Why Do We Divide by n-1 in Sample Variance?

When calculating **sample variance**, we use n-1 in the denominator instead of n to correct for bias in estimating the **population variance** from a sample. Here's the proper explanation:

1. Population Variance vs. Sample Variance

• **Population variance** (σ^2) is defined as:

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2}$$

where μ is the population mean and N is the population size.

When we don't have access to the entire population, we calculate the sample variance as an
estimate of the population variance.

2. Bias in Using n

- In a sample, the mean \bar{x} is calculated from the data, so it is closer to the data points than the true population mean (μ) .
- As a result, using n in the denominator systematically **underestimates the population** variance because the deviations from \bar{x} are smaller than the deviations from μ .

3. Bessel's Correction: Why n-1?

- To adjust for this bias, we divide by n-1 instead of n.
- This accounts for the fact that one degree of freedom is lost when calculating the sample mean \bar{x} , as the sample data are constrained to sum up to $n \cdot \bar{x}$.
- Mathematically, using n-1 makes the sample variance an **unbiased estimator** of the population variance:

$$E[s^2] = \sigma^2$$

4. Key Concept

- Dividing by n-1 increases the sample variance slightly, reducing the gap between the sample variance and the true population variance.
- It ensures the sample variance is neither too small nor systematically biased when used to estimate the population variance.

Summary

Using n-1 in the denominator for sample variance:

- 1. Adjusts for the bias caused by using the sample mean (\bar{x}) .
- 2. Ensures the sample variance is an **unbiased estimate** of the population variance.
- 3. Reflects the loss of 1 degree of freedom in the sample.