```
size_t strlen(const char *s)
{
    size_t n = 0;

    for (; *s != '\0'; s++)
        n++;
    return n;
}
```

Next, we notice that the condition $*s != ' \setminus 0'$ is the same as *s != 0, because the integer value of the null character is 0. But testing *s != 0 is the same as testing *s; both are true if *s isn't equal to 0. These observations lead to our next version of strlen:

```
size_t strlen(const char *s)
{
    size_t n = 0;

    for (; *s; s++)
        n++;
    return n;
}
```

But, as we saw in Section 12.2, it's possible to increment and test *s in the same expression:

```
size_t strlen(const char *s)
{
    size_t n = 0;
    for (; *s++;)
        n++;
    return n;
}
```

Replacing the for statement with a while statement, we arrive at the following version of strlen:

```
size_t strlen(const char *s)
{
    size_t n = 0;
    while (*s++)
        n++;
    return n;
}
```

Although we've condensed strlen quite a bit, it's likely that we haven't increased its speed. Here's a version that *does* run faster, at least with some compilers:

```
size_t strlen(const char *s)
{
  const char *p = s;
```