

# Quantum Double Models using SageMath

## 0.1 Simple Mathematics using SageMath

```
In [6]: # Finding the gcd of two numbers
gcd?

In [7]: gcd(25,30)
Out[7]: 5

In [8]: factor(625)
Out[8]: 5^4

In [9]: factor(2435)
Out[9]: 5 * 487

In [11]: M = matrix([[1,2,4],[1,3,5],[5,4,2]]);M
Out[11]: [1 2 4]
          [1 3 5]
          [5 4 2]

In [12]: M.inverse()
Out[12]: [ 7/6   -1   1/6]
          [-23/12  3/2  1/12]
          [11/12  -1/2 -1/12]

In [13]: M.eigenvalues()
Out[13]: [-3.583940313126412?, 0.3631206519422502?, 9.22081966118417?]
```

---

## 0.2 Group Theory in SageMath

```
In [15]: Z2 = SymmetricGroup(2); Z2
Out[15]: Symmetric group of order 2! as a permutation group

In [16]: S3 = SymmetricGroup(3); S3
Out[16]: Symmetric group of order 3! as a permutation group

In [17]: Z2.is_subgroup(S3)
Out[17]: True

In [18]: S3.is_cyclic()
Out[18]: False

In [19]: Z2.is_abelian()
Out[19]: True
```

---

### 0.3 Excitations, Ribbon operators, Ground states in Quantum Double Models

#### Defining different models - Quantum Double of Z2, S3, D4

```
In [1]: QDM_Toric = SymmetricGroup(2)
        QDM_Toric

Out[1]: Symmetric group of order 2! as a permutation group

In [2]: QDM_S3 = SymmetricGroup(3)
        QDM_S3

Out[2]: Symmetric group of order 3! as a permutation group

In [3]: QDM_D4 = DihedralGroup(4)
        QDM_D4

Out[3]: Dihedral group of order 8 as a permutation group
```

---

Developing the machinery to compute the number of excitations.

#### 1. Computing the centralizers of the conjugacy class of the group.

```
In [4]: def centralizer_conjugacy_class_QDM_generic(QDM_group):
        cent_QDM_group = []
        for conj_class in QDM_group.conjugacy_classes():
            centralizer = QDM_group.centralizer(conj_class.an_element())
            cent_QDM_group.append(centralizer)
        return cent_QDM_group

In [5]: cent_toric = centralizer_conjugacy_class_QDM_generic(QDM_Toric)
        cent_toric

Out[5]: [Subgroup of (Symmetric group of order 2! as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2)],
        Subgroup of (Symmetric group of order 2! as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2)]]

In [6]: cent_s3 = centralizer_conjugacy_class_QDM_generic(QDM_S3)
        cent_s3

Out[6]: [Subgroup of (Symmetric group of order 3! as a permutation group) generated by [(2,3), (1,3)],
        Subgroup of (Symmetric group of order 3! as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2)],
        Subgroup of (Symmetric group of order 3! as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2,3)]]

In [7]: cent_d4 = centralizer_conjugacy_class_QDM_generic(QDM_D4)
        cent_d4

Out[7]: [Subgroup of (Dihedral group of order 8 as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2,3,4), (1,4)(2,3)],
        Subgroup of (Dihedral group of order 8 as a permutation group) generated by [(2,4), (1,3)(2,4)],
        Subgroup of (Dihedral group of order 8 as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2)(3,4), (1,3)],
        Subgroup of (Dihedral group of order 8 as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2,3,4), (1,3)(2,4)],
        Subgroup of (Dihedral group of order 8 as a permutation group) generated by [(1,2,3,4), (1,4)(2,3)]]
```

2. The character table gives the trace of irreducible representations (but the trace is used at a later stage).

```
In [8]: def character_table_centralizers(centralizers_generic_group):
        char_table = []
        for subgroup in centralizers_generic_group:
            char_table.append(subgroup.character_table())
        return char_table
```

```
In [9]: cent_toric_centralizer_character = character_table_centralizers(cent_toric)
        cent_toric_centralizer_character
```

```
Out[9]: [
[ 1 -1] [ 1 -1]
[ 1  1], [ 1  1]
]
```

```
In [10]: cent_s3_centralizer_character_table = character_table_centralizers(cent_s3)
         cent_s3_centralizer_character_table
```

```
Out[10]: [
[ 1 -1  1] [ 1  1] [ 1  1]
[ 2  0 -1] [ 1 -1] [ 1  1]
[ 1  1  1], [ 1  1], [ 1  1]
]
```

```
In [11]: cent_d4_centralizer_character_table = character_table_centralizers(cent_d4)
         cent_d4_centralizer_character_table
```

```
Out[11]: [
[ 1  1  1  1  1]
[ 1 -1 -1  1  1] [ 1  1  1  1] [ 1  1  1  1]
[ 1 -1  1 -1  1] [ 1 -1 -1  1] [ 1 -1 -1  1]
[ 1  1 -1 -1  1] [ 1 -1  1 -1] [ 1 -1  1 -1]
[ 2  0  0  0 -2], [ 1  1 -1 -1], [ 1  1 -1 -1],

[ 1  1  1  1  1]
[ 1  1  1  1  1] [ 1 -1 -1  1  1]
[ 1  1 -1  1 -1] [ 1 -1  1 -1  1]
[ 1 -zeta4 -1 zeta4] [ 1  1 -1 -1  1]
[ 1 zeta4 -1 -zeta4], [ 2  0  0  0 -2]
]
```

