The Limit Points of Eigenvalues of Graphs*

Michael Doob
Department of Mathematics
The University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada

Dedicated to Alan J. Hoffman on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

If $r \ge \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$ (τ is the golden mean), then there exists a sequence of graphs whose kth largest eigenvalues converge to r. If $r \le -(\tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2})$, then there exists a sequence of graphs whose kth smallest eigenvalues converge to r.

The study of the limit points of the eigenvalues of graphs was initiated by Alan Hoffman [5]. There he described all of the limit points of the maximum eigenvalues of graphs that are less than $\tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$. It turns out that the limit points for all graphs are just those of the maximum eigenvalues of trees. In [6] he showed that the limit points of the least eigenvalues of graphs that are greater than -2 are actually found by just considering trees. These limit points were also described in the paper by Dragoš Cvetković and Michael Doob [4] in their investigation of generalized line graphs. More recently, the work of Hoffman [5] was extended by James Shearer [7] to show that every real number $r \geqslant \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$ is the limit point of the largest eigenvalues of graphs. Here τ , as usual, is the golden mean.

In [5] Hoffman remarked, "On least eigenvalues, I can find all limit points ≥ -2 of least eigenvalues of graphs (and these are algebraic integers), but I know nothing about the range < -2. And I know nothing at all about limit points for eigenvalues other than the greatest and least." It seems appropriate in a volume dedicated to Alan Hoffman to consider these limit

^{*}This research has been supported by Grant A7547 of NSERC of Canada.

660 MICHAEL DOOB

points. We shall show that any $r \ge \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$ is the limit point of the kth largest eigenvalue of a graph, with a similar result for the kth smallest eigenvalue.

We shall use the Hoffman notation: for a graph G, we denote by $\lambda_1(G) \geqslant \lambda_2(G) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_n(G)$ the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of G in descending order, while $\lambda^1(G) \leqslant \lambda^2(G) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda^n(G)$ are the eigenvalues in ascending order. In addition, we denote by $T(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$ the tree formed by taking the path v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_k and attaching $n_j\geqslant 0$ vertices of degree one to the vertex v_j . $\mathcal{T}=\{T(n_1,\ldots,n_k)|k=1,2,\ldots\}$. These graphs are sometimes known as caterpillars. Shearer uses families of caterpillars which converge to certain limit points:

Theorem 1 [7]. If $r \ge \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$, then there exists a sequence of nonnegative integers $\{n_i | i = 1, 2, ...\}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\lambda_1(T(n_1,\ldots,n_t))=r.$$

We wish to extend Shearer's results from the case of the largest eigenvalue to that of the eigenvalues that are either the kth largest or kth smallest.

THEOREM 2. For any $k \ge 1$ and $r \ge \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$, there exists a sequence of nonnegative integers $\{n_i | i = 1, 2, ...\}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\lambda_k(T(n_1,\ldots,n_t))=r.$$

THEOREM 3. For any $k \ge 1$ and $r \le -(\tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2})$, there exists a sequence of nonnegative integers $\{n_i | i = 1, 2, ...\}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\lambda^k\big(T(n_1,\ldots,n_t)\big)=r.$$

In fact Theorem 3 will follow from Theorem 2, since our construction will only use trees in \mathcal{T} , and, since trees are bipartite, they have a symmetric spectrum (see [3], for example).

Proposition 4. Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_n$. H is formed by taking t copies of G, taking one additional vertex v, and arbitrarily adding edges joining v to the copies of G. Then λ_i is an eigenvalue of H with multiplicity (at least) t-1, and the remaining n+1 eigenvalues of H interlace the eigenvalues of G.

Proof. Let A(H) be the adjacency matrix; consider the principal submatrix formed by deleting the row and column corresponding to the vertex v.

The eigenvalues of this matrix consists of each λ_i with multiplicity t, and the eigenvalues of H must interlace these eigenvalues.

