SECOND TAKE HOME EXAM

SUBMITTED BY: MUHAMMAD INAAM ASHRAF

MATRIKEL NR: 307524

Q2A: Coordinate Descent vs others

Coordinate Descent, we take one coordinate a. ie. feature at a time and move toward along coordinate for a specific iteration. Then, the next iteration, we chose another coordinate and move along it direction and so on. We can either randomly select coordinate for each we can loop through all coordinates iteration or sequentrally to complete the cycle. Please note that we use the pointral gradient with respect The groordinate at hand for the update, as keep all other coordinates constant.

In Gradient Descent, we use the complete derivative and iterate including all coordinates and move along the direction of towards the we use all semples for every

iteration.

M. Inaam Ashraf (307524) 02 In Stochastic Gradient Descent, we randomly select one sample or a mini-batch for each iteration. Rest is the same as GD. Differences. SGD coordinate Use all coordinates use all coordinates overy time a mini-h-Li No stp size is required I required. regured 10 Discovantages Advantages No step size is required. GD and SGD are better suited when we don't have It converges faster as it many features in the data. switcher coordinats at every GD and SGD are better iteration. when it is not possible to Advantageous to use when compute partial derivative for we have many features each coordinate for CD.

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} ||Y - x\beta||_{2}^{2} + \lambda ||\beta||_{1}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, Y = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

So,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta^{T} \chi^{T} \chi \beta - 2 \gamma^{T} \chi \beta \right) + \lambda \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\beta_{m} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{m}^{T} x_{m} \beta_{m}^{T} + 2 \beta_{-m}^{T} x_{-m}^{T} x_{m} \beta_{m} + \beta_{-m}^{T} x_{-m}^{T} x_{-m}^{T} \beta_{-m}^{T} \right)$$

$$d = \frac{1}{2} \left(\times_{m}^{T} \times_{m} \beta_{m}^{T} - 2 \left(Y - X_{m} \beta_{-m} \right)^{T} \times_{m} \beta_{m} \right) + X_{m}^{\infty} \left[\beta_{m} \right]$$

Taking derivative and putting it equal to 0.

$$\frac{dk}{d\beta_m} = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \times_m^T \times_m \beta_m - 2 \left(y - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_m} \times_m^{\infty} \beta_m \right)$$

Now,
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_m} \frac{N}{N} \frac{|\beta_m|}{N} = \begin{cases} -A & \beta_m < 0 \\ N & \beta_m > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -A & \beta_m < 0 \\ N & \beta_m > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -A & \beta_m < 0 \\ N & \beta_m > 0 \end{cases}$$

M. Indam Ashraf (307524)

So we can write.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta_{m}} \approx 0 \implies \beta_{m} \stackrel{\text{nave}}{=} \begin{cases} (Y - \frac{X}{m} \beta_{-m})^{T} \cdot X_{m} - \lambda, \beta_{m} > 0 \\ \frac{X^{T} n_{m} X_{m}}{X^{T} n_{m} + \lambda} \end{cases} (Y - \frac{X}{m} \beta_{-m})^{T} \cdot X_{m} + \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta_{m}} \approx 0 \implies \beta_{m} \stackrel{\text{nave}}{=} \begin{cases} (Y - \frac{X}{m} \beta_{-m})^{T} \cdot X_{m} - \lambda, \beta_{m} > 0 \\ \frac{X^{T} X_{m}}{X^{T} N_{m}} - \frac{\lambda}{N} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{N} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{m} = soft \left(\frac{(\gamma - \chi_{-m}\beta_{-m}).\chi_{m}}{\chi_{m}^{T}\chi_{m}}, \frac{\lambda}{\chi_{m}^{T}\chi_{m}} \right)$$

B° = (2,1) T, >= 0.1 b) Epoch 1:

1xeration 1:
$$\beta'_{o} = soft \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