3. Computing the number of excitations by counting the number of rows in the character table.

```
In [12]: def excitations_count(QDM_group):
        count = 0
        generic_centralizer_character_table = character_table_centralizers(centralizer_conjugacy_c
        for char_table in generic_centralizer_character_table:
            count += char_table.nrows()
        return count
```

```
In [13]: QDM_toric_excitations = excitations_count(QDM_Toric)
         QDM_toric_excitations
```

```
Out[13]: 4
```

```
In [14]: QDM_S3_excitations = excitations_count(QDM_S3)
         QDM_S3_excitations
```

```
Out[14]: 8
```

```
In [15]: QDM_D4_excitations = excitations_count(QDM_D4)
         QDM_D4_excitations
```

```
Out[15]: 22
```

Developing the machinery to compute the excitations that condense on a given boundary

### 1. Computing the character related to the irreducible representation of the group.

```
In [16]: def character_excitation(G, conjugacy_class, g, h):
         k_h = 0
         for g_1 in G:
             if h*g_1 == g_1*conjugacy_class.an_element():
                 k_h = g_1
                 break
         if g*h == h*g and k_h != 0:
             return k_h^-1*g*k_h
         else:
             return 0
```

### 2. Computing the character related to a particular boundary.

```
In [17]: def character_subgroup(G, subgroup, g, h):
         sum = 0
         if h*g == g*h:
             for g_1 in G:
                 if g_1*g*g_1^-1 in subgroup and g_1*h*g_1^-1 in subgroup:
                     sum = sum + 1
             return sum/len(subgroup)
```

### 3. Computing the inner product terms of the above characters.

```
In [18]: def inner_product_of_characters(QDM_group, subgroup, conjugacy_class):
         inner_product_terms = []
         for g in QDM_group:
             for h in QDM_group:
                 if character_subgroup(QDM_group, subgroup, g, h) != 0 and character_excitation(QDM_group, conjugacy_class, g, h) != 0:
                     inner_product_terms.append([character_subgroup(QDM_group, subgroup, g, h), character_excitation(QDM_group, conjugacy_class, g, h)])
         return inner_product_terms
```

```
In [19]: inner_product_of_characters(QDM_S3, QDM_S3.subgroups()[5], QDM_S3.conjugacy_classes()[0])
```

```
Out[19]: [[1, ()], [1, (1,2)], [1, (1,2,3)], [1, (1,3,2)], [1, (2,3)], [1, (1,3)]]
```

$$1 * tr_{\pi_i}(e) + 1 * tr_{\pi_i}(1,2) + 1 * tr_{\pi_i}(1,2,3) + 1 * tr_{\pi_i}(1,3,2) + 1 * tr_{\pi_i}(2,3) + 1 * tr_{\pi_i}(1,3)$$

From the character table for  $S_3$ , and labelling each excitation

$\{e\}$     $\{\tau\}$     $\{\sigma\}$

$$1 \quad -1 \quad 1 \quad -> \quad tr_{\pi_2} \quad -> \quad B$$

$$2 \quad 0 \quad -1 \quad -> \quad tr_{\pi_3} \quad -> \quad C$$

$$1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad -> \quad tr_{\pi_1} \quad -> \quad A$$

Therefore  $A$  condenses on the boundary as the inner product is greater than zero, the others go to zero.

