

Improved Training of Wasserstein GANs

published: 2017-05

authors: Montreal Institute for Learning Algorithms

We find that these problems are often due to the use of **weight clipping** in WGAN to enforce a Lipschitz constraint on the critic, which can lead to pathological behavior.

Gradient penalty

$$L = E_{x \sim p_q}[D(x)] - E_{x \sim p_r}[D(x)] + \lambda E_{x \sim P_x}[(
abla_x ||D(x)||_2 - 1)^2]$$

```
Algorithm 1 WGAN with gradient penalty. We use default values of \lambda = 10, n_{\text{critic}} = 5, \alpha = 10
0.0001, \beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 0.9.
Require: The gradient penalty coefficient \lambda, the number of critic iterations per generator iteration
      n_{\text{critic}}, the batch size m, Adam hyperparameters \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2.
Require: initial critic parameters w_0, initial generator parameters \theta_0.
 1: while \theta has not converged do
            for t = 1, ..., n_{\text{critic}} do
 2:
                  for i = 1, ..., m do
 3:
                        Sample real data x \sim \mathbb{P}_r, latent variable z \sim p(z), a random number \epsilon \sim U[0,1].
 4:
 5:
                       \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \leftarrow G_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z})
                       \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \leftarrow \epsilon \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \epsilon)\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}
 6:
                       L^{(i)} \leftarrow D_w(\tilde{x}) - D_w(x) + \lambda(\|\nabla_{\hat{x}}D_w(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2
                  end for
                  w \leftarrow \operatorname{Adam}(\nabla_w \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L^{(i)}, w, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)
            end for
10:
            Sample a batch of latent variables \{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^m \sim p(z).
11:
            \theta \leftarrow \operatorname{Adam}(\nabla_{\theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -D_{w}(G_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z})), \theta, \alpha, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2})
12:
13: end while
```