

75

(i) ابتدا باید از برای مشارکت دو میسیون طراح کرد. از موانع به شکل زیر است: به میسیون ها اجازه برای انتخاب بین دو شکل (در مثال ما دایره و مثلث) می‌دهیم. حل بدینسان انتخاب دو میسیون شروع به چابیز دادن به میسیون ها می‌کنیم و بعد از هر مرحله انتخاب میسیون دیگر را به میسیون مورد نظر نمایش می‌دهیم تا بتواند رفتار میسیون را در دو معنی پیش می‌کند. چابیز میسیون ها باید به معنای نزدیکی است به این نحو اگر میسیون یک همجاری کند اما میسیون دو نشانه میسیون دو 4 واحد آب سیاه چابیز و میسیون یک 5 واحد و به عکس اگر هر دو همجاری کنند هر کدام 3 واحد و اگر هیچ کدام همجاری نکنند هر دو 1 واحد چابیز می‌گیرند چگونگی آن به شرح زیر می‌باشد که همجاری متناظر با انتخاب رایز و عدم همجاری متناظر با مثلث است

C D

میسیون 2

2.

	C	D
C	(3, 3)	(0, 4)
D	(4, 0)	(1, 1)

باینترنت نامه بنمایم جواب دادن به این سوال هستیم که آیا درک و دانش احساس گذشته‌های غفلت
به یادرام‌های شخصی متفاوت گلیان است یا خیر و همچنین اینکه رفتار و ذهن (همچنین خود و ندرت‌ها)
باینترنت حساب‌ها به این یادرام‌ها دارند یا نه.
برای پاسخ به سوالات بالا دو نکته آگاهی در نظر می‌گیریم.

نہایت اول:

۱. اول: درک و پاسخ احسانی گونه صاحب برادر ایم حاضر یارنده مکین است.

H₁: دیک و بیج
H₂: ایسی گونه مایه بزرگتر است

2. 15

ت رزم :
: اینج هار و قاری دروان شانس از جمله حورون ها نورون ها به یازدهم حکایت است.
: " " " " " " " " " " " "

:

: اربع حار و صافى در دال سے اس امر مجھے
: " " " " " "

2 b we have more than two variable, and data has drawn independently from Distribution, Condition checked for ANOVA Test.

$H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B = \mu_C \Rightarrow$ 3 different diet affect weight equally.

H_A : at least one of Diets is different. level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$

Anova Table:

	Df	SumSq	Mean Sq	F-value	P-value
Class	2	71.1	35.55	6.197	0.003
Residuals	75	430.2	5.74		

	mean	n
Diet 1	3.3	24
Diet 2	3.02	27
Diet 3	5.15	27

$$SSQ' = \sum n_i (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = 71.1$$

$$SST - SSQ = SSE = 430.2$$

$$\frac{71.1}{2} \leftarrow \frac{SSQ}{Df_{Class}} = Mean Sq_{Class} = 35.55$$

$$\frac{430.2}{75} \leftarrow \frac{SSE}{Df_{Res}} = Mean Sq_{Res} = 5.74$$

$$F = \frac{35.55}{5.74} = 6.197 \Rightarrow Pvalue = 0.003$$

Null hypothesis is Rejected

at least there is one Diet which is significantly different.

2.e

I write test for one pair others are same.

$H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B \rightarrow$ two group doesn't differ significantly
and two diets has performed same

$\mu_A \neq \mu_B \rightarrow$ one diet has performed significantly
Different

(Rest of 2 are same)

$$\bar{x}_1 \text{ \& } \bar{x}_2 : t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{SE} = 0.411 \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Can not be rejected} \\ \text{Null hypothesis} \end{array}$$

$$\bar{x}_1 \text{ \& } \bar{x}_3 : t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_3}{SE} = 3.316 \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{two side } 0.004 < 0.05 \\ \checkmark \end{array}$$

$$\bar{x}_2 \text{ \& } \bar{x}_3 : t = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_3}{SE} = -2.8462 \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Null Reject} \\ \text{two side } 0.02 < 0.05 \\ \checkmark \\ \text{Null Rejected} \end{array}$$

1 $n = 100$

$$X = 38 ; \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

(3)

