Intruduction to Machine Learning Dr S.Amini



department: Electrical Engineering

Amirreza Velae 400102222 github repository

Homework 1

April 17, 2023

Intruduction to Machine Learning,

Homework 1

Amirreza Velae 400102222 github repository

Correlation, Causality, and Independence

Let $X \sim \text{Uniform}(-1,1)$, and $Y = X^2$. Clearly, X and Y aren't independent. (Actually, they have a causation property!). Show that even though they are dependant, they are uncorrelated, which means X, Y = 0.

solution

to show that two random variables are dependant, we need to show that they are not independent. First we observe Y's distribution.

$$F_{x}(x) = \frac{x+1}{2} & & f_{X}(x) = \frac{1}{2} & \forall x \in [-1,1] & & f_{Y}(y) = \sum_{x:h(x)=y} \frac{f_{X}(x)}{|h'(x)|}$$

$$\implies f_{Y}(y) = \frac{f_{X_{1}}(x_{1})}{\left|\frac{d\sqrt{x_{1}}}{dx_{1}}\right|} + f_{Y}(y) = \frac{f_{X_{2}}(x_{2})}{\left|\frac{d\sqrt{x_{2}}}{dx_{2}}\right|}, \quad x_{1} = \sqrt{y}, \quad x_{2} = -\sqrt{y}$$

$$\implies f_{Y}(y) = \frac{1}{2|2\sqrt{y}|} + \frac{1}{2|-2\sqrt{y}|} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} & \forall y \in [0,1]$$

$$P(Y = y, X = x) = P(Y = x^{2}, X = x)$$

$$\neq P(Y = y)P(X = x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{y}} & \forall y \in [0,1] & & \forall x \in [-1,1]$$

Now we show that X and Y are not correlated; that is, the correlation coefficient is zero (i.e., $\rho_{X,Y} = 0$). To show that X and Y are not correlated, we need to show that covariance is zero(i.e., $Cov(X,Y) = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = 0$).

$$Cov(X,Y) = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

$$E[XY] = E[E[XY|X]] = E[XE[Y|X]] = E[X^3] = \int_{-1}^{1} x^3 \frac{1}{2} dx = 0$$

$$E[X] = \int_{-1}^{1} x \frac{1}{2} dx = 0 , \quad E[Y] = \int_{0}^{1} y \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} dy = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{y}{\sqrt{y}} dy = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\implies Cov(X,Y) = 0 \implies \rho_{X,Y} = 0$$

Dr S.Amini Page 1 of 8

Markov-Chain Gaussians

We write $X \to Y \to Z$ and say that X, Y, and Z form a Markov chain when we have: $X|Y \perp Z|Y$ which also means $P_{X,Z|Y}(z,x|y) = P_{X|Y}(x|y)P_{Z|Y}(z|y)$. For three Gaussians variables with the preceding property, compute $\rho_{X,Z}$ in terms of $\rho_{X,Y}$ and $\rho_{Y,Z}$.

solution

Correlation covariance is defined as: $\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{Cov(X,Z)}{\sqrt{Var(X)Var(Z)}}$. So:

$$\rho_{X,Z} = \frac{Cov(X,Z)}{\sqrt{Var(X)Var(Z)}} = \frac{E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]}{\sqrt{Var(X)Var(Z)}} = \frac{E[E[XZ|Y]] - E[X]E[Z]}{Var(X)Var(Z)}$$

$$\frac{E[E[X|Y]E[Z|Y]] - E[X]E[Z]}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}$$

