

## Edge detection

**Grad:** in first 't' its the max in " zero, **Img not continuous** so fit surf, compute grad for surf.  
**Description:** **Normal:** direction of the max intensity. **direction:** perpendicular to the normal  
**Strength:** speed of intensity variation across the edge (derivative in normal direction)

**Img.grad:** gradient magnitude unaffected by orientation, not directly usable in real  
 $I = |\partial I / \partial y|, \partial I / \partial x| / G = \sqrt{(\partial I / \partial y)^2 + (\partial I / \partial x)^2}$  |  $\theta = \arctan(\|\partial I / \partial y\| / \|\partial I / \partial x\|)$

**Noise:** more noise derivative less detect edges

**Fourier:** Differentiating emphasizes high freq therefore noise/(derivative) **Magnified Noise**,

derivative of F is not recognisable - **DFT** is discrete equivalent of 2D Fourier

2D function f is written as sum of sinusoids - **DFT** of f with g is product of g&IDFTs.

$e(2\pi i(u+xv))$  - **Frequency** =  $\sqrt{u^2+v^2+2}$  larger=more lines

- Removing high freq for smooth result:
- $u + v \geq T$  depict high frequencies.

**Convolution:** Faster because dg/dx precomputed (Kernel=gaussian, mask)

$$m * f(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^w \sum_{j=0}^h m(i,j)f(x-i, y-j)$$

-1 0 1	-1 0 1	-1 0 1
-1 0 1	-1 0 1	-1 0 1
-1 0 1	-1 0 1	-1 0 1

Pad with zeros

Filter operator

Gabor operator

**Gaussian:** Fast kernel separable small / integral=1. **big sigma:** broader, up robustness, degrade precision **sigma=0** approximate Dirac function DFT of Gaussian: DFT of a Gaussian is a Gaussian. **finite support:** Its width is inversely proportional to the original Gaussian

**Gaussians as Low-Pass Filters:** The Fourier transform of a convolution is the product of their Fourier transforms:  $F(g * f) = F(g)F(f)$  • If g is a Gaussian, so is F(g). • If g is broad, the support of F(g) is small. • support of  $(g * f)$  • no high-frequencies in  $g * f$ . Convolving with a Gaussian suppresses the high frequencies. **separable** (1D cov fast)

$$\iint g_1(u,v)f(x-u, y-v)dudv = \int g_1(u)\left(\int g_2(v)f(x-u, y-v)dv\right)du \quad g_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp(-x^2+y^2/2\sigma^2)$$

**Continuous Gaussian Derivatives:** Img ' computed by convolving with the ' of Gaussian

**Canny (Sig,T1,T2):** 1)Conv Grad strength/direction. 2)T Non Maxima

**Suppression:** Find local max pixel on grad direction, require check interpolated pixels p&r.

4)Hysteresis Thresholding-T: two T: high & low-pixel with edge strength above high

T is edge, below low T is not above the low threshold and next to edge is edge.

disadvantages: Choosing the right scale(sigma) is a difficult (small=more details)

advantages: Fast, Not data training needs

**Texture:** LIMIT / Non-local / Non trivial to measure/ Subject to deformations/Hard to characterize

Note: used in conjunction with effective Machine Learning technique.

/scale dependent phenomenon /Assign pixels whose texture  $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M * N}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{M-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} F(\mu,\nu)e^{-2\pi i(\mu x/\lambda + \nu y/\lambda)}$  is similar the same values to textural image.

**texel** represents the smallest graphical element repeat on texture, **Microstructures** define reflectance properties **quantities/statistics** of texture can be computed from gray/ color/ statistical less intuitive, more effective. **Creating Textural:** Each pixel, compute a feature vector using image patch or filters set. **Run** classification to assign texture value to each pixel. **Textural Metrics:** Spectral metrics: Fourier transform, Angular and radial bins in the Fourier domain capture the directionality and fluctuation speed of an image texture

**LINIT:** DFT on small patches create bad boundary effects. **Only applicable** for uniform over large areas/ can be improved by using wavelets, but only up to a point. **More** local metrics required

