for months	t this whole deal but this whole Espo experience has been fascinating. Some companies will (Qualcomm, Bloomberg, J&J) and then pop out of nowhere and say yes. Others like ATT are not to give us a penny. Therefore we have to keep bugging these guys.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e are at \$54M+ (this wk Hawaii came in for \$500k and Bloomberg LLC and need \$7M to raise \$10 to 11M to cover any overruns (it's getting more expensive because the US was low to build it quicker).
Where it will come from?	
A- Right now we have three because the Haier may go from	big companies talking to us in the range of each - Monsanto, Disney, and Oracle. If two or three come thru big we are done.
B- We also have a number of range.	other companies like Invitrogen, Hormel, Mattel and Major League Baseball in the
promote their jurisdictions - public funds but current bud	d states are going to their private funders and trying to raise money on their own to Chicago, Houston, Georgia, Montana, TN. (In the past, over 20 states participated with gets are tough). Maybe a chat w Mayor Bloomberg would be worthwhile. For example, I A and they will probably get benefactors to cosponsor at \$250k making them the only

D- We have approached groups like Pharma and the fashion industry thru Diane von Furstenburg to get them to bundle smaller companies and both have agreed to do it. I met w DVL and she wants you to know that she is very excited about helping (they are in the M range).
E- We have talked to the elusive Chamber of Commerce again about getting SME's to raise \$2-3M in smaller increments. They are contemplating it.
Finally the two obvious industries that are not involved are the banks (and we know why) and the insurance companies who are starting to look into the market over there.
Anyway, I did not mean to go on and on but that's where we stand and these are the potential areas to tap. We'll get there.
On your last Q - I too heard that
Original Message From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> To: Balderston, Kris M Sent: Sun Jan 10 17:47:22 2010 Subject: Re: Your technology dinner this evening</hdr22@clintonemail.com>
I don't think I made any progress. What will it mean if we can't raise the remaining \$? Where else can we try?
Do you know how
Original Message From: Balderston, Kris M <balderstonkm@state.gov> To: H Sent: Thu Jan 07 18:33:26 2010 Subject: Your technology dinner this evening</balderstonkm@state.gov>
I noticed on your schedule that you are meeting with a number of tech CEO's this evening. Google should be an obvious co-sponsor of the USA Pavilion and they have consistently pushed us off. Although they bring up IP issues as a reason

not to participate, the Chinese frequently note that they do a lot of business in China. We are now at \$54 million. If the

opportunity arises, it would be helpful to note the importance of a USA standing in Shanghai.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05767778 Date: 01/07/2016

B6