This simple result, surprisingly enough, is actually sufficiently strong to produce our first result.

COROLLARY 5. If $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda$, and H is connected, then $\lambda = \lambda_2(H) = \lambda_3(H) = \cdots = \lambda_t(H)$.

Since H is connected and G is a proper subgraph of H, it follows that $\lambda_1(G) < \lambda_1(H)$.

Corollary 6. If t is given, G_i is a sequence of graphs, and H_i is constructed from G_i as in Proposition 4, then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_1(G_i) = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_j(H_i) = r$$

for $j = 2, \ldots, t$.

Note that if G is a tree, then H may also be made a tree by appropriate choice of edges joining the new vertex. However, even if G is a tree in \mathcal{T} , H cannot be in \mathcal{T} unless t=1 or t=2. Nonetheless we can extend our result to show that Corollary 6 is still true if we restrict our attention to caterpillars.

If $T_1 = T(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ and $T_2 = T(n_1, \ldots, n_l)$, define $T_1 \circ T_2$ to be the graph $T(m_1, \ldots, m_k, 0, n_1, \ldots, n_l)$. It is clear that $T \circ T$ is a special case of the construction used for Proposition 4. Let T^k denote the product of k factors of T using the (obviously associative) \circ product. Clearly T^k is in \mathcal{T} .

LEMMA 7. For any $k \ge 1$,

$$\lambda_k(T^k) = \lambda_1(T).$$

The proof of Lemma 7 is an easy inductive argument using a slight variation of Proposition 4.

Proposition 8. Suppose $T_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for i = 1, 2, ... and $\lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i(T_i) = r$. Then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_k (T_i^k) = r.$$

662 MICHAEL DOOB

Hence the T_i^k , i = 1, 2, ..., form a sequence of graphs whose kth largest eigenvalues have r as a limit point.

There is more than can be said about the limit points of least eigenvalues. If T is a tree and v is a univalent vertex of T, then $\hat{A}(T, v) = K^T K - 2I$, where K is the vertex-incidence matrix of T with the row corresponding to v deleted.

Theorem 9. The real number r is a limit point of least eigenvalues of graphs if

- (i) $-2 \leqslant r \leqslant 0$ and $r = \lambda^{1}(\hat{A}(T, v))$,
- (ii) $\tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2} \le r \le 2$, and r is one of an infinite number of points of the form $-(\beta^{1/2} + \beta^{-1/2})$ (β is a particular type of algebraic integer), (iii) $r \le \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$.

Proof. The first conclusion is a result of A. J. Hoffman [6]; the second follows from the consideration of graphs G satisfying $2 < \lambda_1(G) \le \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$. Since they are all bipartite [1, 3], any limit point in that range gives a limit point for least eigenvalues too. The limit points for $\lambda_1(G)$ have been determined in [3]. The final conclusion follows from Theorem 3.

It is possible that the conditions given in parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 9 are necessary as well as sufficient.

REFERENCES

- 1 A. E. Bouwer and A. Neumaier, The graphs with spectral radius between 2 and $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{5}}$, Linear Algebra Appl., to appear.
- 2 D. Cvetković, M. Doob, and I. Gutman, On graphs whose spectral radius does not exceed $(2+\sqrt{5})^{1/2}$, Ars Combin. 14:225–239 (1982).
- D. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, Academic, New York, 1980.
- 4 M. Doob and D. Cvetković, On spectral characterizations and embeddings of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 27:17-26 (1979).
- 5 A. J. Hoffman, On limit points of spectral radii of non-negative symmetric integral matrices, in *Graph Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes 303, (Y. Alvai, D. R. Lick, and A. T. White, Eds.), Springer-Verlag, 1972, pp. 165-172.
- 6 A. J. Hoffman, On limit points of the least eigenvalue of a graph, Ars Combin. 3:3-14 (1977).
- 7 J. Shearer, On the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, to appear.

Received 26 January 1988; final manuscript accepted 20 September 1988