**Statistical Metrics:** intensity and color in a region /

**First order gray-level statistics:** • Statistics of single pixels in terms of histograms. •

Inensitive to neighborhood relationships/orientation of the underlying plane. **Edge Density** and **Direction:** Edge detection is first step . • number of edge pixels in a fixed-size region tell how busy that region. • directions of the edges also help characterize the texture. Edges per unit area. •  $\zeta = \text{gradient_magnitude}(p) \geq \text{threshold} \} / N$ ,  $N$ =unit area or region. **Edge magnitude/direction** histograms. • (HG, HT)

**Second Order Measures:** Histogram of the co-occurrence of particular intensity values in the image. • Specified in terms of geometric relationships between pixel pairs: Distance/ Orientation/ Contrast / Dissimilarity /

**Homogeneity / Energy / Uniformly / Angular second moment / P(i,j,d,θ) Frequency / Entropy** With a pixel with value  $j$  occurs distance d and orientation  $\theta$  from a pixel with value  $i$ .

Parameters choosing: • window size. • direction of offset, • offset distance, what channels to use, • what measures to use. • Can be addressed using Machine Learning.

**Filter Based Measures:** Represent textures using responses of filters collection. **appropriate** filter bank extract useful information such as spots and edges. **Traditionally** one or two spot filters and several oriented bar filters./

**Gaussian:** respond to horizontal and vertical edges, can be used to compute higher-order image derivatives. For every pixel, compute responses vector to each filter / **small scale** =local details/ **large scale** = larger details. **Gabor Filters:**

products of a Gaussian filter with oriented sinusoids, pairs of a symmetric filter and an anti-symmetric filter / **filter bank** is produced by varying the frequency, the scale, and the filter orientation

$G_{xy}(x,y) = \sin(k_x x + k_y y) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) \quad G_{yx}(x,y) = \cos(k_x x + k_y y) \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right)$

where  $k_x$  and  $k_y$  determine the spatial frequency and the orientation of the filter and  $\sigma$  determines the scale. Perform classification on output of Gabor: **Decision Forests**, **ConvNets** for every pixel a feature vector containing output of intermediate layers., **Feature Maps**(look very Gabor like, requires a large training), **U-Net**

## Shape from shading:

**I. Reflectance Maps A. Lambertian Reflectance** represent the relationship between intensity and the dot product of the light direction and the normal vectors. **Lambertian Reflectance model**, this relationship is linear, perfectly diffuse reflecting surface.

**II. Variational Methods** inverse 3D modeling, including the recovery of surface normals and the 3D surface itself.

**A. Inverse Variational** optimizes data fidelity, smoothness, integrability terms. It recover normals and recover the 3D surface.

**B. Direct Recovery** direct recover 3D instead first recovering normals. necessitates solving 2-order partial differential equation.

Both need certain boundary conditions to function properly.

**III. Variational to Deep:** Normal Map Stream allowed generation of depth and normal maps/ data-driven approach provides a means to handle complex behaviors and non-linearities more effectively.

**Ambiguities in 3D Modeling** 3D modeling, while powerful, comes with its share of challenges and ambiguities.

**I. Bas-Relief Ambiguity** Transform normals, not affect image , result in normals being non-integrable, good for Monge surfaces,

**II. Convex/Concave Ambig** : by known light , 3D shape ambiguous. convex/concave produce identical img, ambiguity surface.

**Making the Shape-from-Shading (SfS):** SfS made well-posed by leveraging perspective projection /radiance models /deep

**I. Perspective Projection and Radiance**

By using a perspective projection model and considering the distance to the light , the ambiguities in the SfS problem can be mitigated. makes the problem well-posed, albeit more computationally complex .also makes assumption of constant albedo.

**II. Leveraging Deep Learning** potential to overcome the limitations posed by the variational approach and the assumptions about albedo. This technology uses understand and learn from the data, offering a more robust solution to the SfS problem.

