doesn't matter whether we use stack1.c or stack2.c to implement the stack module. Both versions match the module's interface, so we can switch from one to the other without having to make changes elsewhere in the program.

19.3 Abstract Data Types

A module that serves as an abstract object, like the stack module in the previous section, has a serious disadvantage: there's no way to have multiple instances of the object (more than one stack, in this case). To accomplish this, we'll need to go a step further and create a new type.

Once we've defined a Stack type, we'll be able to have as many stacks as we want. The following fragment illustrates how we could have two stacks in the same program:

```
Stack s1, s2;

make_empty(&s1);

make_empty(&s2);

push(&s1, 1);

push(&s2, 2);

if (!is_empty(&s1))

    printf("%d\n", pop(&s1)); /* prints "1" */
```

We're not really sure what s1 and s2 are (structures? pointers?), but it doesn't matter. To clients, s1 and s2 are *abstractions* that respond to certain operations (make_empty, is empty, is full, push, and pop).

Let's convert our stack.h header so that it provides a Stack type, where Stack is a structure. Doing so will require adding a Stack (or Stack *) parameter to each function. The header will now look like this (changes to stack.h are in **bold**; unchanged portions of the header aren't shown):

```
#define STACK_SIZE 100

typedef struct {
   int contents[STACK_SIZE];
   int top;
} Stack;

void make_empty(Stack *s);
bool is_empty(const Stack *s);
bool is_full(const Stack *s);
void push(Stack *s, int i);
int pop(Stack *s);
```

The stack parameters to make_empty, push, and pop need to be pointers, since these functions modify the stack. The parameter to is_empty and is_full doesn't need to be a pointer, but I've made it one anyway. Passing these functions a Stack pointer instead of a Stack value is more efficient, since the latter would result in a structure being copied.