 $\beta_{0}^{1} = soft \left(\frac{[5 \ 6 \ 6] \cdot [1]}{3} \right) = soft (5.67, 0.033)$

$$\beta_{0}^{1} = 5.63$$

$$2 = (Y - X\beta)^{\frac{7}{2}} (Y - X\beta) + \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{2} |\beta|$$

$$2 = (Y - X\beta)^{\frac{7}{2}} (Y - X\beta) + \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{2} |\beta|$$

$$L_{\text{RMSE}} = Y - XB = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5.63 \\ \bullet 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 8.63 \\ 4.63 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 127 \\ 3257 \\ -652 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.63 \\ 0.37 \\ 0.37 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemse =
$$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} -0.163 \\ 1.37 \\ 0.37 \\ -0.63 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{0.335}{0.337} = \frac{0.337}{0.337} = \frac{0.337}{0.337$$

Heratron 2:

$$\beta_{1}^{21} = soft \left(\left(\left(\frac{7}{9} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{1} \right) \left(s.63 \right) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) -$$

$$= soft \left(\frac{13.47}{14}, \frac{0.1}{14}\right) = soft \left(\frac{0.955}{14}\right)$$

$$= soft \left(\frac{14}{14} \right) 14$$

$$4 - x\beta = \left(\frac{7}{9} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{1} \right) \left[\frac{2}{6.955} \right] = \left(\frac{-0.54}{0.55} \right)$$

$$d_{RMSE} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-0.54 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.32 \right] \begin{pmatrix} -0.54 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.32 \end{pmatrix} + 0.1 \left(5.63 + 0.555 \right)$$

M. Inaam Ashraf (307524)

Loss is decreasing as

0.998 > 0.98 > 0.978 > 0.976

But decreese is getting slower which can mean

that we are convergi-j.

 $\frac{2C}{2}$ a) If we converged at β , this means that

for + i ER, B* minimized the function while keeping other variables constant.

From the lecture slides.

] s ∈ o(x11811,), \frac{2}{28} (\frac{1}{2} |14-x3112) +s = 0

subdifferential of given

 $S_{i} = \begin{cases} -\lambda & \beta^{*} < \delta \\ \lambda & \beta^{*} > 0 \end{cases}$ $[-\lambda, \lambda] \quad \beta^{*} = 0$

 $o \in \frac{\partial B_{4}}{\partial (\frac{1}{2} | 1/2 - \times B | |_{2}^{2})} + \partial(\chi | | B | |_{1})$ that

meaning that B* has minimized our objective function.

$$\frac{2C}{2C}(b) \quad g(x) = (x_1x_1 + 0.1(x_1+x_2))$$

$$g(x) = |x_1|.(x_2) + 0.1(x_1+x_2)$$

$$= \begin{cases}
-x_1|x_1| + 0.1(x_1+x_2), & x_1 \ge 0 \\
x_1|x_2| + 0.1(x_1+x_2), & x_1 \ge 0
\end{cases}$$
I will use a starty value of $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Than,
$$\frac{\partial g(x_0)}{\partial x_1} = \begin{cases}
-|x_2| + 0.1, & x_1 \le 0 \\
|x_2| + 0.1, & x_1 \ge 0
\end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial g(x_0)}{\partial x_1} = \begin{cases}
-|x_2| + 0.1, & x_1 \le 0 \\
|x_2| + 0.1, & x_1 \ge 0
\end{cases}$$
we are stack.
$$\frac{\partial g(x_0)}{\partial x_1} = \begin{cases}
0.1, 0.1, & x_1 \le 0 \\
0.1, & x_1 \le 0
\end{cases}$$
we are stack.

The same will happen if we try $\frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_2}$.

Therefore, we get shick after one step. Hence,

M. Inaam Ashraf (307524)

$$\Delta 1: 1A: f(x) = ln(x)$$

$$f(x) = \ln(x)$$

Computing gradient.
$$\nabla f(x) = \frac{\partial \ln(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x}$$

Computing Herrian: $\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^{-1})$

