RELEASE IN PART B1,1.4(D),B6

From:

H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, January 1, 2012 11:47 AM

To:

'Russorv@state.gov'

Subject:

Fw: Letter to the Secretary

Attachments:

Letter to President Obama 11 12 11.pdf; Letter to Donilon on TRR (2).pdf

Pls print and leave for me.

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]

Sent: Sunday, January 01, 2012 07:27 AM

To: H

Subject: Fw: Letter to the Secretary

From: Pickering, Thomas R

Sent: Saturday, December 31, 2011 06:06 PM

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Subject: Letter to the Secretary

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 01/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~ Declassify

Cheryl:

on: 01/01/2027

This letter follows up two conversations with the Secretary and has been sometime in the making. I would be grateful as always for your assistance in getting it to her.

Sincerely and all good wishes for the New Year.

Tom Pickering

Dear Madam Secretary:

Following up our brief talk on Monday the 19th and our previous exchange at the Crisis Group dinner on Iran, I send you these few ideas on how the Administration might take advantage of recent developments to further US interests.

I am attaching for your background two letters that relate to two aspects of our relations with Iran – (1) how we might benefit from following up on the Iranian offer to discuss a cessation of enrichment to 20% in connection with providing fuel elements for the Tehran Research Reactor and (2) how we might work toward better communications to avoid conflict by accident or miscalculation.

Iran has raised again the option of ceasing enrichment of uranium to the level of 20% for use in the Tehran Research Reactor (TRR) to produce medical isotopes. It seems clear that while Iran can produce material at 20% enrichment, it cannot now and perhaps for some time manufacture the fuel elements which the reactor requires to continue operation. The cessation of enrichment to 20% would be in return for a quantity of fuel elements. The arrangement holds out possibilities for two areas of expansion – (1) getting Iran to contribute its already produced 20% materials to the project and also (2) to agree not to exceed 5% levels of enrichment, the next level below 20% for which they have a putative civil use. Even if Iran were not willing to contribute its currently held quantity of 20% enriched material, I would encourage exploring the offer with the idea of seeking an agreement because the cap on enrichment at 5% is a solid step on the way to our objective of a cessation and can be described as such, and the offer opens the possibility of further meetings on the nuclear question now reinforced by the Iranian announcement of December 31st.

B6

otiator, is a further indication of interest.	The announcement on the 31st of December by Said Jalili, the nuclear
raluable and a step back from the path to a able if it were set in the context of a broad is Supreme Leader will have to be led to usible on a fair and equitable basis and (2)	o work with Iran to move the relationship in a more positive direction would conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the
valuable and a step back from the path to chable if it were set in the context of a broader's Supreme Leader will have to be led to usible on a fair and equitable basis and (2) uine intention of the US to work with Iran to persuade the Supreme Leader. This will over the long run. War, as you and I under	conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the ill not be easy, but is the only apparent way to achieve our objectives with erstand, is simply not a viable option. And if sanctions are to serve their
valuable and a step back from the path to compare the path to compare the context of a broad its Supreme Leader will have to be led to compare the contract the contract the compare the contract the c	conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the ill not be easy, but is the only apparent way to achieve our objectives with erstand, is simply not a viable option. And if sanctions are to serve their
valuable and a step back from the path to chable if it were set in the context of a broad it's Supreme Leader will have to be led to usible on a fair and equitable basis and (2) uine intention of the US to work with Iran to persuade the Supreme Leader. This will over the long run. War, as you and I undepose, then we must help to open the door we did last year, the small group with whice our thoughts on how best to pursue them in close to the situation in their country and tending for influence in the country. In my experience, I know something of white well as the cost of missed opportunities and	conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the ill not be easy, but is the only apparent way to achieve our objectives with erstand, is simply not a viable option. And if sanctions are to serve their which we want Iranians to walk through.
valuable and a step back from the path to chable if it were set in the context of a broad is Supreme Leader will have to be led to usible on a fair and equitable basis and (2) uine intention of the US to work with Iran to persuade the Supreme Leader. This will over the long run. War, as you and I under over the long run. War, as you and I under over the we must help to open the door our thoughts on how best to pursue them in close to the situation in their country and tending for influence in the country. In my experience, I know something of whe well as the cost of missed opportunities and cult issues and challenges.	conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the ill not be easy, but is the only apparent way to achieve our objectives with erstand, is simply not a viable option. And if sanctions are to serve their which we want Iranians to walk through. The you met stands ready to speak with you further about these opportunities in on the basis of long and continuing contact with Iranians who are and have discussed understand the approach of the leadership as well as the various factions that you have to contend with generally and more specifically regarding Iran,
valuable and a step back from the path to chable if it were set in the context of a broad it's Supreme Leader will have to be led to usible on a fair and equitable basis and (2) uine intention of the US to work with Iran to persuade the Supreme Leader. This will over the long run. War, as you and I under over the long run. War, as you and I under over the we must help to open the door over thoughts on how best to pursue them in close to the situation in their country and tending for influence in the country. In my experience, I know something of which well as the cost of missed opportunities and cult issues and challenges.	conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the ill not be easy, but is the only apparent way to achieve our objectives with erstand, is simply not a viable option. And if sanctions are to serve their which we want Iranians to walk through. The you met stands ready to speak with you further about these opportunities in on the basis of long and continuing contact with Iranians who are and have did understand the approach of the leadership as well as the various factions that you have to contend with generally and more specifically regarding Iran, and failed ones. Please count on our help and support as you address these
valuable and a step back from the path to chable if it were set in the context of a broad is Supreme Leader will have to be led to usible on a fair and equitable basis and (2) uine intention of the US to work with Iran to persuade the Supreme Leader. This will over the long run. War, as you and I under over the long run. War, as you and I under over the we must help to open the door we did last year, the small group with which our thoughts on how best to pursue them in close to the situation in their country and tending for influence in the country. In my experience, I know something of whe well as the cost of missed opportunities and cult issues and challenges. The permine seriousness.	conflict. Yet such a step now would more likely be successful and even more der US strategy toward Iran. In order to move toward engagement with Iran, understand two central facts: (1) that working with the United States is that US policy toward Iran is not based on "regime change" that there is a toward a new relationship. It will take time and a number of actions by the ill not be easy, but is the only apparent way to achieve our objectives with erstand, is simply not a viable option. And if sanctions are to serve their which we want Iranians to walk through. The you met stands ready to speak with you further about these opportunities in on the basis of long and continuing contact with Iranians who are and have did understand the approach of the leadership as well as the various factions that you have to contend with generally and more specifically regarding Iran, and failed ones. Please count on our help and support as you address these

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05793224 Date: 01/29/2016