can simply move the declaration of `i` outside `f` so that `i` has file scope.) What's confusing about this entire business is that each declaration or definition of `i` establishes a different scope; sometimes it's file scope, and sometimes it's block scope.

***Q: Why can't `const` objects be used in constant expressions? `const` means "constant," right? [p. 466]**

A: In C, `const` means "read-only," not "constant." Let's look at a few examples that illustrate why `const` objects can't be used in constant expressions.

To start with, a `const` object might only be constant during its *lifetime*, not throughout the execution of the program. Suppose that a `const` object is declared inside a function:

```
void f(int n)
{
  const int m = n / 2;
  ...
}
```

When `f` is called, `m` will be initialized to the value of `n / 2`. The value of `m` will then remain constant until `f` returns. When `f` is called the next time, `m` will likely be given a different value. That's where the problem arises. Suppose that `m` appears in a `switch` statement:

```
void f(int n)
{
  const int m = n / 2;
  ...
  switch (...) {
    ...
    case m: ...   /*** WRONG ***/
    ...
  }
  ...
}
```

The value of `m` won't be known until `f` is called, which violates C's rule that the values of case labels must be constant expressions.

Next, let's look at `const` objects declared outside blocks. These objects have external linkage and can be shared among files. If C allowed the use of `const` objects in constant expressions, we could easily find ourselves in the following situation:

```
extern const int n;
int a[n];    /*** WRONG ***/
```

`n` is probably defined in another file, making it impossible for the compiler to determine `a`'s length. (I'm assuming that `a` is an external variable, so it can't be a variable-length array.)