RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From:	Posner, Michael H < PosnerMH@state.gov>			
Sent:	Tuesday, April 5, 2011 3:34 PM			
To:	$^{\circ}$ H $_{\odot}$			
Cc:	McHale, Judith A; Baer, Daniel B; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J			
Subject:	RE:			
NA dama Canadan				
Madame Secretary,				
I have not worked on anything si	ince I arrived here where the gap between the value of what we are doing and the			
public perception of it is more or				
public per department of its in more of	we have been on the receiving end of a series of negative stories about this program			
and are now fighting to retain ou				
In fact on the merits, since I arriv	yed in September 2009, I've watched the program grow from just two grants awarded in			
the last days of the Bush Admini	stration that had languished without oversight for almost a year to a thriving group of			
grantees and sub-grantees total	ling \$22 million. We have an excellent team in place and by the end of this month, we			
will make another round of gran	ts totaling about \$28 million to a number of excellent organizations.			
-	their own people aren't just putting up firewalls, they're torturing people for their			
•	uters with viruses, hacking their email accounts, and taking down their websites. Your			
Internet Freedom speeches make clear that this issue is about defending people in their use of the open, content-				
neutral Internet to tell their own	stories.			
	s supporting that objective, and while the projects are still very young, we're already			
<u> </u>	nths, we've supported a dozen different circumvention technologies, trained 5,000 of			
	cyber self-defense campaigns to raise awareness about how to keep safe when using			
	ents, started funding brand new technology to secure mobile phones, and supported			
cutting edge research on the em	nerging threats activists are facing.			
Ironically other governments as	nd big foundations increasingly are looking to our program as the best-in-class model of			
•••	rnalists in hostile Internet environments. I'm confident that this program will be part of			
	Thanses in nostile internet environments. This confidence that this program will be part of			
your legacy,				
	We issued \$6.5			
million in grants last summer, o	n top of the \$15 million that we inherited from the last Administration. In December, we			

Department didn't have a foreign policy strategy for Internet freedom until DRL led a collaborative process to develop a UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05779818 Date: 12/31/2015

issued a solicitation for a further \$30 million together with NEA. Normal grants processing takes 6 - 9 months (and sometimes longer) to issue a solicitation, give people time to submit applications, evaluate them, notify Congress, and then finalize grant agreements with successful organizations. Because Internet freedom is so urgent, we've moved that timeline up--the Internet freedom team is evaluating proposals today and will notify Congress by the end of the month, two months ahead of the most optimistic timelines for a normal grants process. This is a new policy area, and the

В5

B5

B5

Internet freedom program. We're being capacity. This is far from the truth, and versus small media coverage in the last two we supportive, and DRL's enhanced communillion transfer seems like small potatoe Internet freedom and undermine the De	anything, the place where we've fallen short is in public messaging around the beaten by a false narrative that we're slow, we don't care, and we don't have we're working full steam ahead to change the narrative. We've gotten some teks and are working on a few bigger stories. Judith has been incredibly inications capacity will be hugely helpful in the coming weeks. I know a \$15 es, but I strongly believe that transferring this money will confuse the issue of epartment's credibility on a defense of an open, content-neutral Internet. This is blocked content—this is about a comprehensive program that keeps people out papers, telling their own stories.
Mike	
This email is UNCLASSIFIED.	
This email is UNCLASSIFIEDOriginal Merom: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 8:37 AM To: Baer, Daniel B; Mills, Cheryl D; Posne Cc: McHale, Judith A Subject: Re:	om]
Having been in DC's evidence-free zone we are in a deficit position from which t	longer than I care to recall, I do know that perception trumps facts every time so challenge these assertions.
•	
Original Message From: Baer, Daniel B [mailto:BaerDB@st Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 08:10 AM To: Mills, Cheryl D < MillsCD@state.gov> Cc: McHale, Judith A < McHaleJA@state.	>; H; Posner, Michael H <posnermh@state.gov></posnermh@state.gov>
From: Baer, Daniel B [mailto:BaerDB@st Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 08:10 AM To: Mills, Cheryl D < MillsCD@state.gov>	>; H; Posner, Michael H <posnermh@state.gov></posnermh@state.gov>

I'm sorry that you keep having to deal with this. I'm confident that we are right on substance, that our programs are and will continue to be effective at supporting people on the ground, saving them from real danger, giving them safe access. We are about to distribute what will be the most competitive, cutting edge programming done for democracy/development in the USG.

Dan

Original Message		
From: Mills, Cheryl D		
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 8:04 AM	<u>.</u>	
To: 'H'; Posner, Michael H; Baer, Danie	el B	
Cc: McHale, Judith A		
Subject: RE:		
I spoke with Mike who is preparing a r join the call so she does not yet have t	response for you that outlines where we are; Judith is in rome and was not al the feedback I shared with mike.	ole to
cdm		
Original Message		
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail	l.com]	
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 7:59 AM	1	
To: Posner, Michael H; 'daniel.baer		
Cc: McHale, Judith A; Mills, Cheryl D		
Subject:		
I am, as you might guess, concerned a	and surprised	
	make us look good. What's the bottom line on what we've actually done?	

B6