From the formula that is given in the HW:

$$E[X|Y] = \mu_X + \frac{Cov(X,Y)}{Var(Y)}(Y - \mu_Y) = \mu_X + \rho_{X,Y}\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y)$$

$$E[Z|Y] = \mu_Z + \frac{Cov(Z,Y)}{Var(Y)}(Y - \mu_Y) = \mu_Z + \rho_{Z,Y}\frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y)$$

$$\implies E[E[X|Y]E[Z|Y]] = E[(\mu_X + \rho_{X,Y}\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y))(\mu_Z + \rho_{Z,Y}\frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y))]$$

$$= \mu_X\mu_Z + E[\mu_X\rho_{X,Y}\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y) + \mu_Z\rho_{Z,Y}\frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y) + \rho_{X,Y}\rho_{Z,Y}\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}\frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y}(Y - \mu_Y)^2]$$

$$= \mu_X\mu_Z + (\mu_X\rho_{X,Y}\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y} + \mu_Z\rho_{Z,Y}\frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y})(E[Y] - \mu_Y) + \rho_{X,Y}\rho_{Z,Y}\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}\frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y}Vay(Y)$$

$$= \mu_X\mu_Z + \rho_{X,Y}\rho_{Z,Y}\sigma_X\sigma_Z$$

So:

$$\rho_{X,Z} = \frac{E[E[X|Y]E[Z|Y]] - E[X]E[Z]}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = \frac{\mu_X \mu_Z + \rho_{X,Y} \rho_{Z,Y} \sigma_X \sigma_Z - \mu_X \mu_Z}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = \rho_{X,Y} \rho_{Z,Y}$$

Sensor Fusion

Imagine the temperature is a fixed number z (which we know nothing about. You can model it with $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, +\infty)$). We have two sensors, in which the temperature is measured with noise. The variance of noise for each of them is known and it's v_1 and v_2 respectively. Suppose we make n_1 observation from the first sensor, each given by $\{Y_1^{(i)}\}_{i=0}^{n_1}$ and n_2 observation of the second sensor given by $\{Y_2^{(i)}\}_{i=0}^{n_2}$. Consider all of these observations to be shown as a set called \mathcal{D} , Using the given variances, find $p_{Z|\mathcal{D}}(z|\mathcal{D})$ and estimate Z using its mean.

Dr S.Amini Page 2 of 8

solution

Assume the following items:

- $\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^L$: Unknown vector
- $\mathbb{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^D$: Noisy measurements
- The following distributions hold:

$$p(z) = \mathcal{N}(z|\mu_z, \Sigma_z)$$

$$p(y|z) = \mathcal{N}(y|Wz + b, \Sigma_y), W \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L}, b \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Then:

• Joint distribution p(z,y) = p(z)p(y|z) is a L+D dimensional Gaussian with the following parameters:

$$\mu_{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_z \\ W \mu_z + b \end{bmatrix}, \ \Sigma_{=} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_z & \Sigma_z W^T \\ W \Sigma_z & \Sigma_y + W \Sigma_z W^T \end{bmatrix}$$

• Using Bayes rule, the posterior p(z|y) is also L dimensional Gaussian with the following parameters:

$$\Sigma_{z|y}^{-1} = \Sigma_z^{-1} + W^T \Sigma_y^{-1} W$$

$$\mu_{z|y} = \Sigma_{z|y} [W^T \Sigma_y^{-1} (y - b) + \Sigma_z^{-1} \mu_z]$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \& \ y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ Y_1^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \& \ y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} Y_2^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ Y_2^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \& \ W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The $\{Y_1^{(i)}\}_{i=0}^{n_1}$ and $\{Y_2^{(i)}\}_{i=0}^{n_2}$ are independent, therfore they are uncorrelated. So:

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{Y_1}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{Y_2}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 * I_{n_1 \times n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 * I_{n_2 \times n_2} \end{bmatrix} \implies \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{v_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{v_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{v_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies \Sigma_{z|\mathcal{D}}^{-1} = \Sigma_z^{-1} + W^T \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1} W = n_1 * \frac{1}{v_1} + n_2 * \frac{1}{v_2} = \frac{n_1}{v_1} + \frac{n_2}{v_2}$$