**Definition:**  $h(x, x_2) = -\sum g(x, k) + \sum (x_k, x, k+1) / r(x_k, k) + \delta(x, k+1)$

**Live Wire:** 1)define start/end points / Dynamic programming(N^2) / 1-D 1) Find min h(x, x\_2..) where :  $h(x, x_2..) = r(s, x_1) + \sum (r(x_i) + r(x_{i+1}))$

2)  $\underline{l}_1(x_2)=\min(r(s, x_1)+r(x_1, x_2), \underline{l}_2(x_3)=\min(r(x_2, x_3)+r(x_3, x_4)) \dots \underline{l}_n(x_N)=\min(r(x_{N-1}, x_N)+r(x_N, x_1))$

2-D: (start point), L (List active nodes), C(u,v) (local cost u>v), (v) (Total cost s>v): 1) init: d(s)<0 and d(u) < inf, for u,s, T=0, v=s

2) Loop: T< TU/V , for all v>u edges such u not in T, if  $d(v) + c(u, v) < d(u)$  then:  $d(u) \leftarrow d(u) + c(u, v)$  end end, v= argmin\_w notin T(d(w)

-Can be integrated for user correct mistakes. **Limits:** optimal path not the best, hard impose global constraints, cost grows exp with dim

Must often look for local as opposed to global optimum using gradient decent tools

**Snake:** Max the grad along curve, Min Energy -> can generalizes to handle sophisticated models/ **Embed curve in viscous mid to solve**

**Weighting coefficient**

$E = E_0 + 1/2X^t K X + 1/2Y^t K Y$

$X = [x_1, \dots, x_N]^t \quad Y = [y_1, \dots, y_N]^t \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

**Ribbon Snake:** the wrie don't change quickly  $E = E_0 + 1/2X^t K X + 1/2Y^t K Y + 1/2W^t K_W W$

**Level Set:** define  $T, z = Z(x)$ . Consider the as zero level set of a surface.  $f(x)$  is speed at surface deforms

$0 = (x, y) \in \partial \Omega$  properties: Converges towards circles. Total curvature decreases. Number of curvature extrema and zeros of curvature decreases. Relationship with Gaussian smoothing: Analogous to Gaussian smoothing of boundary over the short run, but does not cause self-intersections or overemphasize elongated parts. Can be implemented by Gaussian smoothing the function of a region.

**Hugo:** input:Canny edge points. Gradient magnitude and orientation. Given a parametric model of a curve. Map each contour point onto the set of parameter values for which the curves passes through it. Find the intersection for all parameter sets thus mapped.

**Algorithm:** Canny R-Table, possible reference points initialized to zero. For each edge point, Compute possible centers: for each table entry, compute  $x=\lambda x_0 + (1-\lambda)x_1$  and Increment the accumulator array / **R-table:** Set of potential displacement vectors  $a$  given the boundary orientation  $b$ . Notes: can perform least squares / Instead of indexing displacements by gradient orientation, index by "visual codeword" / Limitations: Computational cost grows exponentially with parameters number / works Only on shape that can be defined by small parameter number / Approach is robust but lacks flexibility.

**Graph:** Generate min distance graph  $O(N \log N)$ , works in different situations, **Node:** Pixel/ **Edges** between to pixels / **Min Span Tree**

Deep U-Net / AlexNet / Use same network to progressively refine the results keeping the number of parameters constant

**Delineation Steps:** 1. Compute a probability map. 2. Sample and connect the samples. 3. Assign weight to paths. 4. Retain the best paths. Human annotations: Correcting jointly train the network and adjust the annotations while preserving their topology.

**Short:** Edge is noisy / combination of graph-based techniques, machine learning, and semi-automated tools is required.

**Segmentation:** Same statistical properties -> same object / Help recognition, tracking, compression

**Hard:** basic image operations not suffice/ basic image operations do not suffice /not a Single Answer

Homogeneity: Uniform gray-level/RGB/TEX/motion/depth statistics, parametric surface can fitted.

**Region growing:** Algorithm: Pre: Labeled/ Unlabeled/ T , Given a set of regions A1, ... , An, let  $T = \{x \in \cup A_i \mid A_i \cap \cup A_j = \emptyset\}$ , the set of unlabeled pixels that are neighbors of already labeled ones,  $d$  be a metric, such as  $\delta(x) = |g(x) - \text{mean}(A_i)|g(y)|$ . Until all pixel labeled:

1. Represent T as a sorted list, the SSL 2. Label the first point in T.3. Add the neighbors to the SSL.

Limit: 1. Result depends in which pixels taken 2. homogeneity is noise sensitive

**Histograms:** similar pixels appear as bumps/ Split histogram at local-min / Label pixels according which bump

**Recursive Splitting:** Compute histogram/Smooth histogram/less peaks/find peaks separated by deep valleys/ Group pixels into connected regions/Smooth these regions/iterate(until no clear mins) **Limit:** T hard to choose

