

to which they point should not be modified), they should be assigned only to pointer variables that are also declared to be `const`.

Although this version of `compare_parts` works, most C programmers would write the function more concisely. First, notice that we can replace `p1` and `q1` by cast expressions:

```
int compare_parts(const void *p, const void *q)
{
    if (((struct part *) p)->number <
        ((struct part *) q)->number)
        return -1;
    else if (((struct part *) p)->number ==
            ((struct part *) q)->number)
        return 0;
    else
        return 1;
}
```

The parentheses around `((struct part *) p)` are necessary; without them, the compiler would try to cast `p->number` to type `struct part *`.

We can make `compare_parts` even shorter by removing the `if` statements:

```
int compare_parts(const void *p, const void *q)
{
    return ((struct part *) p)->number -
           ((struct part *) q)->number;
}
```

Subtracting `q`'s part number from `p`'s part number produces a negative result if `p` has a smaller part number, zero if the part numbers are equal, and a positive result if `p` has a larger part number. (Note that subtracting two integers is potentially risky because of the danger of overflow. I'm assuming that part numbers are positive integers, so that shouldn't happen here.)

To sort the `inventory` array by part name instead of part number, we'd use the following version of `compare_parts`:

```
int compare_parts(const void *p, const void *q)
{
    return strcmp(((struct part *) p)->name,
                  ((struct part *) q)->name);
}
```

All `compare_parts` has to do is call `strcmp`, which conveniently returns a negative, zero, or positive result.

Other Uses of Function Pointers

Although I've emphasized the usefulness of function pointers as arguments to other functions, that's not all they're good for. C treats pointers to functions just like pointers to data: we can store function pointers in variables or use them as ele-