In [20]: `inner_product_of_characters(QDM_S3, QDM_S3.subgroups()[5], QDM_S3.conjugacy_classes()[1])`

Out[20]: `[[1, ()], [1, ()], [1, ()], [1, (1,2)], [1, (1,2)], [1, (1,2)]]`

$$3 * tr_{\pi_i}(e) + 3 * tr_{\pi_i}(1, 2)$$

From the character table for  $Z_2$ , and labelling each excitation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & -1 & tr_{\pi_2} & - & > & E \\ 1 & 1 & tr_{\pi_1} & - & > & D \end{array}$$

Therefore  $D$  condenses on the boundary as the inner product is greater than zero, the others go to zero.

In [21]: `inner_product_of_characters(QDM_S3, QDM_S3.subgroups()[5], QDM_S3.conjugacy_classes()[2])`

Out[21]: `[[1, ()], [1, ()], [1, (1,2,3)], [1, (1,3,2)], [1, (1,3,2)], [1, (1,2,3)]]`

$$2 * tr_{\pi_i}(e) + 2 * tr_{\pi_i}(1, 2, 3) + 2 * tr_{\pi_i}(1, 3, 2)$$

From the character table for  $Z_3$ , and labelling each excitation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & & 1 & & tr_{\pi_1} & - & > & F \\ 1 & & zeta3 & & -zeta3-1 & tr_{\pi_2} & - & > & G \\ 1 & & -zeta3-1 & & zeta3 & tr_{\pi_3} & - & > & H \end{array}$$

Therefore  $F$  condenses on the boundary as the inner product is greater than zero, the others go to zero.

Hence, for the subgroup  $K = G$ , the excitations  $A, D, F$  condense on the boundary.

Similarly varying the boundaries (different subgroups) and using the inner product, the excitations which condense on the boundary can be determined.

In [22]: `def boundary_condensates(QDM_group, QDM_subgroup):`

`total_inner_product_terms = []`

`for conj_class in QDM_group.conjugacy_classes():`

`total_inner_product_terms.append(inner_product_of_characters(QDM_group, QDM_subgroup, conj_class))`

`return total_inner_product_terms`

Boundary condensates for the boundary indexed by  $\{e, \tau\}$

In [23]: `boundary_condensates(QDM_S3, QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])`

Out[23]: `[[[3, ()], [1, (1,2)], [1, (2,3)], [1, (1,3)]],  
[[1, ()], [1, ()], [1, ()], [1, (1,2)], [1, (1,2)], [1, (1,2)]],  
[]]`

Observing the character table list,  $A, C, D$  condense given the boundary is indexed by  $\{e, \tau\}$

**Construction of the ribbon operators for lattice with boundary** Given that the boundary is given by the boundary (subgroup  $K$ ), the ribbon operator with an excitation in the bulk and the condensate on the boundary is given by

$$T^{(k,g)} = \sum_{l \in K} F^{(lkl^{-1}, g)} \text{ where } k \in K, g \in G$$

Fixing the subgroup  $K = \{e, \tau\}, (\{e, (2,3)\})$  for example

In [24]: `K = QDM_S3.subgroups()[1]; K`

Out[24]: Subgroup of (Symmetric group of order 3! as a permutation group) generated by [(2,3)]

```

In [25]: def ribbon_operator_constructs(QDM_group, subgroup):
    ribbon_operator_terms = []
    for k in subgroup:
        for g in QDM_group:
            for l in subgroup:
                ribbon_operator_terms.append([k,g,l*k*l^-1, l*g^-1])
    return ribbon_operator_terms
ribbon_operator_constructs(QDM_S3, K)

```

```

Out[25]: [[(), (), (), ()],
  [(), (), (), (2,3)],
  [(), (1,2), (), (1,2)],
  [(), (1,2), (), (1,2,3)],
  [(), (1,2,3), (), (1,3,2)],
  [(), (1,2,3), (), (1,3)],
  [(), (1,3,2), (), (1,2,3)],
  [(), (1,3,2), (), (1,2)],
  [(), (2,3), (), (2,3)],
  [(), (2,3), (), ()],
  [(), (1,3), (), (1,3)],
  [(), (1,3), (), (1,3,2)],
  [(2,3), (), (2,3), ()],
  [(2,3), (), (2,3), (2,3)],
  [(2,3), (1,2), (2,3), (1,2)],
  [(2,3), (1,2), (2,3), (1,2,3)],
  [(2,3), (1,2,3), (2,3), (1,3,2)],
  [(2,3), (1,2,3), (2,3), (1,3)],
  [(2,3), (1,3,2), (2,3), (1,2,3)],
  [(2,3), (1,3,2), (2,3), (1,2)],
  [(2,3), (2,3), (2,3), (2,3)],
  [(2,3), (2,3), (2,3), ()],
  [(2,3), (1,3), (2,3), (1,3)],
  [(2,3), (1,3), (2,3), (1,3,2)]]