T Not always can be find in the histogram

**Local Histograms:** Compute local histograms on a coarse grid. • Use them instead of a global one.

limit not neighborhood relation / T=2, / gray- both side belong to same peak so **boundaries not found**

**Saturation His:** RGB,HSV, CIE LAB Color Space: three coordinates, one "brightness" two chromaticity.

**Alg:** Compute multiple his for each segment. • Use one to split each segment..• Repeat on result smaller segs.

**Segmentation Ke Clustering:** Each pixel has 2 spatial coordinates and 1 gray level or 3 color components.

• 1D space (G); 3D space (x,y,G), (H,S,V), (L,a,b); • 5D space (x,y,L,a,b). • each cluster compact as possible.

Given a set of input samples: • Group the samples into K clusters. • K is assumed to be known/given.

cluster has centroid( $\mu_k$ )=mean of points in that cluster. min sum squared distances to each point to centroid.

**ALG:** Randomly initialize centroids. Repeat until convergence: Assign points to nearest. Update the centroids.

Note: Works in any dimensional space. Sensitive to initial conditions; multiple initializations are advised.

**Application in Image Processing:** GRAY-LEVEL ONLY (1D): Clustering based on pixel intensity.

Color Only (3D): Clustering based on pixel colors/ XY + Color (5D): Spatial and color information combined to form superpixels. **Superpixels:** Homogeneous segments of an image. / Useful for reducing img complexity

**assigned probability:** statistics each superpixel. Train classifier to determine probability of superpixel

Use probabilities to generate segmentations using graph-based techniques or other methods.

**Graph:** Images -> graphs (G,V,E) with pixels = vertices (V) / edges (E) = weighted based pixel similarity.

Algorithm: **Graph Representation**

Parse img into a pixel value matrix. Treat pixel as a node in a graph. Draw edges between adjacent nodes.

**Graph-Cut:** total edge weight needed to cut graph into two parts. **Min-Cut:** cut of minimum weight

-Algorithm: **Min-Cut/Max-Flow:** Initialize flow to 0. Select two regions in the img define: source (S) & sink (T).

Treat each pixel as node, and connect them to S and T. Find a path from the source to the sink.

Add the path flow to the total flow. Repeat until no path from the source to the sink remains in the residual graph.

-Note The set of edges whose removal disconnects the source from the sink constitutes the Min-Cut.

This segmentation divides the image into two distinct regions based on pixel similarity.

**Trivial Cut:** This approach favors shorter cuts, potentially leading to bad solutions, must therefore be controlled.

**Interactive ST Min-Cut:** guessing initial linkages between S and T.  $E(y|x, \lambda) = \sum_i \psi(y_i|x_i) + \lambda \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \phi(y_j, y_i|x_j, x_i)$

-Object Classification and Loss Function Minimization

given set of supervoxels [y1,...,yn], E= negative log-likelihood of the labels being correct.

**Supervoxel Classification:** strong edges from supervoxels to: source high probabilities / weak edges low prob

**Classifier Training:** Develop classifiers to weight edges according to supervoxel class similarities.

**Loss Function Minimization:** Reduce negative log-likelihood for correct labels in a supervoxel set.

**Tri-class Modeling:** Achieve global optimum by categorizing objects as 'Inside', 'Boundary', or 'Everything else'.

**Graph-Cut on Standard Images:** Utilize 'Graph-Cut' for insights in regular images.

**Interactive Foreground Extraction:** Use K-means for color distributions and Graph cuts for iterative segmentation of foreground and background.

**I. Photometric Stereo:** technique utilized for estimating the shape of a surface by observing it under known lighting conditions. **II. Influence of Light Source** Quantity in Photometric Stereo

**III count of light sources** significantly affects the process of surface shape determination:

A. Single Light Source many potential normals for each image point, leading to ambiguities.

B. Two Light Sources: presence may decrease ,not completely resolve ambiguities.

C. Three Light Sources: good eliminate ambiguities, even when the albedo (reflectivity) unknown.

**III. Mathematical Formulation in Photometric Stereo** Lambertian model: represents intensity (I) as the product of albedo (a) and the dot product of light source direction (L) and normal vectors (N).

3-vector(M) estimated by solving a 3x3 linear system, transform this to over-constraint problem,

adding more light sources can make the solution more robust against noise.