Computing Herrian:
$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\frac{1}{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^{-1})$$

$$= -x^{-2}. (1) = -\frac{1}{x}$$

$$= -x^{2}. (1) = -\frac{1}{x^{2}}$$

$$= -x^{2}. (1) = -\frac{1}{x^{2}}$$

$$\text{Computing Newton Update Step.}$$

$$\Delta_{x,y} = -\nabla^{2}f(x)^{-1}. \nabla f(x) \qquad 7 \nabla^{2}f(x)^{-1} = -x^{2}$$

$$= -(-x^{2})(\frac{1}{x}) = x.$$

Hence,
$$\begin{aligned}
& = -(-\chi^2)(\chi) = \chi \\
& = -(-\chi^2)(\chi) = \chi \\
& = \chi \\
& = \chi \\
\end{aligned}$$
Hence,
$$\chi^{t+1} = \chi^t + \mu^t(\chi^t) = \chi \\
\text{As con be seen, it will never converge, a limit of the problem and the value, this is a limit of the problem and the converge maximization problem and the converge ever if it did.$$

As can be seen, it will sever converge, and we are increasing the value, this is a maximization problem and are convill converge maximization problem and are convill converge slowly. es. for
$$x^t = 0.1$$
 & $\mu t = 4$ and 0.01 slowly. es. for $x^t = 0.1$ & $\mu t = 4$ and 0.01 whereas for Gradient format Descent $x^{t+1} = 1.1 + 2000$ Desce

$$Q.2B.$$
 Adding Blas $\times = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$L = -(7 \log (9) + (1-7) \log (1-9)$$

the signoid function, and it wher 5() is

derivative is
$$\nabla_{\beta}(\varepsilon(x\beta)) = \nabla_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x\beta}}\right)$$

$$\nabla_{\beta}(\sigma(x\beta)) = -\frac{\nabla_{\beta}(1+e^{-x\beta})}{(1+e^{-x\beta})^{2}} = \frac{e^{-x\beta}}{(1+e^{-x\beta})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - 1 + e^{-x\beta}}{(1 + e^{-x\beta})^2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x\beta}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x\beta}} \right)$$

$$abla_{\beta}(c(x\beta)) = c(x\beta)(1-c(x\beta))$$

Dernatu. Computing First

$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L} = -\left(\frac{Y}{\sigma(x\beta)}, \nabla_{\beta}(\sigma(x\beta)) \nabla_{\beta}(x\beta) + \frac{1-7}{1-\sigma(x\beta)}, \nabla_{\beta}(1-\sigma(x\beta)), \nabla_{\beta}(1-x\beta)\right)$$

M. Inaam Ashray (307524)

$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L} = -\frac{Y}{\sigma(x\beta)} (1 - \sigma(x\beta)) \times + \frac{1 - T}{1 - \sigma(x\beta)} (1 - \sigma(x\beta)) \times + \frac{1 - T}{1 - \sigma(x\beta)} (1 - \sigma(x\beta)) \times$$

$$= -(Y(1 - \sigma(x\beta)) \times + (1 - Y)(6 - \sigma(x\beta)) \times)$$

$$= -(Y \times + Y \times \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times + Y \sigma(x\beta) \times)$$

$$= -(Y \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times)$$

$$= -(Y \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times - \sigma(x\beta) \times)$$

$$-(\lambda x - e(xb)x - e(xb))$$

$$-(\lambda (1-e(xb))x + e(xb)x - e(xb)$$

$$\nabla_{\beta}^{2} \mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\beta} \left(-(7x - \sigma(x\beta)X) \right)$$

$$= 0 - x^{T} \sigma(x\beta) \left(1 - \sigma(x\beta) \right) X$$

$$= 0 - x'. \sigma(x\beta)$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L} = x^{T}. \hat{\gamma}$$

$$\sqrt{p} \mathcal{L} = \chi^{T} \cdot \hat{\gamma} (1-\hat{\gamma}) \chi$$
Nowton Step.

Nowton Step.
$$\beta^{t+1} = \beta^t - \mu^t \sqrt{\lambda}^{-1} \sqrt{\lambda}$$

$$= \beta^t + \mu^t (\chi^{r}.\hat{\gamma}(1-\hat{\gamma}).\chi)^{-1} \chi^{r}(\gamma-\hat{\gamma})$$

$$\beta^{\circ} = (1,1,1)^{T}, \quad \mu = 0.$$

M.Inaam Ashray (307524)
$$\sigma(X\beta) = \frac{1}{61 + e^{-X\beta}}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma(X\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.999 \\ 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sigma(x,3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.999 \\ 0.95 \\ 6.98 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, $\sigma(\hat{\gamma}(1-\hat{\gamma})) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0003 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.0003 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0177 \end{bmatrix}$