```

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{(e,e)} &= F^{(e,e)} + F^{(e,(2,3))}, \\
T^{(e,(1,2))} &= F^{(e,(1,2))} + F^{(e,(1,2,3))}, \\
T^{(e,(1,2,3))} &= F^{(e,(1,3,2))} + F^{(e,(1,3))}, \\
T^{((2,3),e)} &= F^{((2,3),e)} + F^{((2,3),(2,3))}, \\
T^{((2,3),(1,2))} &= F^{((2,3),(1,2))} + F^{((2,3),(1,2,3))}, \\
T^{((2,3),(1,2,3))} &= F^{((2,3),(1,3,2))} + F^{((2,3),(1,3))},
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for various boundaries, various ribbon operators connecting the bulk to the boundary can be generated. It is observed that for every boundary (every subgroup) there are 6 unique ribbon operators connecting the bulk to boundary in the case of  $S_3$

---

**Ground states with respect to different T operators on a cylinder with a single lattice (implying boundary on both sides of the lattice)** The lattice looks in the following way :

$$\begin{array}{c}
-g_1 - \text{---} - g_1 - \\
| \\
g_2
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} | \\ -g_3 - g_3- \end{array}$$

Eigenstates of  $\Pi\{\Sigma \text{ (vertex operators)}\} \text{ (face operators)}T$  are the ground states of the lattice with a ribbon operator. In the above lattice  $g_1$  and  $g_3$  are restricted to the subgroup (identified as boundary). There are three conditions to be satisfied, fixing the boundary to be  $\{e, \tau\}$ , due to the ribbon operators  $g_2$  is restricted to  $\{e, (2, 3)\}$ , due to the face operators the relationship between  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  is as follows  $g_3 g_2 g_1 g_2^{-1} = e$ , and finally due to the vertex operators  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  get mapped to  $k_u g_1 k_u^{-1}, k_d g_2 k_u^{-1}, k_d g_3 k_d^{-1}$  respectively, where  $k_u, k_d \in K$

```
In [26]: def ground_state_terms(g1, g2, g3, ku, kd):
          return ku*g1*ku^-1, kd*g2*ku^-1, kd*g3*kd^-1

In [27]: def ground_state_sum(condition_set, subgroup):
          s = []
          for g2 in condition_set[1]:
              for g3 in subgroup:
                  for g1 in subgroup:
                      if condition_set[0]*g3*g2*condition_set[0]*g1 == g2:
                          s.append((condition_set[0]*g1,g2,condition_set[0]*g3))
                      for i in subgroup:
                          for j in subgroup:
                              s.append([ground_state_terms(condition_set[0]*g1, g2, condition_set[0]*g3)])
          return s
```

Observing that  $T^{(e,e)} = F^{(e,e)} + F^{(e,(2,3))}$  the condition set is that  $g_2 \in \{e, (2, 3)\}$  similarly to determine the other ground states the condition set is required

```
In [28]: ground_state_sum([QDM_S3[0], [QDM_S3[0], QDM_S3[4]]], QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])
```

```
Out[28]: [((), (), ()),
          [((), (), ()),
          [((), (2,3), ()),
          [((), (2,3), ()),
          [((), (), ()),
          ((2,3), (), (2,3)),
          [((2,3), (), (2,3))],
          [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],
          [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],
          [((2,3), (), (2,3))],
          ((), (2,3), ()),
          [((), (2,3), ()),
          [((), (), ()),
          [((), (), ()),
          [((), (2,3), ()),
          ((2,3), (2,3), (2,3)),
          [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],
          [((2,3), (), (2,3))],
          [((2,3), (), (2,3))],
          [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))]]
```

This implies for the operator  $T^{(e,e)}$  :

Possible initial configuration

Ground state

$(e, e, e)$	$2 * (e, e, e) + 2 * (e, (2, 3), e)$
$((2, 3), e, (2, 3))$	$2 * ((2, 3), e, (2, 3)) + 2 * ((2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3))$
$(e, (2, 3), e)$	$2 * (e, e, e) + 2 * (e, (2, 3), e)$
$((2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3))$	$2 * ((2, 3), e, (2, 3)) + 2 * ((2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3))$

In [29]: `ground_state_sum([QDM_S3[0],[QDM_S3[1], QDM_S3[2]]], QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])`

Out[29]: `[((), (1,2), ()),  
 [(((), (1,2), ())),  
 [(((), (1,2,3), ())),  
 [(((), (1,3,2), ())),  
 [(((), (1,3), ())),  
 (((), (1,2,3), ()),  
 [(((), (1,2,3), ())),  
 [(((), (1,2), ())),  
 [(((), (1,3), ())),  
 [(((), (1,3,2), ()))]`

