Home

PUBLIC

Stack Overflow

Tags

Users

Jobs

TEAMS

+ Create Team

Null Object Design Pattern in LinkedList

Ask Question

I am trying to Implement a doubly linked with null objects at the beginning and end of the list using null object design pattern. So an empty list will contain two null objects. So I wrote this code Does this follow null object design pattern? If not how can I achieve that. ANy suggestions will be appreciated.

Updated Code-

```
// Creating a doubly linked list.
        doubleLinkedList = new DoubleLinkedList();
    class DoubleLinkedList {
        private NewLink firstNode;
        private NewLink lastNode;
        private NewLink rootNode;
        public DoubleLinkedList() {
//So this satisfies my question that I have asked meaning null objects at
beginning and last node or something else I have to do.
           firstNode = NewLink.NULL_NODE;
           lastNode = NewLink.NULL_NODE;
    class NewLink {
        public String data;
        public NewLink nextPointer;
        public NewLink previousPointer;
public static final NewLink NULL NODE = new NewLink();
```

```
public NewLink(String id) {
            data = id;
public NewLink() {
        // Overriding toString method to return the actual data of the node
        public String toString() {
            return "{" + data + "} ";
java design-patterns null-object-pattern
                                             edited Jul 17 '15 at 15:51
                                                                          asked Feb 19 '12 at 10:46
                                                                          AKIWEB 5,917 45 139 226
                                                   160k 40 359 534
Is this your complete code ? – Sajan Chandran Feb 19 '12 at 10:54
```

I have updated the code. – AKIWEB Feb 19 '12 at 11:05

3 Answers

```
public static final NewLink NULL_NODE = new NewLink();
must be in NewLink class
SO
firstNode = NewLink.NULL_NODE;
secondNode = NewLink.NULL_NODE;
```

also you can make all methods from <code>NewLink</code> - abstract and make two nested classes: for <code>NULL</code> objects and for not <code>NULL</code> object. It's can be very helpful in difficult situations

edited Feb 19 '12 at 11:18

answered Feb 19 '12 at 11:07



i updated the code as per your suggestions. let me know if it is right now? - AKIWEB Feb 19 '12 at 11:10

It is fine now:) – Petar Minchev Feb 19 '12 at 11:13

No, your code doesn't implement Null Object Design Pattern. Its essence is not to use <code>null</code> but to create an object which will represent the <code>null</code>.

For example:

```
public static final NewLink NULL_NODE = new NewLink();
And then:
firstNode = NULL_NODE;
lastNode = NULL_NODE;
```

answered Feb 19 '12 at 10:52



I have updated the code. Let me know if it is right? - AKIWEB Feb 19 '12 at 11:04

Yep, that's the basic idea. – Petar Minchev Feb 19 '12 at 11:05

@Nevzz03 - See user1143825 answer for moving the definition to the other class. It is more logical. – Petar Minchev Feb 19 '12 at 11:09

We use Null object design pattern if we want to assign some default behaviors (or prevent some behaviors to happen as a default behavior) For example using Null object pattern we can replace this code:

```
if(myObj!=null)
myObj.DoSomething();
else
DoSomethingElse();

wtih this one:

myObj.DoSomething() //assuming that myObj can be a Null object (not a null reference) that has implemented DoSomethingElse when you ask it to DoSomething()
```

Thus a Null Object design pattern actually uses a default object reference (not a null reference)

answered Feb 19 '12 at 11:10