$$W = diag(\hat{Y}(1-Y)) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0.045 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.015 \end{cases}$$

$$\chi^{T} \hat{Y}(1-\hat{Y}) \cdot X = \chi^{T} W X$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0.063 & 0.208 & -0.062 \\ 0.208 & 0.697 & -0.203 \\ -0.062 & -0.203 & 0.067 \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\chi^{T} W \chi^{-1} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1508.27 & -303.5 & 501.8 \\ -303.5 & 78.7 & -45.1 \\ 501.8 & -45.1 & 359.06 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\beta = \beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0.1 \begin{bmatrix} 1508.27 & -303.5 & 501.8 \\ -303.5 & 70.7 & -45.1 \\ 561.8 & -45.1 & 359.41 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ -303.5 & 70.7 & 359.41 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -0.93 \\ -3.78 \\ 0.935 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{21.87}$$

$$= \frac{21.87}{-4.66}$$

$$= \frac{4.77}{4}$$

118:
$$\mathcal{L} = -(7^{7} \cdot \log(9) + (1-7)^{7} \cdot \log(1-9))$$

$$= -\left(\begin{bmatrix} -0.0003 \\ -0.0498 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} .0 \\ 2.7528 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}' = -0.0003$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sigma(X|S) = \sigma(X|S) = \sigma(X|S) = \sigma(Y|S) = \sigma(Y$$

$$W = diay \left(\hat{\gamma}(1-\hat{\gamma})\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0003 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.0003 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.041 & 0.143 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x'wx = \begin{cases}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
5 & 3 & 4
\end{cases}
\begin{cases}
0.0003 & 0 & 0
\end{cases}
\begin{cases}
0.041 & 0
\end{cases}
\begin{cases}
0.185 & 0.7 & -0.184
\end{cases}$$

$$x'wx = \begin{cases}
0.185 & 0.7 & -0.184
\end{cases}$$

$$-0.184 & -0.7 & 0.186
\end{cases}$$

$$(\sqrt{7}WX)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.189 & -131.08 & 418.5 \\ -131.08 & 31.3 & -12.76 \\ 418.5 & -12.76 & 372.09 \end{pmatrix}$$

M.Inaam Ashraf (307524)

$$\chi^{7} \cdot (\gamma - \dot{\gamma}) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.13 \\ -0.564 \\ 0.131 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 21.87 \\ -4.66 \\ 4.77 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 \\ -131.08 \\ 418.5 \\ -12.76 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0.13 \\ -0.564 \\ 372.09 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.13 \\ -0.564 \\ 0.131 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 22.8 \\ -4.89 \\ 4.92 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \times \beta^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9997 \\ 0.9611 \\ 0.157 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2^{2} = -\left(Y^{T} \cdot \log(\hat{\gamma}) + (1-Y)^{T} \cdot \log(1-\hat{\gamma})\right)$$

$$= -\left(\begin{cases} -6.00027 \\ -0.0395 \end{cases}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2.85 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} -6.8395 \\ -6.6395 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.85 \\ 2.85 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Loss is decreasing on we are getting closer to the prediction.

$$\uparrow = \begin{pmatrix} 0.997 \\ 0.957 \\ 0.957 \\ 0.157 \end{pmatrix}$$
is closer to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma$

$$\uparrow = \begin{pmatrix} 0.987 \\ 0.9611 \\ 0.157 \end{pmatrix}$$
is closer to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma$

Q1C. a) Quasi- Newton methods approximate Hessian computation of the Newton Method in order to reduce the computational soccost. Here, a low-ronk update is used. Thee criteria for the Hessian approximation, is that these have some properties of the Hessian. The most important criteria is that the approximating fulfills the secont condition. $H(\lambda-\lambda) = \Delta L(\lambda) - \Delta L(\lambda)$

appreximately,

law ronk updak we can use the symmetric

when there exish exactly one low-rank

update such that

the secont conditron i) Approximation (H) fulfills

ii) H is symmetric

111) and it is a rank-one update.

Index der Kommentare

- 7.1 incorrect loss
- 9.1 both GD and newton diverges here
- 15.1 symmetric rank one update is used when a positive definite hessian is not needed