This implies for the operator  $T^{(e,(1,2))}$  :

*Possible initial configuration*

*Ground state*

$(e, (1,2), e)$	$(e, (1,2), e) + (e, (1,2,3), e) + (e, (1,3,2), e) + (e, (1,3), e)$
$(e, (1,2,3), e)$	$(e, (1,2), e) + (e, (1,2,3), e) + (e, (1,3,2), e) + (e, (1,3), e)$

In [30]: `ground_state_sum([QDM_S3[0],[QDM_S3[3], QDM_S3[5]]], QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])`

Out[30]: `[((), (1,3,2), ()),  
 [(((), (1,3,2), ())),  
 [(((), (1,3), ())),  
 [(((), (1,2), ())),  
 [(((), (1,2,3), ())),  
 (((), (1,3), ()),  
 [(((), (1,3), ())),  
 [(((), (1,3,2), ())),  
 [(((), (1,2,3), ())),  
 [(((), (1,2), ()))]`

This implies for the operator  $T^{(e,(1,2,3))}$  :

*Possible initial configuration*

*Ground state*

$(e, (1,3), e)$	$(e, (1,2), e) + (e, (1,2,3), e) + (e, (1,3,2), e) + (e, (1,3), e)$
$(e, (1,3,2), e)$	$(e, (1,2), e) + (e, (1,2,3), e) + (e, (1,3,2), e) + (e, (1,3), e)$

In [31]: `ground_state_sum([QDM_S3[4],[QDM_S3[0], QDM_S3[4]]], QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])`

Out[31]: `[((2,3), (), (2,3)),  
 [((2,3), (), (2,3))],  
 [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],  
 [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],  
 [((2,3), (), (2,3))],  
 (((), (), ()),  
 [(((), (), ())),  
 [(((), (2,3), ())),  
 [(((), (2,3), ())),  
 [(((), (), ())),  
 ((2,3), (2,3), (2,3)),  
 [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],  
 [((2,3), (), (2,3))],  
 [((2,3), (), (2,3))],  
 [((2,3), (2,3), (2,3))],  
 (((), (2,3), ()),  
 [(((), (2,3), ())),  
 [(((), (), ())),  
 [(((), (), ())),  
 [(((), (2,3), ()))]`



This implies for the operator  $T^{((2,3),e)}$  :

*Possible initial configuration*

*Ground state*

$(e, e, e)$	$2 * (e, e, e) + 2 * (e, (2, 3), e)$
$((2, 3), e, (2, 3))$	$2 * ((2, 3), e, (2, 3)) + 2 * ((2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3))$
$(e, (2, 3), e)$	$2 * (e, e, e) + 2 * (e, (2, 3), e)$
$((2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3))$	$2 * ((2, 3), e, (2, 3)) + 2 * ((2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3))$

In [32]: `ground_state_sum([QDM_S3[4], [QDM_S3[1], QDM_S3[2]]], QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])`

Out[32]: `[((), (1, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3), ()),  
 ((), (1, 2, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3, 2), ())]`

This implies for the operator  $T^{((2,3),(1,2))}$  :

*Possible initial configuration*

*Ground state*

$(e, (1, 2), e)$	$(e, (1, 2), e) + (e, (1, 2, 3), e) + (e, (1, 3, 2), e) + (e, (1, 3), e)$
$(e, (1, 2, 3), e)$	$(e, (1, 2), e) + (e, (1, 2, 3), e) + (e, (1, 3, 2), e) + (e, (1, 3), e)$

In [33]: `ground_state_sum([QDM_S3[4], [QDM_S3[3], QDM_S3[5]]], QDM_S3.subgroups()[1])`

Out[33]: `[((), (1, 3, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2, 3), ()),  
 ((), (1, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 3, 2), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2, 3), ()),  
 [(), (1, 2), ())]`

This implies for the operator  $T^{((2,3),(1,2,3))}$  :

*Possible initial configuration*

*Ground state*

$(e, (1, 3, 2), e)$	$(e, (1, 2), e) + (e, (1, 2, 3), e) + (e, (1, 3, 2), e) + (e, (1, 3), e)$
$(e, (1, 3), e)$	$(e, (1, 2), e) + (e, (1, 2, 3), e) + (e, (1, 3, 2), e) + (e, (1, 3), e)$

Therefore, there are 3 unique ground states for all possible configurations of ribbon operators with an excitation at one end and condensate at the other