**IV. Considerations for Shadows/Specularities:** **A. Handling Shadows** ,Shadowed pixels for a specific light bad for Lambertian model and reducing contributions because lower intensities.

**B. Accommodating Specularities** Specularities,mirror-like, reflectance map accommodating specularities more complex due to incorporation of both diffuse(scattered) & specular(mirror-like).

**V. Inferring Shape from Specularities** utilized to infer surface normals. effective for highly

specular or shiny objects ,specularities do not fully constrain all the degrees of freedom.can used to additional info/alternatively remove noise.

**assumptions:** constant albedo/ absence of interreflections/ shadows/ specularities/ In single image shape-from-shading most pronounced when it's used alongside other info sources.

**VII. Key Angles in Photometric Stereo**

**Angle of Incidence (i):** angle between the surface normal and the direction of the incident light ray.

**Angle of Emissance (e):** angle between the emitted light ray and the surface normal.

**Phase Angle (g):** Denotes the angle between the incident and emitted light rays.

**More than three light** increasing robustness against noise,each light providing further info solution

**IX. Lambertian + Specular Reflectance Map:** surfaces that exhibit both Lambertian and specular, representing a complex scenario.

**S-Specular** if object has glossy finish/mirrored surface, specularities give infer normals help info.

<b>Shape from Shading Steps:</b> <b>Image:</b> Use an image of a scene with known lighting conditions. <b>Model:</b> Assume a reflectance model for the objects in the scene. <b>Estimate:</b> Derive depth and surface orientation information from the intensity of the pixels.	<b>Ambiguities:</b> Repetitive patterns/ textureless/ occlusions cause problems. <b>Occlusions:</b> Some points are only visible in one image. They not matched. <b>Ignoring Occluded Pixels:</b> pixels haven't corresponding pixel in other image left-right consistency test. <b>Disparity Map:</b> Black pixels indicate no disparity. <b>Combine Disparity Maps:</b> Merge disparity maps and smoothing result map. <b>Solving Variational Problem:</b> Discretize integral and solve linear problem. <b>Real-T Implementation:</b> duplicated computations can be implemented (faster) <b>window size:</b> Speed is independent to w.s. <b>Small windows:</b> Good precision Sensitive to noise. <b>Large windows:</b> Diminished precision. Increased noise <b>Replace Normalized Cross Correlation:</b> Siamese nets designed to return a similarity score for potentially matching patches. <b>Comparative Results:</b> Improved performance on test data but how it generalizes to unseen imgs. no necessity for this test (not clear yet)	<b>3D Point Cloud:</b> Disparity map transform each triplet $(u, v, d)$ into 3D point $(x, y, z)$ , resulting in a 3D point cloud. <b>Merge the 3D point clouds:</b> dense models when have enough imgs. <b>Scale-Space Revisited:</b> Gaussian pyramid. Difference of Gaussians. <b>Fronto-Parallel Assumption:</b> disparity assumed to be same over correlation window, equivalent to constant depth. <b>Multi-view reconstruction setup:</b> Adjustment correlation window shapes to handle orientation. <b>Scene Flow:</b> Correspondences across cameras and across time. <b>Refining using Shape from Shading:</b> Shape-from-shading can be used to refine shape and provide high-frequency details. <b>Precision vs Baseline:</b> Beyond a certain depth stereo stops being useful. Precision is proportional to baseline length. <b>Short baseline:</b> good matches /few occlusions /poor precision <b>Long baseline:</b> harder match /more occlusions /precision. <b>4 cam:</b> more redundancy. <b>Multi-Camera:</b> 3 cam: robustness/precision. 4 cam: more redundancy. <b>Structured Light:</b> IR pattern and measures depth from its distortion. <b>Faces Low Resolution Videos:</b> No calibration data/little texture/difficult light. <b>Model Based Bundle Adjustment:</b> Adjusting PCA to minimize objective function to account for camera motion. <b>Graph Cut for Stereo:</b> Stereo is a labeling problem, use graph cut. <b>Assigning Edge Weights:</b> Assign a weight that is proportional $ I(x+1,y)-I(x,y) $ . <b>Minimizing the Objective Function:</b> Graph cut algorithm. Guarantees absolute minimum only when there are only two possible disparities. <b><math>\alpha</math>-Expansion:</b> Nodes having label different than $\alpha$ can either keep or switch to $\alpha$ . <b>Graph Cut Algorithm:</b> Start with an arbitrary labeling. Find the $\alpha$ -Expansion that minimizes the function. Update graph by adding /erasing edges. Quit when no expansion improves the cost. Induce pixel labels. <b>NCC vs Graph Cut:</b> Normalized correlation Graph Cut. <b>NCC vs Graph Cut:</b> Left image true disparities. Normalized correlation Graph Cut. <b>NCC vs Graph Cut - Strengths and Limitations:</b> method for depth recovery (real-time). Requires multiple views. Only to reasonably textured objects).	