



# **Final Model Selection Justification Report**

Date	5 july 2025
Team ID	SWTID1750170729
Project Name	Deepfruitveg: Automated Fruit And Veg Identification
Maximum Marks	2 Marks

# 1. Objective

To justify the selection of the most optimal deep learning model architecture and configuration used for deployment based on empirical results, performance, scalability, and robustness.

### 2. Models Considered

Model Name	Description	Status
Simple CNN	Custom-built CNN with Conv-Pool-Dense layers	Discarded due to underfitting and limited accuracy
ResNet50	Transfer learning model with skip connections	Moderate results, slightly heavier, not chosen
EfficientN etB3 ☑	Pretrained ImageNet model optimized for performance and parameter efficiency	Selected for final deployment

### 3. Selection Criteria

Criteria	Description	
Accuracy	High validation accuracy and generalization across unseen data	
Top-K Accuracy	Reliable top-3 predictions improve usability for ambiguous classes	
Model Size  EfficientNetB3 is more lightweight compared to ResNet50 for similar accuracy		
Training Time	Moderate training time on Colab GPU; efficient compared to deeper models	
Scalability	Capable of being deployed to web/mobile platforms due to low size & latency	
Robustness	Performs well under various image conditions (lighting, occlusion, noise)	
Ease of Fine- tuning	I EtticientNet offers modular fraining with better convergence characteristic	





## 4. Final Model Summary

Parameter	Value	
Base Model	EfficientNetB3 (from tensorflow.keras.applications)	
Input Size	300×300×3	
Pooling	Global Average Pooling	
Custom Layers	$Dense(256,ReLU) \to Dropout(0.4) \to Dense(num\_classes,Softmax)$	
Optimizer	Adam (LR=1e-5)	
Loss Function	Categorical Crossentropy	
Metrics	Accuracy, Top-3 Accuracy	
Class Imbalance Handling	Class weights (computed using sklearn)	
Data Augmentation	Rotation, Flip, Zoom, Shift, Shear	
Callbacks	EarlyStopping, ModelCheckpoint	

#### 5. Performance Comparison (Summary)

Model	Validation Accuracy	Top-3 Accuracy	Notes
Simple CNN	~65%	~80%	Lacked complexity to handle fine classes
ResNet50	~82%	~93%	Good, but training slower and model heavier
EfficientNetB3  ✓	<b>~</b> 90.67 <b>%</b>	~98.22 <b>%</b>	Best trade-off between speed, accuracy, and size

#### 6. Conclusion

EfficientNetB3 was selected as the final model due to its:

- Superior accuracy and top-3 accuracy
- Efficient architecture with fewer parameters
- Ability to generalize well under augmented image scenarios
- Compatibility with real-time and mobile deployment

This model serves the project's goals of building a scalable, robust, and accurate fruit/vegetable classification system suited for agricultural and food processing industries.