Dr S.Amini Page 3 of 8

$$\mu_{z|\mathcal{D}} = \Sigma_{z|\mathcal{D}}[W^T \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(\mathcal{D} - b) + \Sigma_{z}^{-1} \mu_{z}] = \frac{1}{\frac{n_{1}}{v_{1}} + \frac{n_{2}}{v_{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{v_{1}} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{v_{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{v_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{n_{1}v_{2} + n_{2}v_{1}} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{1}} & \frac{1}{v_{1}} & \dots & \frac{1}{v_{2}} & \frac{1}{v_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} Y_{1}^{(0)} \\ Y_{1}^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ Y_{2}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{n_{1}v_{2} + n_{2}v_{1}} \times (\frac{Y_{1}^{(0)}}{v_{1}} + \dots + \frac{Y_{2}^{(1)}}{v_{2}})$$

$$= \frac{v_1 v_2}{n_1 v_2 + n_2 v_1} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_1} & \frac{1}{v_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{v_2} & \frac{1}{v_2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^{(0)} \\ Y_1^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ Y_1^{(n_1 - 1)} \\ Y_2^{(0)} \\ Y_2^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ Y_2^{(n_2 - 1)} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{v_1 v_2}{n_1 v_2 + n_2 v_1} \times (\frac{Y_1^{(0)}}{v_1} + \dots + \frac{Y_2^{(1)}}{v_2})$$

$$=\frac{1}{n_1v_2+n_2v_1}[(n_1v_2)E[Y_1]+(n_2v_1)E[Y_2]]=\frac{1}{n_1v_2+n_2v_1}[v_2n_1\mu_{Y_1}+v_1n_2\mu_{Y_2}]$$

Pseudo Inverse

Assume that matrix A has an SVD decomposition $A = U\Sigma V^{\top}$. We define the pseudo inverse of A as $A^{\dagger} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^{\top}$. Prove the followings:

• if A has a full row rank, then $A^{\dagger} = A^{\top} (AA^{\top})^{-1}$.

Soloution

$$A^{\top} = V \Sigma^{\top} U^{\top} \implies A A^{\top} = U \Sigma V^{\top} V \Sigma^{\top} U^{\top} = U \Sigma^{2} U^{\top}$$

$$A^{\top} (A A^{\top})^{-1} = A^{\top} U \Sigma^{-2} U^{\top} = V \Sigma^{\top} U^{\top} U \Sigma^{-2} U^{\top} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^{\top}$$

$$\implies A^{\dagger} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^{\top} = A^{\top} (A A^{\top})^{-1}$$

• if A has a full column rank, then $A^{\dagger} = (A^{\top}A)^{-1}A^{\top}$.

Soloution

$$A^{\top} = V \Sigma^{\top} U^{\top} \implies A^{\top} A = V \Sigma^{\top} U^{\top} U \Sigma V^{\top} = V \Sigma^{2} V^{\top}$$
$$(A^{\top} A)^{-1} A^{\top} = V \Sigma^{-2} V^{\top} V \Sigma^{\top} U^{\top} = V \Sigma^{-2} V^{\top} U \Sigma U^{\top} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^{\top}$$
$$\implies A^{\dagger} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^{\top} = (A^{\top} A)^{-1} A^{\top}$$

Dr S.Amini Page 4 of 8

Eigenvalues

We show the eigenvalues of the square matrix A by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Prove the following:

$$Tr\{A\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

Soloution

By spectral decomposition, we can write $\text{Tr}(A=P'\Lambda P)=\text{Tr}(\Lambda PP')$, where P is an orthogonal matrix and Λ is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of A on the diagonal. Therefore, we have:

$$Tr\{A\} = Tr\{P'\Lambda P\} = Tr\{\Lambda P P'\} = Tr\{\Lambda\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

$$det\{A\} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

Soloution

Write
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Also let the n eigenvalues of A be $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Finally let the characteristic polynomial of A be $p_A(x) = \det(A - xI) = \lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0$.