<b>3D Deformable Model Steps:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Initialize: Use a visual hull.</li> <li>Maximize: Aim for color and silhouette consistency, and smoothness.</li> </ul> <b>Advantages:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adaptable: Fits various shapes.</li> <li>Detailed: Captures intricate forms.</li> <li>Consistency: Offers color and silhouette uniformity.</li> </ul> <b>Disadvantages:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resource-Intensive: Requires more computational resources.</li> <li>Initialization Dependency: Needs a good initial estimate for proper convergence.</li> </ul> <b>Additional Points:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physics-Based: Often used for realistic deformation.</li> <li>Wide Use: Applied in medical imaging, graphics, etc.</li> <li>Smoothness: Helps create realistic models.</li> <li>Control Points: Direct deformation.</li> <li>Constraint Challenges: Like object volume preservation.</li> <li>Interactivity: Allows user manipulation.</li> <li>Iterative Refinement: Model refined over iterations!</li> </ul>
<b>Data term</b>	<b>Smoothness term</b>	<b>Integrability term</b>	
<b>Shape from Texture</b>			
<b>Shape from X:</b> Deals with surface orientation or shape recovery from image texture, based on texture deformation due to surface curvature.			
<b>Structural Shape Recovery:</b> Assumes texture resides on surface with no thickness, computation Perspective, Paraperspective, Orthographic projections.			
<b>Perspective Projection:</b> Involves pinhole geometry without image, distortion is isotropic, depends on depth and surface orientation.			
<b>Foreshortening:</b> Depth versus orientation principle, with object scaling and foreshortening as special cases.			
<b>Orthographic Projection:</b> Includes Tilt and Slant derived from image direction and compression extent.			
<b>Paraperspective Projection:</b> A generalization of orthographic projection involving parallel projection followed by scaling.			
<b>Texture Gradient:</b> Central to statistical shape recovery process.			
<b>Statistical Shape Recovery:</b> Measures texture density or the number of textual primitives per unit surface, assumes homogeneous texture.			
<b>Machine Learning:</b> Involves training a regressor for depth prediction, which may result in noisy predictions.			
<b>Markov Random Field (MRF):</b> Graph-based technique to enforce consistency.			
<b>Deep Learning with MRF:</b> Combines deep learning with MRF for consistency.			
<b>Enforcing Task Consistency:</b> Training networks to predict multiple things to increase robustness.			
<b>Diverse Training Database:</b> Very diverse training databases improve results.			
<b>Transformer Architecture:</b> Good at modeling long-range relationships, but flattening patches loses some information.			
<b>Strengths and Limitations:</b> Emulates an important human ability with limitations including regular texture requirement, strong assumptions, though deep learning can help weaken them.			
<b>Shape from Motion Steps:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Capture: Take multiple images of a scene from different viewpoints.</li> <li>Detect Features: Identify key features in each image.</li> <li>Match Features: Match features across images to track movement.</li> <li>Estimate Structure: Use matched features and camera movement to estimate the 3D</li> </ul>			
<b>1. Advantages:</b> Flexible: Works with unstructured image sets. Automatic: Estimates camera parameters autonomously. Cost-Effective: Cheaper 3D mapping option.			
<b>2. Disadvantages:</b> Dependent: Quality relies on identifiable image features. Scale Ambiguity: Absolute scale usually unknown.			
<b>3. Additional Points:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Photogrammetry Type: Constructs 3D from 2D images.</li> <li>Movement: Uses object or/and camera motion.</li> <li>Applications: Useful in archaeology, architecture, robotics.</li> <li>Bundle Adjustment: Optimization method for refining 3D positions.</li> </ul>			