Expected	Actual
----------	--------

50	38
----	----

50	62
----	----

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(50 - 38)^2}{50} + \frac{(50 - 62)^2}{50} = 5.76$$

using R \rightarrow `pchisq(5.76, 1, lower.tail = FALSE)` = 0.016 \rightarrow p-value

b distribution of test statistic under Null hypothesis is χ^2 with one degree of freedom

c I have calculated p value using R, but using table we can see in first row, 5.76 is between 97.5% and 99% so our p-value using table is about 2%

d

Expected	Actual
----------	--------

$\frac{n}{2}$	X
---------------	-----

$\frac{n}{2}$	$n - X$
---------------	---------

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(X - \frac{n}{2})^2}{\frac{n}{2}} + \frac{(n - X - \frac{n}{2})^2}{\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(X - n/2)^2}{n/2} + \frac{(n/2 - X)^2}{n/2}$$

$$= \frac{4}{n} (X - \frac{n}{2})^2$$

As we can see it is increasing function of $|X - \frac{n}{2}|$

4

Sum Total Player : 359

g H_0 : Nothing is going on! there is no relation between month that someone is born and being a pro football player.

H_1 : Iranian people who born early in the year are more likely to be successful.

b 1. Independence : Samples are independent; ✓

1 randomly sample

2 $n < 10\%$ population

3 each case only contributes to one cell

2. Sample Size : Each particular cell has at least 5 expected case. ✓

c

Actual	147	110	52	50
Expected	85	93	93	88

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \frac{(147 - 85)^2}{85} + \frac{(110 - 93)^2}{93} + \frac{(52 - 93)^2}{93} + \frac{(50 - 88)^2}{88} = 80.2348$$

P-value ≈ 0

d I got same result in R \rightarrow P-value = 2.73×10^{-17}

e Since we got extremely small p-value, we reject

Null hypothesis with high certainty. So, there is a meaning full relation between birth month and being pro football player. Here P-value means there is very small prob that players having that birth date given there is no relation between birthdate and being pro player

Null hypothesis: IQ of EE and CE students are equal

Alternative: " " " " " " " " are ^{not} equal

Major	IQ	Rank
EE	125	9.5
EE	127	13.5
EE	126	11
EE	126	11
EE	105	7
EE	128	15
EE	127	13.5
EE	126	11
EE	79	5
EE	124	8
CE	131	17
CE	129	16
CE	77	4
CE	52	1
CE	134	20
CE	135	19
CE	94	6
CE	68	3
CE	67	2
CE	132	18

$$n_{EE} = n_{CE} = 10$$

$$T_{EE} = 104 \quad ; \quad T_{CE} = 106$$

$$U_1 = n_1 n_2 + \frac{n_1 (n_1 + 1)}{2} - T_1$$

$$U_1 = 51$$

$$U_2 = 49 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U = \min(U_1, U_2) \\ = 49 \end{array} \right.$$

$$B_u = \sqrt{\frac{n^2 \times (2n+1)}{12}} = \frac{8.57}{13.22}$$

$$P \text{ value} = 0.96$$

چون یہ جوڑی بریکس مارہ انجام ہے!

میرا کہ سرفہ نہیں جوڑی ہر

P value داریم در ترین شکل

کہ IQ لیان ہے . CE, EE

$$\sigma \propto X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad \theta = (\mu, \sigma)$$

$$P(x|\theta) \rightarrow \text{likelihood} \Rightarrow P(x_1, \dots, x_n|\theta)$$

$$\text{maximize } P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} P(x_1 | \mu, \sigma) \dots P(x_n | \mu, \sigma)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | \mu, \sigma)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{log likelihood}} \sum_{i=1}^n \log P(x_i | \mu, \sigma)$$

$$= -n \log \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \log e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = -n \log \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(x | \mu, \sigma) = -n \log \sqrt{2\pi} - n \log \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} = 0 \Rightarrow -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0 \Rightarrow +2n\mu = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^3} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -n\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma} = 0$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n}$$

$$\sigma_{ME} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

6.b) S is a random variable with $n-1$ IDF since we have n independent samples, and one should be subtracted because we are estimating one parameter which is mean. In other words, $n-1$ samples can vary and the n th sample is fixed because it can be obtained from μ .