Note that since the eigenvalues of A are the zeros of $P(\lambda)$, this implies that $p_A(\lambda)$ can be factorized as $p_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1) \dots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$.

Consider the constant term of $p(\lambda)$, c_0 . The constant term of $p(\lambda)$ is given by p(0), which can be calculated in the following two ways:

$$- P(0) = (0 - \lambda_1) \dots (0 - \lambda_n) = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n.$$

$$- p(0) = \det(A - 0I) = \det(A) = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n.$$

Therefore, we have $Tr\{A\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Suppose we have a random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$. All elements are assumed to be iid random variables. Assume that we have an observation x. We want to fit a probability distribution to this data and we are going to use the maximum likelihood for that.

Bernoulli random variable

Assume that each X_i is a Bernoulli random variable, i.e., $p_{X_i}(x_i) = \theta^{x_i}(1-\theta)^{1-x_i}$. Also assume that we have observed m ones and k zeros. Find the distribution parameter θ .

Dr S.Amini Page 5 of 8

Soloution
$$\hat{\theta}_{mle} = \underset{\theta}{argmax} p(x|\theta)$$

$$\log p(x|\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta + \log \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1-\theta)$$

$$= m \log \theta + k \log(1-\theta)$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p(x|\theta) = \frac{m}{\theta} - \frac{k}{1-\theta} = 0$$

$$\implies \theta = \frac{m}{m+k}$$

$lue{}$ Exponential random variable

Assume that each X_i is a Exponential random variable, i.e., $p_{X_i}(x_i) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \mathbf{1}\{x_i \geq 0\}$. Also assume that all x_i values are positive. Find the exponential parameter λ .

Soloution
$$\hat{\lambda}_{mle} = \underset{\lambda}{argmax} p(x|\lambda)$$

$$\log p(x|\lambda) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}$$

$$= m \log \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log p(x|\lambda) = \frac{m}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = 0$$

$$\implies \lambda = \frac{m}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i}$$

Normal random variable

Assume that each X_i is a Normal random variable, i.e., $p_{X_i}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$. Find the mean and variance of the distribution.

Dr S.Amini Page 6 of 8

Soloution

$$\hat{\mu}_{mle} = \underset{\mu}{argmax} p(x|\mu)$$

$$\log p(x|\mu) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = -\frac{m}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log p(x|\mu) = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu) = 0$$

$$\implies \mu = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{mle} = \underset{\sigma}{argmax} p(x|\sigma)$$

$$\log p(x|\sigma) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = -\frac{m}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log p(x|\sigma) = \frac{m}{2\sigma^3} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

$$\implies \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

A Tiny Bit of Vector Differentiation

Prove the following differentiation formulas. These formulas will be useful throughout the course.

 $\mathbf{\nabla}_X(a^{\mathsf{T}}X) = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}]^{\mathsf{T}}(a^{\mathsf{T}}X) = a^{\mathsf{T}}$

Soloution

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a^{\top}X) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^d a_j x_j = a_i$$

$$\implies \nabla_X(a^{\top}X) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right]^{\top} (a^{\top}X) = a^{\top}$$

 $\nabla_X(X^{\top}AX) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right]^{\top}(X^{\top}AX) = x^{\top}(A + A^{\top}) = (A + A^{\top})X$

Dr S.Amini Page 7 of 8

Soloution
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(X^{\top}AX) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d x_j a_{jk} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik} x_k + \sum_{j=1}^d x_j a_{ji}$$
Also:
$$\sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik} x_k + \sum_{j=1}^d x_j a_{ji} = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik} x_k + \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij} x_j = \sum_{k=1}^d x_k a_{ik} + \sum_{j=1}^d x_j a_{ji}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_X (X^{\top}AX) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right]^{\top} (X^{\top}AX) = x^{\top} (A + A^{\top}) = (A + A^{\top})X$$

End of Homework 1

Dr S.Amini Page 8 of 8