- Triangulation:** A process of determining the location of a point by measuring angles to it from known points at either end of a fixed baseline.
- Geometric Stereo:** A technique used in computer vision to estimate depth information from two or more images taken from different points of view.
- Epipolar Geometry:** Refers to the geometric constraints between two views of a 3D scene. It defines the term **epipole**, which is the line on which the corresponding point must lie, and the **epipolar line**, which is the intersection of line joining optical centers and image plane.
- Calibration Grid:** A tool used to infer projection matrices and compute epipolar lines, often taking pictures of a grid with each camera to achieve this.
- Rectification:** A process that involves reprojecting images onto a common image plane, typically used to simplify the process of finding matching points between images.
- Disparity:** Disparity refers to the difference in the horizontal position of a point in two images, i.e., the left image and the right image. This difference is due to the slightly different viewing angles of the two cameras. **Disparity Proportional to depth** →
  - It can be represented mathematically as  $d = x - x'$ , where  $x$  and  $x'$  are the locations of the same point in the left and right images, respectively.
- Depth:** Depth, or depth map, is the distance from the camera to each pixel (point) in the image. It gives a sense of the third dimension (z-direction) in 2D images. In other words, depth provides information about how far an object is from the viewer or the camera.
  - The depth of a point can be calculated from its disparity, given the baseline distance ( $B$ ) between the two cameras and the focal length ( $f$ ) of the camera. The formula is  $Z = (B * f) / d$ .
- Correlation and Normalized Cross Correlation:** Measure of similarity between two signals, in this case, image patterns. Normalized cross correlation involves normalization to make the measure more robust to variations in intensity.
- Occlusions:** Areas in images that become hidden in another view. These regions pose a challenge as they cannot be matched in stereo vision.
- Window-Based Approach to Establishing Correspondences:** Involves comparing pixel windows between two images and selecting the best matching window based on a cost function.
- Variational Approach:** This is used for solving an optimization problem where the aim is to find the disparity map that minimizes a certain energy function.
- 3D Point Cloud:** Collection of data points in 3D space, which are typically produced by stereo imaging or lidar.
- Multi-view Reconstruction:** An approach that uses multiple images of a scene to reconstruct 3D information. It adjusts correlation window shapes to handle orientation.
- Short vs Long Baseline:** Short baselines offer good matches with few occlusions but poor precision, whereas long baselines are harder to match with more occlusions but offer better precision.
- Kinect: Structured Light:** A technique used by Kinect to determine depth information. It projects an infrared pattern and measures depth based on its distortion.
- Energy Minimization:** Method used to find the disparity map that results in the best match between images while maintaining smoothness. It often involves Graph Cut or other optimization techniques.
- Face Reconstruction:** Techniques used to construct a 3D model of a face from images, typically involving fitting a model to the image data.

<b>Sum of Squared Differences (SSD):</b>	$1/\text{Calculate SSD: } \text{SSD} = \sum (I_1(x) - I_2(x))^2 // 2$ Used for similarity measure in image//3 Lower SSD = Higher similarity. // 4 Minimize SSD over local window for disparity.
<b>Knowns:</b> Two images: $I_1, I_2$ . SSD formula. // <b>Unknowns:</b> Pixel location similarity. // <b>Goal:</b> Find image similarity via SSD. Minimize SSD locally for disparity map.	
<b>Fundamental Matrix F</b>	<b>Projection Matrix P</b>
<b>Epipolar constraint:</b> $[e_{11} \ e_{12} \ e_{13}] [x_r] = [e_{21} \ e_{22} \ e_{23}] [y_r]$	<b>Camera to Pixel</b> <b>World to Camera</b>
$[x_1 \ y_1 \ z_1] [e_{21} \ e_{22} \ e_{23}] [y_r] = 0$	$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & 0 & o_x \\ 0 & f_y & o_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \\ r_4 & r_5 & r_6 \\ r_7 & r_8 & r_9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ t_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
Rewriting in terms of image coordinates:	$\bar{u} = M_{ext} \bar{x}_c$ $\bar{x}_c = M_{ext} \bar{x}_w$
<b>Fundamental Matrix F</b>	Combining the above two equations, we get the full projection matrix $P$ : $\bar{u} = M_{int} M_{ext} \bar{x}_w = P \bar{x}_w$
$E = K_l^T F K_r$ $E = T_x R_q$	

