| RELEASE | IN | PART |
|---------|----|-------------|
| D.S. | | |

From:

H < hrod17@clintonemail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, February 26, 2011 3:47 PM

To:

'sullivanjj@state.gov'

Subject:

Re: ICC - URGENT

Ok w me-- l can go now or wait until the 15th.

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:Sullivan]J@state.gov]

Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2011 03:44 PM

To: H

Subject: Fw: ICC - URGENT

I'm pushing for Mar 15 but are you okay with ICC?

From: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J

To: Sullivan, Jacob J

Sent: Sat Feb 26 15:39:05 2011

Subject: ICC

Jake – We may be headed to a vote more quickly than I thought. They are back in chambers now. Brazilians right now appear to be giving up and letting us keep in the language we insisted on that states that are not party to the ICC having sole jurisdiction over their citizens. The only real question is China and India, and the Libyan PR's letter today explicitly asking for the ICC referral may mean that the direct referral remains in place. One potential compromise that has been floated is an automatic referral on March 15 unless the UNSC votes otherwise.

I wanted to triple check that HRC is OK with this as we may be voting within the next 45 minutes. I tried to call you and left a msg as well. – Erica

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

B5