

Quiz: SVMs

Lecture series „Machine Learning“

Niels Landwehr

Research Group „Data Science“
Institute of Computer Science
University of Hildesheim

Quiz: Primal Hard-Margin SVM

- Assume a two-class classification problem with one-dimensional input space $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ and classes $\{-1, 1\}$.
- Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\}$ with $(x_1, y_1) = (0, -1)$ and $(x_2, y_2) = (1, 1)$ denote the training data set
- We train a primal hard-margin SVM of the form $f_\theta(x) = \theta x + b$
- **Question:** What is the resulting solution for θ and b ?
 - $\theta = 0.5, b = 1$
 - $\theta = 4, b = -2$
 - $\theta = 1, b = -1$
 - $\theta = 2, b = -1$
 - $\theta = -1, b = 0$

Solution: Primal Hard-Margin SVM

- **Solution:** the optimization problem is

Find $\boldsymbol{\theta}, b$

such that $y_n(\mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\theta} + b) \geq 1$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

and $\frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2$ minimal

- Write down the constraints and plug in the values for the data points:

$$y_1(x_1\theta + b) \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (-1) \cdot (0 \cdot \theta + b) \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -b \geq 1$$

$$y_2(x_2\theta + b) \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 \cdot (1 \cdot \theta + b) \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta + b \geq 1$$

- Adding up the two final inequalities implies $\theta \geq 2$
- We see that for $\theta = 2$, $b = -1$ the constraints are satisfied. This is also the solution with minimum $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|$ (because of the condition $\theta \geq 2$)

Quiz: Dual Hard-Margin SVM

- Assume still the same problem setting and the same data set
- We now train a dual hard-margin SVM
- **Question:** what is the resulting dual parameter vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$?
 - $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 0$
 - $\alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = -2$
 - $\alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 2$
 - $\alpha_1 = -1, \alpha_2 = 1$
 - $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 1$

Solution: Dual Hard-Margin SVM

- **Solution:** the dual optimization problem is

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

subject to $\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0$ and $\alpha_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\text{where } \tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n \alpha_m y_n y_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$$

- We also know from the lecture the primal solution can be recovered by $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n^* y_n \mathbf{x}_n$

$$\Rightarrow \theta = \alpha_1 y_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = \alpha_1 \cdot (-1) \cdot 0 + \alpha_2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_2 = 2$$

- So the correct solution is $\alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 2$

Quiz: Primal Soft-Margin SVM

- Now assume any binary classification problem with instance space $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^M$
- When training a primal soft-margin SVM, we solve the optimization problem

Find $\mathbf{\theta}, b, \xi$

such that $\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{\theta}\|^2 + \gamma \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n$ minimal

with $y_n(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{\theta} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_n$ and $\xi_n \geq 0$

maximize margin

minimize margin violations

- **Question:** Given a data set \mathcal{D} , if we train a model with small γ and a model with larger γ , which of the two models will be more susceptible to overfitting?
 - The model with larger γ
 - The model with smaller γ
 - They are equally susceptible to overfitting
 - Cannot say anything based on the information provided

Solution: Primal Soft-Margin SVM

- **Solution:** the model with larger γ will be more susceptible to overfitting
- The easiest way to see this is by reformulating the problem as regularized loss minimization (Slide 21 in lecture):

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, b} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + \gamma \sum_{n=1}^N \max(0, 1 - y_n f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_n)) \\ &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, b} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \ell(f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_n), y_n) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2N\gamma} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2}_{\text{regularization weight}} \\ & \ell(f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_n), y_n) = \max(0, 1 - y_n f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_n)) \end{aligned}$$

- The larger the γ , the smaller the regularization weight