6.c: First we calculate mean $\rightarrow \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$
 now estimated SE $\hat{s}^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}$

$$t_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{x}}{s}$$

after obtaining t ; we will find its value from t student distribution (with $n-1$ degree of freedom)
 then we will compare it with our significance level α

7.a: time ranks; since we have independent samples we use wilcoxon rank sum test

GP1

19	13
14.4	7
18.2	11
15.6	9
14.5	8
11.2	2
13.9	6
11.6	3.5
12.1	5

GP2

19.1	14
11.6	3.5
21.0	16
16.7	10
10.1	1
18.3	12
20.5	15

$$T_1 = 59.5$$

$$U_1 = n^2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - T_1 = 40.5$$

$$\min(U_1, U_2) = 23.5$$

$$T_2 = 76.5$$

$$U_2 = n^2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - T_2 = 23.5$$

$$\mu_u = \frac{n^2}{2} = 32 ; \sigma_u = \sqrt{\frac{n^2(2n+1)}{12}} = 9.522$$

$$Z = \frac{U - \mu_u}{\sigma_u} = -1.822$$

$$U = 23.5 ; n = 8 \Rightarrow 23.5 > 13$$

we can not Reject Null Hypothesis
P-value = 0.4

b

$$W_A = 59.5$$

$$W_B = 76.5$$

$$U_A = 59.5 - \frac{8 \times 9}{2} = 59.5 - 36 = 23.5$$

$$U_B = 76.5 - 36 = 40.5$$

$$\min(U_A, U_B) = 23.5$$

$$\text{willcox}(8, 8) = 13$$

} we cannot Reject

c

For smoothly combining two sets we can fit a Gaussian Kernel for each data point. We can see our distribution has less uncontinuity. Though we have to decide about kernel size, for smoother approximation we use bigger kernel size and if we have relatively lots of data points we can use smaller kernel size.

Q Since we have two dependent samples, and we want to know whether show was effective or not; Wilcoxon Signal Rank Test is used.

Before	After	Diff	Rank
60	58	2	1.5
56	58	-2	-1.5
80	83	-3	-3.5
73	67	6	6
14	17	-3	-3.5
32	36	-4	-5

$$W^+ = 7.5$$

$$W^- = 7.5 + 1.5 = 13.5 \Rightarrow W \text{ value} = 7.5$$

For significance level 0.05 \rightarrow one tail: $\alpha = 2$
two tail: $\alpha = 0$

Null hypothesis: Central tendency of their opinion has not changed.

Alternative hypothesis: Central " " " " has changed.

Note: In question has been asked "determine is there a significant increase in number of supporters" so one tail test should be used, But the data shows that talk may reduce his supporters so two tail test is been used.

8 continued

$$W_{stat} > \alpha|_{0.05} \rightarrow \text{we don't reject Null hypothesis}$$

we cannot approximate with normal since number of observation is not enough: $p \approx 0.6$

b implementation in R has been attached with files

* I got same Result

c

Before	After	Diff	R
78	65	13	7
50	20	30	8
40	50	-10	-4.5
49	8	32	9
20	16	4	1
50	44	6	3
50	38	12	6
50	40	10	4.5
50	45	5	2

$$W^T = 40.5$$

$$W^- = 4.5 \Rightarrow W_{stat} = 4.5$$

Null Hypothesis: Central tendency of their opinion has not changed.

Alternative " : " " " " " has changed.

for $\alpha = 0.05$ and two tail test $W|_{N=9} = 5$

$$4.5 < 5 \rightarrow \text{Result is significant.}$$

$$0.03 = p < 0.05$$

8 d No we can not Relate the results since they are two independent tests; *

10 a Chi² test for independence is good in order to show relation between two groups. Since we have two categorical groups and we want to know the relation

10 b No. In order to find causal relationship we need to notice two steps:

1 random sampling

2 Experiment setup

if we sample from hospital, there is higher chance to sample people with bone disease or respiratory since we are in hospital! therefore we can not take conclusion from hospitalized people that bone disease has correlation with Respiratory disease. General population is better to experiment.